

Improve The Performance Of SMES Through Innovation Strategies In Developing Countries

Arnis Budi Susanto, Wasito

Abstract: Innovation strategy is a key driver for the performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) by applying a culture of innovation in a strategic and structured way. To improve the performance of SMEs innovation strategies are aligned and closely related to the innovation process undertaken by SMEs in various fields

Keywords: Innovation, Strategy, Performance, SME.

1 INTRODUCTION

For decades, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) of manufacturing contribute to the global economy including Indonesia (Kuswanto et al, 2012; Hafeez et al., 20012; Jaiyeoba, 2011; Edwards, 2005) .SME is the backbone of modern economic life and is considered a as an engine of economic growth and employment (Marsuki, 2006; Hajipour and Ghanavati, 2012; Hafeez et al., 2012). SMEs play an important role in the system of business development and advancement in the state economy (Man, 2009; Idar and Mahmood, 2011; Opeda et al. 2011; Hajipour and Ghanavati, 2012) SMEs have become important as sources of employment and maximizing the efficiency of resource allocation material and also acts as a supplier of goods and services for large companies. Empirical studies show that small and medium enterprises have problems and constraints in improving their performance. According to Hinson & Mahmoud (2011), SMEs have difficulty adapting to market change strategies and competing with big companies. SMEs do not have a structured marketing plan. Business owners do not understand market orientation and focus only on customers. Empirical study results (Kiran et al, 2012) SMEs in India lack competitiveness. Competitiveness explains the ability of small industries (SSIs) to generate output revenue and maintain employment levels in the face of domestic and global competition. Currently SMEs are very vulnerable to changes that occur in the world economy and the urgent need for SMEs to increase competitive advantage. Although the development of SMEs both quantitatively and qualitatively quite well, but that does not mean SMEs do not experience problems. Idar and Mahmood (2011), SMEs face enormous pressure affecting external and internal factors that can be found in business environments, such as globalization, technological innovation, and demographic and social change, and innovative capabilities. According to Najib (2006), the good performance of SMEs is characterized by increasing sales, gain profit, market share is increasingly widespread, and consumers are increasingly satisfied.

This can happen when the SMEs move not on the basis of production capability alone, but also directed by the dynamics of the market going. Companies not only produce, but pay attention to the interests and needs of consumers. This kind of practice is popular with market oriented or market-oriented companies. Another obstacle faced by SMEs is related to corporate innovation. Innovation is one of the basic instruments of new growth strategies to enter the market, to increase existing market share and provide companies to be competitive. (Gunday et al., 2009). Innovation can be a potential solution for SMEs (Hafeez et al., 2012). One way to develop and improve competitive advantage and improve performance is through resource utilization and enhancing innovation for SMEs (Hilmi and Ramayah, 2008). Innovative methods applied can enable SMEs to compete and survive in a competitive global environment. (Kiran et al., 2012). Gunday et al, (2009) identified an innovation relationship with firm performance in the manufacturing industry in Turkey. The finding that the innovations made in the manufacturing companies has a significant positive relationship on performance. On the other hand that company innovation has a higher market share, total sales and exports. These findings support the fact that innovation strategies are a key driver of importance to corporate performance. Corporate innovation is the strongest driver of performance. In addition, with the company's innovation occurs higher sales and exports. The innovation process was found to be significantly associated with innovative performance through product innovation and a positive impact on company performance. Direct and positive innovations are influenced by product, organization and marketing. Gunday et al, (2009) four types of innovation ie company innovation, marketing innovation, process innovation, and product innovation affect the company's performance aspect. Innovation mediates the relationship between market orientation and company performance. (Johnson et al, 2009).

