

E3S Web of Conferences

Volume 142 (2020)

eISSN: 2267-1242

The 3rd International Conference on Agricultural and Life Sciences (ICALS 2019)

Jember, Indonesia, July 31-August 2, 2019

M. Rondhi and H.S. Addy (Eds.)

Export the citation of the selected articles [Export](#)
[Select all](#)

Open Access

About the conference

Published online: 21 January 2020

- [PDF \(165 KB\)](#)

Open Access

Statement of Peer review

Published online: 21 January 2020

- [PDF \(961 KB\)](#)

- [Agriculture and Food Sciences](#)
- [Biotechnology](#)
- [Agricultural Engineering and Technology](#)
- [Smart Education for Food and Agriculture](#)
- [Smart Farming and Food Business](#)
- [Smart Social and Politics for Agriculture](#)

Agriculture and Food Sciences

[An Application of MODIS Surface Reflectance Product for Drought Assessment on Agriculture Area in Manukwari – West Papua – Indonesia](#) 01001

Arif Faisol, Indarto Indarto, Elida Novita and Budiyono Budiyono

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014201001>

[“Assessment of Coastal Vulnerability Index on potential agricultural land - CVI, Banyuwangi Regency”](#) 01002

Sukron Romadhona, Laily Mutmainnah, Cahyoadi Wibowo and Tri Candra Setiawati

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014201002>

[Gamma Irradiator Facilities for Processing Plant Industries Products](#) 01003

Ari Satmoko, Tanti Ardiyati and Hyundianto Arif Gunawan

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014201003>

[Biochemical Response of Hybrid Maize \(*Zea mays* L.\) to NPK Fertilization Based on Spent Bleaching Earth in Field Scale](#) 01004

Cahya Anugrah, Didik Indradewa and Eka Tarwaca Susila Putra

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014201004>

[THE CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT, WEIGHT LOSS, AND PRODUCTION OF PAKCOY IN SEVERAL FARMING SYSTEM](#) 01005

Yeyen Prestyaning Wanita and Riefna Afriani

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014201005>

[Polyphenol Content and Enhancing Plant resistance of Lowland Arabica Coffee](#) 01006

Distiana Wulanjari, Ketut Anom Wijaya, Muhammad Ghufon Rosyady and Ali Wafa

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014201006>

[The Effect of Different Processing Techniques in Sweet Potato \(*Ipomoea batatas*\) of Content Nutrition](#) 01007

Dyah Triasih and Fitri Dwi Utami

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014201007>

Biotechnology

[Management of Fishing Operational on Lift Net in Lekok Waters, Pasuruan Regency, East Java](#) 02001

Dimas Satrya

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014202001>

[Effect of vitamin E addition to frozen Simmental bull semen extender on post-thawing quality](#) 02002

Fariz Zharfan Haris, Yon Soepri Ondho and Daud Samsudewa

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014202002>

[EDIBLE COATING QUALITY WITH THREE TYPES OF STARCH AND SORBITOL PLASTICIZER](#) 02003

Retno Utami Hatmi, Erni Apriyati and Nurdeana Cahyaningrum

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014202003>

[Growing households' income through non-timber forest products](#) 02004

Sudaryanto, Gemilang Khairinissa, Suyud Warno Utomo and Tarsoen Waryono

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014202004>

Agricultural Engineering and Technology

[Selected Dominance Plant Species for Increasing Availability Production of Cattle Feed](#) 03001

Roni Yulianto, Amam, Pradiptya Ayu Harsita and Mochammad Wildan Jadmiko

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014203001>

[Assessing the Vulnerability of Farm Households in Yogyakarta to Risks Associated with Climate Change](#) 03002

Jangkung Handoyo Mulyo, Arif Wahyu Widada, Sugiyarto and Masyhuri

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014203002>

[Role of Mineral Elements to Induce the Resistance of Arabica Coffee Against Rust Disease at Lowland Area](#) 03003

Muhammad Ghufron Rosyady, Ketut Anom Wijaya, Distiana Wulanjari and Ali Wafa

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014203003>

[Growth and Production of Determinate and Indeterminate Soybean \(*Glycine max* L.\) Influenced by Salinity Stress](#) 03004

