

## SURFACE STRATEGY TAXONOMY ANALYSIS OF MORPHOLOGICAL ERRORS ON THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS' RECOUNT TEXT WRITING AT SMPN 2 NAWANGAN IN THE 2013/2014 ACADEMIC YEAR

## **THESIS**

# By: KUSUMASTUTI DIAN PRATIWI NIM 090210401015

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTYOF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
THE UNIVERSITY OF JEMBER
2014



# SURFACE STRATEGY TAXONOMY ANALYSIS OF MORPHOLOGICAL ERRORS ON THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS' RECOUNT TEXT WRITING AT SMPN 2 NAWANGAN IN THE 2013/2014 ACADEMIC YEAR

## **THESIS**

Presented as one of the requirements to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education
Study Program of the Language and Arts Education Department
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
The University of Jember

By:

KUSUMASTUTI DIAN PRATIWI
NIM 090210401015

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
THE UNIVERSITY OF JEMBER
2014

STATEMENT OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY

I certify that this thesis is an original and authentic piece of work by the

author herself. All materials incorporated from secondary sources have been fully

acknowledged and referenced.

I certify that the content of the thesis is the result of work which has been

carried out since the official commencement date of the approved thesis title; this

thesis has not been submitted previously, in whole or in part, to qualify for any other

academic award; ethics procedures and guidlines of thesis writing from the university

and the faculty have been followed.

I am aware of the potential consequences of any breach of the procedures and

guidelines, e.g. cancellation of my academic award.

I hereby grant to the University of Jember the wish to archive and to

reproduce and communicate to the public my thesis or project in whole or in part in

the University/Faculty libraries in all forms of media, now or hereafter known.

Jember, November 2014

The Writer

Kusumastuti Dian P

NIM 090210401015

ii

## **DEDICATION**

# This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- 1. My beloved parents, Wirtono and Endang Triastuti
- 2. My beloved aunt, Sri Murdayani
- 3. SIAware 22 family

# **MOTTO**

"You can't learn without goofing"

(Heidi C. Dulay and Marina K. Burt)

## **CONSULTANTS' APPROVAL**

# Surface Strategy Taxonomy Analysis of Morphological Errors on the Eighth Grade Students' Recount Text Writing at SMPN 2 Nawangan in the 2013/2014 Academic Year

## **THESIS**

Presented as one of the requirement to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education
Study Program of the Language and Arts Education Department
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
The University of Jember

Name : Kusumastuti Dian Pratiwi

**Identification Number**: 090210401015

Level : 2009

Place, Date of Birth : Pacitan, 28 September 1991
Department : Language and Arts Education

Programment : English Education Studies

Program : English Education Study

Approved by:

Consultant 1 Consultant 2

Dr. Aan Erlyana Fardhani, M.Pd Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, MA

NIP. 196503091989022001 NIP. 195904121987021001

## APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

## **THESIS**

This thesis entitled "Surface Strategy Taxonomy Analysis of Morphological Errors on the Eighth Grade Students' Recount Text Writing at SMPN 2 Nawangan in the 2013/2014 Academic Year" is approved and received by the Examination Committee of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of the University of Jember.

Day : Monday

Date : 29 September 2014

Place: The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

**Examiner Committee:** 

Chairperson, Secretary,

Dra. Musli Ariani, Mapp.Ling

Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A

NIP. 1968060219940321001

NIP. 195904121987021001

Members,

Member 1,

Member 2,

Dr. Aan Erlyana Fardhani, M.Pd

Dr.Budi Setyono, M.A

NIP. 196503091989022001

NIP. 196307171990021001

The Dean,
The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

<u>Prof. Dr. Sunardi, M.Pd</u> NIP. 195405011983031005

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First of all, I would like to thank the almighty Allah SWT because of His blessing and guidance, so that I am able to finish my thesis entitled "Surface Strategy Taxonomy Analysis of Morphological Errors on the Eighth Grade Students' Recount Text Writing at SMPN 2 Nawangan in the 2013/2014 Academic Year".

In relation to the writing and finishing of this thesis, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and sincere thanks to the following people:

- 1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education.
- 2. The Chairperson of the Language and Arts Education Department.
- 3. The Chairperson of the English Education Study Program.
- 4. The Consultans, Dr. Aan Erlyana Fardhani, M.Pd and Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A, for their guidance and suggestion in accomplishing this thesis.
- 5. The Principal of SMPN 2 Nawangan and the English Teacher, the Administration Staff, and the eighth grade students who helped me to to obtain the data for the research.

Finally, I expect that this thesis will be useful not only for me but also for the readers. Any constructive critics and valuable suggestion will be fully appreciated.