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Linkage Between Corporate Innovation and Performance

Innovation strategy is a key driver for the performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) by applying innovation culture in a strategic and structured way. To improve the performance of SMEs through enhancing cultures and innovation strategies that are aligned and closely related to the innovation process (Salim and Sulaiman, 2011; Hoq and Chowdhury 2012). Bodlaj (2010), explains the performance of innovation refers to the sale of new products, new product

- *Arnis Budi Susanto is lecturer at department of management, faculty of economics and business, University of Jember, PH-0812340879992. E-mail: arnis.feb@unej.ac.id*
- *Wasito is lecturer at department of Accounting, faculty of economics and business, University of Jember*

market share, timely new product launch, new percent of product sales in total sales. New products must provide value for customers therefore market orientation is an important factor in successful new product development. The performance of new products is a changing addition for customers and companies this implies that the impact of market orientation depends on the degree of novelty. Najib et al. (2011), suggesting that innovation affects the performance of manufacturing SMEs. While (Han et al. (1998), offers the concept of innovation as a missing link that bridges the relationship between market orientation and performance. The relationship between corporate innovation and performance capability implies that innovation becomes a competitive advantage when it is based on a deep understanding of customer needs, competitor action, and technological development. Because of the ever-changing competitive environment, companies that fail to implement innovation find it difficult to survive on a par with competitors (Salim and Sulaiman, 2011, Andreassi 2003). Hitt et al. (1997), states that effective innovation results in sustainable competitive advantage. Small companies are perfect for developing innovations that do not require large amounts of capital. Innovation is aided by cross-functional teams, inter-functional integration can reduce the time it takes companies to introduce innovative products to the market, develop product quality, and help companies create value for targeted customers.

2.2 Business Performance

Business performance can be measured by a number of actions that can be broadly divided into financial performance and nonfinancial performance. Measures of business financial performance (income such as sales growth, economic added value, and cash flow). Measured with time lag as only considering financial performance measures in unnecessary competitive environments. The measure of market performance is an important group of nonfinancial performance. Market performance refers to customer satisfaction and customer loyalty whereas financial performance refers to sales value, sales growth, and gross profit or gross profit. Market performance has a positive impact on financial performance. Bodlaj (2010), measures six market orientation constructions that are responsive market orientation, proactive market orientation, degree of novelty, innovation performance, market performance, and financial performance. Another view on the performance of the company disclosed by Jauch and Glueck (1999), that the performance of a company can be seen from the aspect of quantitative and qualitative. Quantitatively the performance of a company can be seen from the company's achievement compared to what it did in the past, or compare it with its competitors in a number of factors such as net profit, stock price, dividend rate, share earnings profit, return on capital, equity returns, market share, sales growth, number of working days lost due to strike labor, production cost and efficiency. While qualitative measures of questions asked to find out whether the objectives, strategies, and plans are comprehensively integrated from a company is consistent, appropriate and can work well or not. Company performance is measured by using two aspects: market performance and financial performance. Market performance refers to the extent to which a company reaches its market share, profit ratio, and customer satisfaction. Financial performance refers to the extent to which firms make a relative profit, return on

investment, and total sales growth. (Salim and Sulaiman, 2011). While Gunday et al. (2009), company performance can be seen on four factors namely a) financial performance factor b) innovative performance factor c) production performance factor d) market performance factor Business organizations can measure their performance by using financial and nonfinancial measures. The financial size is usually sales and profit before tax (Inoghuci, 2011), while non-financial measures such as customer satisfaction, employee turnover, productivity (Chong, 2008). To measure the company's performance and the effectiveness of resource use can be done with four approaches, namely, objectives, resource system approach, stakeholder approach, and competitive value approach evaluating the company's performance on its ability to meet the needs and expectations of external stakeholders, eg customers, suppliers and competitors.

3 DISCUSSION

3.1 Innovation Strategy as a Driver of SME Performance

Innovation strategy is a key driver for the performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) by applying innovation culture in a strategic and structured way. To improve the performance of SMEs through enhancing cultures and innovation strategies that are aligned and closely related to the innovation process (Salim and Sulaiman, 2011; Hoq and Chowdhury 2012). Bodlaj (2010), explains the performance of innovation refers to the sale of new products, new product market share, timely new product launch, new percent of product sales in total sales. New products must provide value for customers therefore market orientation is an important factor in successful new product development. Innovation can be a potential solution for SMEs in developing countries in the world (Hafeez et al., 2012). Companies that have the resources to improve innovation ability can significantly increase production and market performance, so companies need to further enhance innovation activities (Gunday et al., 2009).