Danang Adriansyah, Karno and Florentina Kusmiyati

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014203004>

[Balancing Environmental Conservation and Socioeconomic Welfare: Sustainable Cultivation of Suboptimal Lands in Pulau Burung District of Riau Province](#) 03005

A. Noyara Rahmasary, N. Fajri Usman and I. Zahara Qurani

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014203005>

[Characterization of Physical and Chemical Properties of Effervescent Tablets Temulawak \(*Curcuma zanthorrhiza*\) in the Various Proportion of Sodium Bicarbonate and Tartaric Acid](#) 03006

Herlina, Nita Kuswardhani, Maria Belgis and Adinda Tiara

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014203006>

[Tolerance Screening of Sugarcane Varieties Toward Waterlogging Stress](#) 03007

Sholeh Avivi, Silvia Fitri Mei Arini, Sigit Soeparjono, Didik Pudji Restanto, Wahyu Indra Duwi

Fanata and Ketut Anom Widjaya

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014203007>

[Quality Development of Bagiak \(Osing Ethnic's Snack\) Using Gembili \(*Dioscorea esculenta* L.\) Flour](#) 03008

Herlina, Nita Kuswardhani and Lenny Widjayanthi

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014203008>

Smart Education for Food and Agriculture

[Effect of starter sources and old fermentation on making nata de whey towards chemical quality](#) 04001

Asmaul Khusna, Anis Prastujati, Shinta Setiadevi and Mustofa Hilmi

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014204001>

[Evaluation of Potency Spent Coffee Grounds for Make Black Compost](#) 04002

Asmak Afriliana, Endar Hidayat, Mitoma Yoshiharu, Masuda Taizo and Hiroyuki Harada

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014204002>

[Antigenic Properties of Fixed and Unfixed Particles of Some Cucumber Mosaic Virus Strains](#) 04003

Wiwiek Sri Wahyuni

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014204003>

[Somatic-embryogenesis derived leaf-rust-tolerant clones of arabica coffee to deal with climate change](#) 04004

Rina Arimarsetiowati and Erwin Prastowo

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014204004>

[CONTRIBUTION OF TOBACCO WASTE FOR AGRICULTURE](#) 04005

Okta Prima Indahsari and Abul Haris Suryo Negoro

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014204005>

Smart Farming and Food Business

[Celebrity Brand Ambassador and e-WOM as Determinants of Purchase Intention: A Survey of Indonesian Celebrity Cake](#) 05001

Safira Putri Utami, Nuning Setyowati and Putriesti Mandasari

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014205001>

[CONTRACT FARMING AND THE EFFECT ON PRICE RISK IN BROILER FARMING](#) 05002

Adinda Tissa Rachmasari Putri and Mohammad Rondhi

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014205002>

[ANALYSIS OF CONTRACT FARMING PATTERN AND INCOME COMPARISON OF POTATO FARMERS ON ATLANTIC AND GRANOLA VARIETIES](#) 05003

Dimas Brilian Syaban Pramana and Mohammad Rondhi

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014205003>

[Institutional Arrangement of Agriculture Development in Indonesia: Lesson Learn from Korea through 6th Order of Industrial Agriculture System](#) 05004

Adhitya Wardhono and Rudi Wibowo

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014205004>

[Transaction Cost and Market Development of Cassava Production in Jember Regency, East Java, Indonesia](#) 05005

M. Abd. Nasir and Ciplis Gema Qori'ah

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014205005>

[Institutional Arrangement for Food Price Stabilization and Market Distribution System: Study of Chili Commodity in Banyuwangi Regency](#) 05006

Adhitya Wardhono, Yulia Indrawati, Ciplis Gema Qori'ah and M. Abd. Nasir

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014205006>

[THE COMPETITIVENESS OF PRONOJIWO SNAKE FRUIT](#) 05007

Soetrio, Djoko Soejono, Ariq Dewi Maharani and Dimas Bastara Zahrosa

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014205007>

[Strengthening of the Formal Complementary Paddy Seeding System and Informal to Fulfill Demand of Quality Paddy Seeds and to Develop Seed Farming Business in West Java](#) 06001