Jember, November 2014
The Writer

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover	i
Statement of Thesis Authenticity	ii
Dedication	ii
Motto	iv
Consultants' Approval	v
Approval of the Examination Committee	vi
Acknowledgement	vii
Table of Contents	vii
List of Appendices	Xi
List of Tables	xii
Summary	xiii
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Research	1
1.2 Problems of the Research	5
1.3 Objectives of the Research	5
1.4 Significances of the Research	6
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Definition of Errors	7
2.2 The Distinction between Errors and Mistakes	8
2.3 Types of Errors based on Surface Strategy Taxonomy	9
2.3.1 Omission	9
2.3.2 Addition	10

2.3.3 Misformation	12
2.3.4 Misordering	14
2.4 The Study of Morphology	14
2.4.1 The Definition of Morphology	14
2.4.2 The Definition of Morphemes	15
2.4.3 The Types of Morpheme	15
2.5 The Object of Morphological Error Analysis	17
2.5.1 Possessive Inflection Errors	17
2.5.2 Plural Noun Inflection Errors	18
2.5.3 Past Tense Inflection Errors	19
2.5.4 Past Participle Inflection Errors	20
2.6 Recount Text Writing	22
2.6.1 Types of Recount Text	22
2.6.2 Differences between Recount and Narrative	25
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD	
	27
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD	
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	28
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	28 29
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	28 29
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	28 29 29
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	28 29 29 29 30
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	28 29 29 29 30
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design	

3.5.5 Drawing Conclusion	33
3.6 Operational Definition of the Terms	33
3.6.1 Morphological Errors	34
3.6.2 Recount Text Writing	34
3.6.3 Surface Strategy Taxonomy Analysis	34
CHAPTER 4. RESULT, DATA ANALYSIS, AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 The Result and the Analysis of the Research	35
4.1.1 The Results and the Analysis of the Writing Test	35
4.1.2 The Results of Interview	45
4.1.3 The Results of Documentation	46
4.2 Discussion	46
CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
5.1 Conclusion	49
5.2 Suggestion	50
5.2.1 For the English Teacher	50
5.2.2 For the Students	51
5.2.3 For the Future Researchers	51
REFERENCES	52
APPENDICES	55

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1	: Research Matrix	55
Appendix 2	: Research Instrument	57
Appendix 3	: Teacher's Interview	58
Appendix 4	: Names of Respondents	59
Appendix 5	: The Classification of Morphological Errors in Students' Recoun	t
	Text	60
Appendix 6	: The Examples of Students' Works	63
Appendix 7	: Statement Letter for Accomplishing the Research from SMP	N 2
	Nawangan	67

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	: Differences Between Errors and Mistakes	8
Table 2.2	: Classification of Morphemes	6
Table 4.1	: Identification of Morphological Errors based on Surface Strategy  Taxonomy	7
Table 4.2	: The Total Number of Errors based on Surface Strategy Taxonomy	0
Table 4.3	: The Result of Classification of Morphological Errors based on Surface Strategy Taxonomy	0
Table 4.4	: The Percentage of Morphological Errors of each Component made by Students	

#### **SUMMARY**

"Surface Strategy Taxonomy Analysis of Morphological Errors on the Eighth Grade Students' Recount Text Writing at SMPN 2 Nawangan in the 2013/2014 Academic Year"; Kusumastuti Dian Pratiwi, 090210401015; 2014: English Education Program of Language and Arts Education Department, the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, The University of Jember.

This research was conducted to describe the surface strategy taxonomy analysis of morphological errors on the eighth grade students' recount text writing at SMPN 2 Nawangan in the 2013/2014 academic year. The research problems of this research deal with the eighth grade students' morphological errors in their recount text writing and the percentage of the morphological errors made by eighth grade students at SMPN 2 Nawangan in their recount text writing.

There were four classes of the eighth grade at the school and the total population of those four was 126 students. In this research, the research respondents were determined by using cluster random sampling by lottery because each class has different schedule of English lesson. Consequently, the researcher followed the schedule used. The main data of this research were collected from the students' writing test in the form of recount text, while the supporting data were collected by using interview and documentation.

From the data analysis result, it was known that the students made 8 types of morphological errors based on the surface strategy taxonomy. It was found that the number of morphological errors made by the students in their recount text writing based on the surface strategy taxonomy was 73 errors. It covered three types of errors, they were omission errors, addition errors, and misformation errors. the number of each type were 52 omission errors, 10 addition errors, and 11 misformation errors. Those 52 omission errors covered 6 errors of possessive

inflection or 8,22% of the whole errors, 27 errors of plural noun inflection or 36,98% of the whole errors, 17 errors of past tense inflection or 23,29% of the whole errors, and 2 errors of past participle inflection errors or 2,74% of the whole errors. Then, those 10 addition errors covered 2 errors of plural noun inflection or 2,74% of the whole errors, and 8 errors of plural noun inflection or 10,96% of the whole errors. While those 11 misformation errors covered 8 errors of plural noun inflection or 10,96% of the whole errors and 3 errors of past tense inflection or 4,11% of the whole errors.

In conclusion, it is known that the omission errors of plural noun inflection was the most made morphological errors based on the surface strategy in the students' recount text writing. These errors might happen since the system of Indonesian as the students' native language is different from system of English that is the foreign language in Indonesia, especially the system of plurality. Besides, the students' errors might occur since the teacher used conventional method in teaching writing and did not use other media except the book in teaching English. Further, the students' motivation in learning English is insufficient since their belief that consider English is difficult. As a result, the students might bored in learning English in the class and have no motivation in learning English. Therefore, it is better for both teacher and students to be active in solving their English problems