3.2 Impact of Innovation Strategy on SMEs Performance

Previous studies related to company innovation with performance include Najib et.al (2011) indicating that innovation affects the performance of manufacturing SMEs. Kuswantoro et.al (2012), states that innovation is a key driver for companies to pursue competitiveness and improve the performance of SMEs. Andreassi (2003), argued that small companies need to raise awareness of the importance of innovation investment. Uhlner et.al (2007), examines the relationship of knowledge management and innovation to company performance. Hafeez et.al (2012) states that innovation is considered an important element in business growth and an important factor of corporate excellence. Hitt et.al (1997), states that effective innovation leads to sustainable competitive advantage.

4 CONCLUSION

Innovation has an influence on the performance of manufacturing SMEs. This shows that company innovation can directly affect performance. The application of company innovation is measured by technological innovation, managerial innovation, marketing innovation, and product

innovation. Company innovation is done in an effort to make continuous improvement (sustainable) to company performance, so as to achieve the best performance (superior performance).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors would like to thank all those who have assisted in providing references so that the completion of this paper

REFERENCES

- [1] J.S. Bridle, "Probabilistic Interpretation of Feedforward Classification Network Outputs, with Relationships to Statistical Pattern Recognition," *Neurocomputing—Algorithms, Architectures and Applications*, F. Fogelman-Soulie and J. Herault, eds., NATO ASI Series F68, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp. 227-236, 1989. (Book style with paper title and editor)
- [2] Abideen.ZU, Saleem.S, 2011, Examining Market Orientation and its Impact on New-Product Success in Pakistan, *European Journal of Business and Management* ISSN 2222-1905 ISSN 2222-2839 (Online) Vol 3, No.4, 2011, (www.iiste.org/ accessed 7 Nov 2012)
- [3] Andreassi, T. 2003, Innovation in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises, *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management*, (Online), V.3, no.1 / 2, 2003, accessed Nov 9, 2012
- [4] Bodlaj Mateja, 2010, The impact of a responsive and Proactive market orientation On innovation and business Performance, *economic and business review*, (online), vol. 12 | no. 4 | 2010 | 241-261, pp: 241-261 (www.ebrjournal.net accessed November 6, 2012)
- [5] Chong, H.Gin, 2008, Measuring Performance of Small And Medium Sizes Enterprises; The Grounded Theory Approach, *Journal of Business and Public Affairs*, ISSN 1934-7219, Volume 2 Issue 1
- [6] Erdil S, Oya E, and Keskin H, 2004, The relationships between market Orientation, firm innovativeness and Innovation performance, *Journal of Global Business and Technology Turkey*, (online) pp: 1-11, (www.gbata.com/docs/jgbat/ accessed Oct 22, 2012)
- [7] Edwards, Delbridge.R, Munday.M, 2005, Understanding innovation in small and medium-sized enterprises: a process manifest, *Technovation* 25 (2005) 1119-1127 (Online), pp: 1120-1127, csc.xoc.uam.mx/ / ... / ... accessed Nov 17th. 2012)