Dian Firdaus, Ronnie S. Natawidjaja and Meddy Rachmady

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014206001>

[The Comparative Study of Youth-Related Agriculture Initiatives: Optimizing the Role of Indonesian Youth in Improving Food Security](#) 06002

I. Zahara Qurani, A. Noyara Rahmasary and N. Fajri Usman

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014206002>

[Agrobiotechnology at The Nexus between Clientelism and The State's Authority : The Indonesian Case](#) 06003

Rachmat Hidayat, Lukman Wijaya Baratha, Tree Setiawan Pamungkas and Ahmad Munif Mubarak

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014206003>

[Undergraduate Students Attitudes toward Biotechnology Crop](#) 06004

Evita Soliha Hani and Mustapit

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014206004>

[Linking Supply Chain Management and Food Security: A Concept of Building Sustainable Competitive](#)

[Advantage of Agribusiness in Developing Economies](#) 06005

Joni Murti Mulyo Aji

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014206005>

[Relational Behavior in Smallholder Cocoa Marketing Channels](#) 06006

Yuli Hariyati, Rulita Irma Ristamaya, Rena Yunit R., Diana Fauziah and Indah Ibanah

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014206006>

[Integrated Crop Management And Farmers' Group Dynamics In Arjasa Village](#) 06007

Lenny Widjayanthi

Published online: 21 January 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014206007>

[Risk Analysis and Management Strategies of Sugarcane Producer in Selecting Varieties: Failure Mode and Effect Analysis \(FMEA\) Approach](#) 06008

Ahmad Zainuddin, Illia Seldon Magfiroh, Intan Kartika Setyawati and Rena Yunita Rahman

Published online: 21 January 2020



CONTRACT FARMING AND THE EFFECT ON PRICE RISK IN BROILER FARMING

Adinda Tissa Rachmasari Putri¹, and Mohammad Rondhi^{1*}

¹Department of Agribusiness, Faculty of Agriculture, Jember University, Indonesia

Abstract. Contract farming is one of the ways in a production relationship that is carried out by at least two parties who work together for a certain unit of time arranged in a written or oral agreement. Contracts in agriculture are carried out to reduce the risks faced by both parties. There are several agriculture commodities developed under the contract farming system, one of which is broiler. Broiler are important for fulfilling animal protein. High consumption in Indonesia at 2012-2016, not supported by production and the price of broiler has large fluctuations. Large fluctuations in Jember at 2012-2016 illustrate the magnitude of the risk in broiler farming both the risk of production and price. The amount of risk borne by farmers causes easy contract farming to be applied in broiler chicken farming. This study purpose to see: (1) The pattern of contract farming carried out on broiler farming; and (2) The effect of contract farming on the price risks faced by farmers. Method of determining the research area is purposive method. The research method is carried out by descriptive and analytical. The method of data collection is by interview, observation and secondary data with the use of recapitulation of the results of farmers maintenance. Determination of respondents was conducted randomly at farmers in Jember Regency. The results of the study show: 1) The pattern of contract farming carried out on broiler farming is a contract farming with the type of production contract; and (2) The effect of contract farming causes the risk faced by farmers to be reduced by 39% than independently farmers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Contract farming occurred between a small farmers and a big company will give benefit for both of them, without sacrificing other sides. Contract farming is also believed as a tool to transfer technology and create economic stability by income distribution (Rustiani, 1997). MacDonald and Korb (2011) explained that many ways in transferring agricultural product where the flow will be regulated through an agreement between the farmers and the company which have a role as a buyer where that agreement will be agreed before the production is done. Contract farming gives a closer relationship between the farmers and the buyer than the relationship of the farmers with the buyer on the spot market and allows the company to do a bigger control on the decision of production and agricultural processes.

Rustiani (1997) and Zhang (2012) stated that contract farming is one of the ways in the production relationship which is done at least by two parties which do cooperation to one certain time controlled in one agreement in writing as well as oral. In this relationship, each party uses the resource they are expert. Where contract farming is divided into 2 types that are production contract and marketing contract. The production contract is used widely in livestock production and marketing contract is important for plant production (Mac Donald and Korb, 2011).

