

595 - 606

ISBN : 978-602-96426-0-5



PROCEEDING of

IndoMS International Conference on Mathematics and Its Applications (ICMA) 2009

Yogyakarta - Indonesia, October 12th - 13th, 2009



Published by
IndoMS (Indonesian Mathematical Society)

Secretariat :
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics & Natural Sciences
Gadjah Mada University
Kampus Sekip Utara
Yogyakarta - Indonesia, 55281
Phone : +62 - 274 - 552243 ; 7104933
Fax. : +62 - 274 555131

Copyright © 2010

Super Edge-antimagic Total Labeling of Disjoint Union of Triangular Ladder and Lobster Graphs

Dafik
Mathematics Education Department
FKIP University of Jember
d.dafik@gmail.com

Slamin, M Fuad, Riris R.R.
Mathematics Education Department
FKIP University of Jember
{slamin, fuad, riris}@gmail.com

Abstract

A graph G of order p and size q is called an (a, d) -edge-antimagic total if there exist a bijection $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p + q\}$ such that the edge-weights, $w(uv) = f(u) + f(v) + f(uv)$, $uv \in E(G)$, form an arithmetic sequence with first term a and common difference d . Such a graph G is called *super* if the smallest possible labels appear on the vertices. In this paper we study super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total properties of disconnected graphs triangular ladder and lobster.

Keywords : (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling, super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling, triangular ladder, lobster graph.

1 Introduction

By a *labeling* we mean any mapping that carries a set of graph elements onto a set of numbers, called *labels*. In this paper, we deal with labelings with domain the set of all vertices and edges. This type of labeling belongs to the class of *total* labelings. We define the *edge-weight* of an edge $uv \in E(G)$ under a total labeling to be the sum of the vertex labels corresponding to vertices u, v and edge label corresponding to edge uv .

An (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling on a graph G is a bijective function $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p+q\}$ with the property that the edge-weights $w(uv) = f(u) + f(v) + f(uv)$, $uv \in E(G)$, form an arithmetic progression $\{a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (q - 1)d\}$, where $a > 0$ and $d \geq 0$ are two fixed integers. If such a labeling exists then G is said to be an (a, d) -edge-antimagic total graph. Such a graph G is called *super* if the smallest possible labels appear on the vertices. Thus, a *super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total graph* is a graph that admits a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling.

The concept of (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling, introduced by Simanjuntak *et al.* in [12], is natural extension of the notion of *edge-magic* labeling defined by Kotzig and Rosa [10] (see also [1], [8], [11] and [15]). The super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling is natural extension of the notion of *super edge-magic* labeling which was defined by Enomoto *et al.* in [7].

In this paper we investigate the existence of super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labelings for disconnected graphs. Some constructions of super $(a, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total labelings for $nC_k \cup mP_k$ and $K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$ have been shown by Ivančo and Lučkaničová in [9] and super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labelings for $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$, $nP_2 \cup P_n$ and $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$ have been described by Sudarsana *et al.* in [13]. Dafik *et al.* also found some families of graph which admits super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labelings, namely $mC_n, mP_n, mK_{\underbrace{n, n, \dots, n}_s}$ and m caterpillars in [4, 5, 6].

We will now concentrate on the disjoint union of m copies of triangular ladder and lobster, denoted by $m\mathcal{L}_n$ and $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$.

2 Some Useful Lemmas

We start this section by a necessary condition for a graph to be super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total, providing a least upper bound for feasible values of d .

Lemma 1 *If a (p, q) -graph is super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total then $d \leq \frac{2p+q-5}{q-1}$.*

Proof. Assume that a (p, q) -graph has a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p+q\}$. The minimum possible edge-weight in the labeling f is at least $1 + 2 + p + 1 = p + 4$. Thus, $a \geq p + 4$. On the other hand, the maximum possible edge-weight is at most $(p - 1) + p + (p + q) = 3p + q - 1$. So we obtain $a + (q - 1)d \leq 3p + q - 1$ which gives the desired upper bound for the difference d . \square

The following lemma, proved by Figueroa-Centeno *et al.* in [8], gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be super edge-magic (super $(a, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total).