- [8] Gunday G, Ulusoya G, Kilica K, and Alpkant L, 2009, Effects of Innovation Types On Firm Performance, *Journal of Engineering and Natural Sciences*, 34956 Orhanli-Tuzla, Istanbul, Turkey, online pp: 1-43 (www.sciencedirect.com/.../ accessed 24 Oct.2012)
- [9] Hinson R.Ebo, Mahmoud Mohammed Abdulai, 2011, qualitative insights into market orientation, *International Journal of Marketing Studies* (online) Vol. 3, No. 1; February 2011; pp 35-44, RE Hinson, MA Mahmoud - *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 2011 - ccsenet.org accessed October 24, 2012
- [10] Hajjipour B, Ghanavati.M, 2012, The Impact of Market Orientation and Organizational Culture on the Performance: Case Study of SMEs, *Journal of Contemporary Management*, (ISSNs): 1929-0128; 1929-0136 © 2012 Academic Research Center of Canada pp: 83-95 (www.bapress.ca/.../ Accessed November 13, 2012)
- [11] Hilmi.M.F, Ramayah.T, 2008, Market Innovativeness of Malaysian SMEs:
- [12] Preliminary Results from a First Wave Data Collection, *Asian Social Science*, Vol. 12. (Online) Dec 2008: pp 42-49 (www.ccsenet.org> ...> Hilmi, accessed 27 Nov.2012)
- [13] Han, JK; N. Kim, and R.K.Srivastava, 1998, Market Orientation and Organizational Performance: Is Innovation a Missing Link? *Journal of Marketing*, p: 30-45
- [14] Hoq.M.Z, Chowdhury.E.H, 2012, An Empirical Study of the Antecedents and Consequences of Innovativeness, *World Journal of Social Sciences* Vol. 2. No. 5. August 2012 Special Issue. (online) Pp. 184 - 197, (papers.ssm.com/sol3/papers.cfm? ... at access Nov. 14, 2012)
- [15] Hafeez, MH, Shariff, MN, Lazim.M, 2012, Relationship between Entrepreneurial Orientation, Firm Resources, SME Branding and Firm's Performance: Is Innovation the Missing Link ?, *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 2012, 2, 153-159 , (online) pp: 153-159 (www.scirp.org /.../ PaperInformation.aspx? ... - accessed 14 Nov 2012)
- [16] Hassim, AA, Nizam.A, Talib.A, Bakar, ARA, 2011, The Effects of Entrepreneurial Orientation on Firm Organizational Innovation and Market Orientation Towards Firm Business Performance, 2011 International Conference on Sociality and Economics Development (Online), IPEDR vol. 10 (2011) © (2011) IACSIT Press, Singapore pp: 280-284 (www.ipedr.com/vol10/2.pdf accessed November 6, 2012)
- [17] Hair, J.F.R, Anderson, R.L, Tatham, W.C, Black, 1998, *Multivariate Data Analysis*, Fifth Edition, Prentice Hall International, Inc., New Jersey
- [18] Hitt, Michael A .; R.Duane Ireland; Robert E. Hoskins, 1997, *Strategic Management: Facing the Era of Competition and Globalization*, Jakarta, Erland.
- [19] Idar.R, Mahmood.R, 2011, Entrepreneurial And Marketing Orientation Relationship To Performance: The Sme Perspective, *Interdisciplinary Review of Economics and Management* (Online), 2 (2011), pp: 1-8, (orientaljournals.org/ accessed 9 Nov 2012)
- [20] Inoghuci Junji, 2011, Implementation of Market Orientation in Small Sized Company: Case Study on a Japanese Apparel Manufacturer, *Int. J. Emerg. Sci* (Online), 1 (3), Sept 2011 ISSN: 2222-4254 © IJES 200, pp: 200-210, (barrel.ih.otaru-uc.ac.jp/ accessed Nov 8, 2012)
- [21] Jaiyeoba Olumide, 2011, The Impact of Market Orientation on SMMEs in Developing Economies: A Case-study of Botswana, *International Journal of Business Administration* (Online), Vol. 2, No. 3; August 2011, www.sciedu.ca/journal/index.php/ijba/