If reviewed more, principally, contract farming is nothing else a risk distribution mechanism (Oya, 2012). Rustiani (1997), ideally a contract relationship in agriculture is done to decrease the risk faced by both sides. Pugo (2018), the contract will decrease the risk faced by the company if it must count on the raw material fully from an open market. The main company also receives another benefit because they do not have to invest over the land and manage the wide agriculture. For the livestock side itself, contract farming will solve

the general problem they face in the process of risk-taking. Risk source from the agriculture done is generally caused by uncertain input and output prices (Erkan, 2018). Glover (1990), stated the problem faced by the farmers generally as follows:

1. The difficulty to face the competition with another producer which has adopted new technology.
2. The weakness of the input supply condition in terms of quantity and continuity.
3. Weak agricultural counseling.
4. The difficulty on the credit.
5. The market for the agricultural commodity is limited so that it tends to have unpredictable price.
6. International market with a better price than the local market is hard to access by the small farmers.

As time goes by, the innovation of agricultural institution especially the existence of contract farming can be received in the agricultural sector in Indonesia. There are some commodities developed under the system of contract farming, one of them is broiler chicken. Broiler chicken becomes an important commodity which becomes the society's mainstay for the fulfillment of animal protein (Directorate General of Livestock and Animal Health, 2017). This causes the consumption of broiler chicken is high which also needs a higher production again. However, the production and the price of broiler chicken have big fluctuation (BPS, 2018). Big fluctuation illustrates that there is a big risk in the livestock business of broiler chicken either in the production risk or price risk.

The high risk burdened by the farmers causes easy contract farming to apply and accept in the livestock business of broiler chicken. Remembering the important production of broiler chicken on the national economy, then broiler chicken needs processing with a good system. Therefore, the farmers has to select a type of good cooperation pattern where the farmers's right can

Corresponding author: adindatissarp@gmail.com

be protected and the selling price in the farmers level keep being maintained in an optimal point and tend to be more stable although having a high risk. Thus, it can be seen that contract farming has a benefit and makes it important in the livestock business of broiler chicken. However, in the implementation, the risk is often faced by the farmers though having followed contract farming. Little attention focusing on the reason or resource for the risk faced by the producer. Where there are some reasons why producer may still face the risk such as that the contract occurred maybe still not efficient (Hueth and Ligon, 1999).

The previous research which has been done by Arifanah (2017) states that the cooperation occurred between the farmers with the company is the main pattern of plasma. The same research is done by Febriandika, et al (2017) which states that the cooperation occurred is classified in the main pattern of plasma. The research which has been done focuses to see the right and the obligation happened. Different from the previous research, to see the cooperation occurred is not only based on the existing pattern on the government regulation because there is still cooperation not suitable with the pattern existing on the government regulation.

Specifically, this research aims to analyze the type of cooperation intertwined between broiler chicken farmers with the company and also to see the effect of contract farming on the price risk faced by the farmers. This research is expected to be able to be a consideration to fix the contract farming as a tool to regulate the cultivation process as well as the marketing so that the design of play rules is more efficient and can build equality between the parties involved.

2. RESEARCH METHODS

The determination of research was done by using the purposive method in Jember Regency, East Java Province, Indonesian. The research method done was descriptive and analytic. The sample retrieval method was done by purposive where the farmers doing a contract the total of the sample is 35 farmers. The sample decision of partner farmers selected into respondent in this research was the farmers who did a contract with PT. XYZ in Jember Regency.

In this research regarding the implementation of contract farming between broiler chicken farmers with the company in Jember Regency was analyzed by descriptive method. The descriptive method was used to explain and describe data and information from the result of interview and observation activities. Where it will describe the intertwining process of contract farming from the beginning until the end. Covering right and obligation from the farmers as well as the

process before the agreement, in the cultivation process until the final process. From the description, then contract farming done will be able to be grouped into an existing pattern.

This research is about the effect of contract on the price risk faced by broiler chicken farmers in Jember Regency. The effect of contract on the price risk can be seen from the difference or the comparison between the daily price obtained from the agreement of cooperation when doing a contract with the market price currently valid when doing independently or without doing the contract is called by standard deviation ratio (Knoeber, 1995). Where the price compared is obtained from secondary data where the daily price if following the contract farming and the daily price if not following the contract will be contained within the recapitulation of maintenance result (RHPP).

Knoeber (1995), thus the price obtained by the partner farmers can be calculated by the equation as follows:

$$\alpha_{ct} = \alpha_c + [0, \beta (\alpha_m - \alpha_c)] \quad (1)$$

- α_{ct} = Price is received by the farmers at a period of t
- α_c = Price at cooperation contract
- α_m = Market price currently valid
- β = Market bonus, when the market price is higher (0.35)

When the market price is higher than the contract price then the equation will become $[\beta(\alpha_m - \alpha_c)]$. When the market price is lower than the contract price then the equation will become $[0(\alpha_m - \alpha_c)]$. To see the actual price when the farmers does not make a contract then a simulation is done where the price calculated is only the market price currently valid To see the market price is obtained from the contract price added by the difference between the contract price with the market price can be seen from the existing market bonus.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 contract farming

The analysis on the occurring contract farming is based on the contract substance which has been agreed. Agreed contract substance contains right and obligation for both sides involved. The following are the right and obligation of the economic actor in contract farming of broiler chicken.

Table 1. Right and Obligation of Partner Farmers with the Company

Right and Obligation of Company	Right and Obligation of Partner Farmers
a) Loan the production facilities (DOC, feed, drug, and vitamin corresponding to the quality standard, total, time, and price) that have been determined.	a) Fill in the form of registration data and give warranty to the partner company.
b) Give counseling, service, and monitoring on the farmers.	b) Serve the cage and the equipment and the labor.
c) Buy and pay all results of broiler chicken from the partner farmers.	c) Do cultivation of chicken according to the guidance set by the partner company.
d) Give a performance bonus to the farmers if fulfilling the performance requirement set by the company.	d) Save feed, drug, and vitamin and write down the condition and number of users.
e) Take back the chicken which has been already harvested with the weight that has been determined.	e) Maintain the caliber, quality, safety, and chicken health.
f) Cut the production result of partner farmers with the cost for cultivation that is DOC, feed, drug, and vitamin which becomes a burden of partner farmers.	f) Sell all production results in the form of broiler chicken to the partner company based on the contract price that has been set.
g) Do monitoring and review anytime.	g) Pay all debts to the partner company because of the use of production facilities served by the partner company.
	h) Get the production facilities in the form of DOC, feed, drug, and vitamin.
	i) Get technical counseling from the partner company.
	j) Get the payment of selling results from broiler chicken which has been reduced by the debt of production facility.

The monitoring result shows that the partner company is the party who serve livestock production facilities, technical counseling, operational management, and marketing broiler chicken produced by the partner farmers. Partner company gives the production facilities to partner farmers with an agreement that all yields will later be bought by the partner company and partner farmers is not allowed to sell the yields to another side to the partner company side. The technical counseling done by PPL is done once a week.

The partner farmers is required to fill in the agreement form and gives warranty to the partner company. Frequently, the partner farmers does not give warranty but only in the form of a stamped statement letter. The partner farmers is required to buy and use chicken seedling (DOC), feed and chemical vaccine drug from the partner company, apart from three inputs then the farmers is free to buy the input outside the partner company. The production facilities given by the company is not allowed to move hands to another side.

The partner farmers is necessary to serve land as a place to do the cultivation as well as the cage, cultivation equipment, and labor who will do the activity of cultivation process. The partner farmers also does a cage preparation independently but will be a role of a partner company in giving counseling about the conformity standard before the cultivation of broiler chicken has been done and will be a survey related to the conformity. The existence of technical counseling from

the partner company can increase the performance and ability of partner farmers. The company side hands overall responsibilities of the production process to the partner farmers with the final goal of maximal broiler chicken yield corresponding to the standard of the partner company.

The partner farmers only needs to serve the land, cage, equipment, and labor while the partner company side is involved further in the production process. The partner company side determines the type and number of a commodity that has to be given, the partner company side also determines the type of variety and production method. Partner companies usually give technical support and serve production facilities. The partner company side can control the decision for the use of production facilities, operational, and marketing.

The selling price of broiler chicken from the farmers to the partner company is assessed based on the average weight of living chicken produced by the partner farmers that the heavier the average weight of living chicken harvested the highest the price received by the farmers. Broiler chicken sold from the partner farmers is all broiler chickens entrusted by the company to the partner farmers. The farmers's income is also affected by the performance of partner farmers. The following is the bonus which will be received by the partner farmers:

1. If the difference of actual FCR with standard FCR is less than or equal with 0.075 then will get a bonus of IDR 50/kg.