accessed 10 Nov 2012)

- [22] Johnson Aaron J, Clay C., Dibrell, Eric Hansen, 2009, Market Orientation, Innovativeness, and Performance of Food Companies, *Journal of Agribusiness* 27, 1/2 (Spring / Fall 2009); Agricultural Economics Association of Georgia (online) 85-106. (ageconsearch.umn.edu./JAB,Spr-Fall09,%2..diakses 23 okt 2013)
- [23] Jauch L.R and Glueck W.F, 1999, *Strategic Management and Corporate Policy*. Third Edition, Jakarta, Erland
- [24] Kohli, Ajay K. and Bernard J. Jaworski, 1990, Market Orientation, The Construct, Research Propositions, and Managerial Implications, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol.54, p.1-18 (April 1990) (Online) pp1-18: (faculty.fuqua.duke.edu// on access April 6, 2013)
- [25] Kassim, A.Z, Solomon, M. 2011, Market Orientation And Leadership Styles Of Managers In Malaysia, *International Journal of Leadership Studies* (Online), Vol. 6 Iss. 2, 2011 © 2011 School of Global Leadership & Entrepreneurship, Regent University ISSN 1554-3145 pp: 230-245 (www.regent.edu/acad/.../4_.pdf on access 10 Nov 2012)
- [26] Kiran V, Majumdar M, Kishore K, 2012, Innovative marketing strategies for Micro, small & medium enterprises, *Interdisciplinary Journal Of Contemporary Research In Business*, Bangalore India, (online) June 2012 Vol 4, No 2, pp1059-1066, (journal -archives19.webs.com/1059-1066.pdf accessed October 22, 2012)
- [27] Kuswanto F, Rosli M, Kader RA, 2012, Innovation in Distribution Channel, Cost Efficiency & Firm Performance: The Case of Indonesian Small & Medium Enterprise Scales, *International Journal of Business, Humanities and Technology*, Center for Promoting Ideas, USA) June 2012 Vol. 2 No. 4; pp 23-38 (www.ijbhtnet.com/journals/Vol_2.../ accessed October 24, 2012)
- [28] Marsuki, 2006, *Thought and Strategy to Empower the Economic Sector of UMKM in Indonesia*, First Edition, Mitra Discourse Media, Jakarta.
- [29] Modi Pratik, 2012, Market Orientation in Nonprofit Organizations: Innovativeness, Resource Scarcity, and Performance, *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, (online) 12, 275-293. pp 1-10 (marketing.conference-services.net / ... accessed 24 Oct.2012)
- [30] Man Mandy Mok Kim, 2009, The Relationship Between Distinctive Capabilities, Innovativeness, Strategy Types And The Performance Of Small And Medium-Size Enterprises (SMEs) Of Malaysian Manufacturing Sector, *International Business & Economics Research Journal - (online)* November 2009 Volume 8, Number 11; pp 21-33 (journals.cluteonline.com/ accessed 25 oct.2012)
- [31] Najib Mukhamad, Kiminami, A. and Yagi, H., 2011, Competitiveness of Indonesian Small and Medium Food Processing Industry: Does the Location Matter ?, *International Journal of Business and Management* (Online), Vol. 6, No. 9; September 2011, (www.ccsenet.org accessed November 2012)
- [32] Narver.JC and Slater, SF, 1990, The Effect of a Market Orientation on Business Profitability, *Journal of Marketing*, October 1990, (Online) pp: 20-35 (bear.warrington.ufl.edu/weitz/mar7786/ .. /narver%2 on access April 6, 2013)
- [33] Narver, John C and Stanley F. Slater, 1990, The Effect of Market Orientation on Business Profitability, *Journal of Marketing*, October, p.20-35.
- [34] Opeda, O. Frederick. Jaiyeoba O, O., Donatus, A., 2011, Market Orientation: Journey from Antecedents to Business Performance in a Developing Economy A Case-Study of Botswana's Small and Medium Size Manufacturing Firms, *International Journal of Business Administration*, (Online), Vol . 2, No. 4; November 2011, (www.sciedu.ca/ijba accessed November 5, 2013)
- [35] Sulyanto, Rahab, 2012, The Role of Market Orientation and Learning Orientation in Improving Innovativeness and Performance of Small and Medium Enterprises, *Asian Social Science* Vol. 8, No. 1; January 2012 pp: 134-145 (www.ccsenet.org> accessed 28 Nov.2012)
- [36] Salim Islam Muhammad, Sulaiman Mohamed, 2011, Impact Of Organizational Innovation On The Firm Performance: Evidence From Malaysian-Based Ict Companies, *Business and Management Review* (online) Vol. 1 (5) July, 2011 ISSN: 2047 - 0398; pp 10-16, (www.doaj.org/doaj?func=abstract&id Accessed 22 Oct 2012)
- [37] Uhlaner.L, Stel.AV, Meijaard.J, Folkeringa.M, 2007, The relationship between knowledge management, innovation and firm performance: evidence from Dutch SMEs, *SCALES-initiative SCientific AnaLysis of Entrepreneurship and SMEs*, (online), (www .ondernemerschap.nl / pdf. / -diakses Nov. 26, 2012)
- [38] Vázquez R, Maria Leticia Santos, Luis Ignacio Álvarez, 2001, Market orientation, innovation and competitive strategies in industrial Firms, *journal of strategic marketing* ISSN (online) 0965-90; pp 69-90. (unpan1.un.org/intracod/.../ Oct. 25, 2012)