2. If the difference of actual FCR with standard FCR is less than or equal with 0.000 then will get a a bonus of IDR 100/kg.
3. If the market price is higher than the contract price then the farmers will get the difference in the market price
4. If the death rate or depletion is less than 5% of the population, then the partner farmers will get a depletion bonus as big as IDR 50/tail.
 5. If the diseased chicken then the price of the diseased chicken is the market price if the price is less than the contract price.
 6. If the market price is less than the contract price, and the partner farmers's income is more than IDR 3.000/tail then the excess of meat will be purchased with the market price.
 7. Incentive closed house is given as big as IDR 100/kg.

Based on the contract process that has been explained and done by the partner farmers of broiler chicken with the partner company is a contract production. This is according to Rustiani (1997) has said, that the contract production where the company can control the farmers's decision in the use of production facilities and production method. This is also in line with what was told by (MacDonald and Korb (2011); Otsuka (2016)), that the contract pattern corresponding to livestock commodity is the production contract.

3.2 the effect of contract farming on the price risk

Contract farming is one of the mechanisms of risk distribution. Risk in the marketing failure of production result and risk of price fluctuation will shift from the farmers to the partner company. It is because on the final profit will be shared by both sides so if the failure occurred on the marketing or the low price then will be felt by both the farmers and the company. The following is descriptive statistic data used which can be seen in table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistic Data

Variable	Mean	Max	Min
Production (kg)	3,491	11,526	35
Contract Price (IDR/kg)	17,548	21,833	9,558
Market Price (IDR/kg)	17,837	24,164	9,398
Contract reception (IDR)	63,287,380	211,491,336	379,500
Market reception (IDR)	64,649,777	231,676,721	531,214

Sources: Secondary Data processed (2018)

It can be seen in Table 2 that the market price is indeed higher than the contract price obtained when doing the contract. Lower contract price causes the farmers reception also lower than when they do it independently. It is caused when doing by partnership then will occur a profit-sharing between the company

with the farmers therefore, the reception when partnering is lower than when doing it independently.

The effect of contract farming on the risk of price fluctuation can be seen from the difference or the comparison between the price obtained from the farmers if partnering and if the farmers does independently. The difference is caused by the different price obtained where for the partner farmers will get agreed price from the cooperation agreement when doing the contract farming while getting the market price recently applicable when doing independently or without doing contract farming. Thus, there are two values of deviation standard when the farmers follows the contract farming or the real price and when the farmers does the livestock business independently or simulation price.

Deviation standard ratio can show the variability from the price obtained by the farmers that the bigger the deviation standard ratio then the bigger the variability will be got by the farmers. Bigger deviation standard shows that the price, as well as the risk gained, is bigger. The comparison result of the deviation standard between the real price and simulation result can be seen in table 3.

Table 3 The Comparison of Deviation Standard between the Real Reception and Simulation Reception

	Value	Risk	Description
Average Deviation Standard of Simulation Price	3,487	100%	The farmers price if not partnering
Average Deviation Standard of Real Price	2,116	61%	The farmers price if partnering
Risk Shifting	1,371	39%	Shifting risk from the farmers to the company

Sources : Secondary Data processed (2018)

From table 3 can be known that the deviation standard of simulation price is 3.487 while for the deviation standard the real price is 2.116. It shows that the price fluctuation when the simulation or independent breeding is higher than when doing a contract. The risk gained when the farmers does independently will bear the risk as big as 100%. The difference between the deviation standard of simulation with the reality is 1.371 where that value is 39% of the deviation standard of simulation, it shows that by the existing of contract occurred the decrease of the price fluctuation where there is a risk diversion of price fluctuation to the company as big as 39% so that the partner farmers bears the risk smaller than when the farmers does independently where the risk that will be borne by the farmers will be 61%. It was also mentioned by (Knoeber (1995); Otsuka (2016); Khasan (2018); Saenger (2013)), that by the existence of contract causes the price risk will

shift from the farmers to company. Rustiani (1997), also mentioned that the contract is an effort for the farmers in avoiding higher risk where there is a risk of marketing failure and risk of price fluctuation that can be diverted from the farmers to the company. The comparison of fluctuation between independent farmers with the partner farmers can be seen in figure 1.




Figure 1. The Comparison of Fluctuation between Independent Farmers with the Partner Farmers

4. CONCLUSIONS

Contract farming occurred between the farmers with the company is included in the type of production contract that it is based on the contract agreement letter and the process of the contract. Broiler chicken farmers has a role to serve land area and the cage, to serve the equipment of cage, to serve labor, to do the cultivation, and to sell all the production results to the partner company, while the partner company has a task to give the loan of production facilities, to give technical counseling and coaching, and to purchase all the production results of the farmers. The effect of contract farming on the price risk faced by broiler chicken farmers is the occurrence of price risk shifting from the farmers to the partner company as big as 39% so that the risk borne by the farmers is smaller when the farmers does the livestock business independently.

5. REFERENCES

1. Arifanah, L. *Kajian Pola Kemitraan dan Pendapatan Pada Peternak Ayam Broiler di Kecamatan Sukowono Kabupaten Jember*. Universitas Jember. (2017)
2. Badan Pusat Statistika. *Jwa Timur dalam Angka*. Surabaya: BPS Jawa Timur. (2018)
3. Direktorat Jenderal Peternakan dan Kesehatan Hewan. *Statistik Peternakan dan Kesehatan Hewan 2017*. Jakarta: Direktorat Jenderal Peternakan dan

4. Kesehatan Hewan Kementerian Pertanian RI. (2017)
4. Febriandika, B., Iskandar, S., & Afriyatna, S. Studi Pola Kemitraan Usaha Peternakan Ayam Ras Broiler (Broiler) Di Desa Gelebak Dalam Kecamatan Rambutan Kabupaten Banyuwasin. *SOCIETA*, **6**(1), 57–65. (2017).
5. Glover, D., & Kusterer, K. Small Farmers, Big Business: Contract Farming and Rural Development. David Glover. Ken Kusterer. *In Economic Development and Cultural Change*. (1990)
6. Hueth, B. dan E. Ligon. Producer Price Risk and Quality Measurement. *American Journal Agriculture and Economics*, **3**(81): 512-524. (1999).
7. Khasan, A., Rondhi, M., Aji, J.M.M.. Who Bear the Most Risk? Risk and Risk Shifting in Indonesian Broiler Contract. *Preprints 2018*, 2018100020 (doi: 10.20944/preprints201810.0020.v1). (2018)
8. Knoeber, Charles R. And Walter N. Thurman. Don't Conut Your Chickens: Risk and Risk Shifting in Broiler Industry. *Amer. J. Agr. Econ.* **486-496**. (1995)
9. MacDonald, J., dan P. Korb. *Agricultural Contracting Update: Contracts in 2008*. USDA, Economic Research Service, Economic Information Bulletin No. **72**. (2011)
10. Otsuka, K., Nakano, Y., & Takashi, K. Contract Farming ini Developed and Developing Countries. *SSRN*, (June), **1-24**. (2016).
11. Oya, C. (2012). Contract Farming in Sub-Saharan Africa: A survey of Approches, Debates and Issues. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, **12**(1): 1-33.
12. Pugo, A. G., R. Birner, & S. Gupta. Making Contract Farming Arrangements Work in Africa's Bioeconomy: Evidence from Cassava Outgrower Schemes in Ghana. *Sustainability*, (May), **1-21**. (2018)
13. Rustiani, F., H. Sjaifudian dan R. Guanawan. *Mengenal Usaha Pertanian Kontrak (Contract Farming)*. Bandung: Yayasan AKATIGA. (1997)
14. Saenger, C., Qaim, M., Torero, M., & Viceisza, A. Contract Farming and Smallholder Incentives To Produce High Quality: Experimental Evidence from The Vietnamese Dairy Sector. *Agricultural Economics* (United Kingdom), **44**(3), 297-308. (2013)
15. Zhang, Qian F. The Political Economy of Contract Farming ini China's Agrarian Transition. *Journal oh Agrarian Change*, **12**(4): 460-483. (2014)