Lemma 2 *A (p, q) -graph G is super edge-magic if and only if there exists a bijective function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ such that the set $S = \{f(u) + f(v) : uv \in E(G)\}$ consists of q consecutive integers. In such a case, f extends to a super edge-magic labeling of G with magic constant $a = p + q + s$, where $s = \min(S)$ and $S = \{a - (p + 1), a - (p + 2), \dots, a - (p + q)\}$.*

In our terminology, the previous lemma states that a (p, q) -graph G is super $(a, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total if and only if there exists an $(a - p - q, 1)$ -edge-antimagic vertex labeling.

Next, we restate the following lemma that appeared in [14].

Lemma 3 [14] *Let \mathfrak{A} be a sequence $\mathfrak{A} = \{c, c + 1, c + 2, \dots, c + k\}$, k even. Then there exists a permutation $\Pi(\mathfrak{A})$ of the elements of \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} + \Pi(\mathfrak{A}) = \{2c + \frac{k}{2}, 2c + \frac{k}{2} + 1, 2c + \frac{k}{2} + 2, \dots, 2c + \frac{3k}{2} - 1, 2c + \frac{3k}{2}\}$.*

3 Disjoint Union of Triangular Ladder

Disjoint union of m copies of triangular ladder denoted by $m\mathcal{L}_n$ is a disconnected graph with vertex set $V(m\mathcal{L}_n) = \{u_i^j v_i^j : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ and edge set $E(m\mathcal{L}_n) = \{u_i^j u_{i+1}^j, v_i^j v_{i+1}^j, u_i^j v_{i+1}^j : 1 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq m\} \cup \{u_i^j v_i^j : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$. Thus $|V(m\mathcal{L}_n)| = p = 2mn$ and $|E(m\mathcal{L}_n)| = q = m(4n-3)$.

If the disjoint union of m copies of a triangular ladder $m\mathcal{L}_n$, has a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling then, for $p = 2mn$ and $q = m(4n-3)$, it follows from Lemma 1 that the upper bound of d is $d \leq 2 + \frac{3m-3}{4nm-3m}$ or $d \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

The following theorem describes an $(a, 1)$ -edge-antimagic vertex labeling for disjoint union of m copies of a triangular ladder.

Theorem 1 *If $m \geq 3$ is odd and $n \geq 2$, then the graph $m\mathcal{L}_n$ has an $(a, 1)$ -edge-antimagic vertex labeling.*

Proof. Define the vertex labeling $\alpha_1 : V(m\mathcal{L}_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2mn\}$ in the following way:

$$\alpha_1(v_i^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{j+1}{2} + (i-1)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\text{mod}3), j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m+j+1}{2} + (i-1)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\text{mod}3), j \text{ even} \\ 3m+1-j+(i-2)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\text{mod}3), \text{ any } j \\ 4m+\frac{m+j}{2}+(i-3)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\text{mod}3), j \text{ odd} \\ 4m+\frac{j}{2}+(i-3)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\text{mod}3), j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_1(u_i^j) = \begin{cases} m+\frac{m+j}{2}+(i-1)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\text{mod}3), j \text{ odd} \\ m+\frac{j}{2}+(i-1)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\text{mod}3), j \text{ even} \\ 3m+\frac{j+1}{2}+(i-2)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\text{mod}3), j \text{ odd} \\ 3m+\frac{m+j+1}{2}+(i-2)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\text{mod}3), j \text{ even} \\ 6m+1-j+(i-3)2m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\text{mod}3), \text{ any } j \end{cases}$$

The vertex labeling α_1 is a bijective function. The edge-weights of $m\mathcal{L}_n$, under the labeling α_1 , constitute the following sets

$$W_{\alpha_1}^1(u_i^j v_i^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{3m+2j+1}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\text{mod}3) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{12m-j+3}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\text{mod}3) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{13m-j+3}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\text{mod}3) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{21m-j+2}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\text{mod}3) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{20m-j+2}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\text{mod}3) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$W_{\alpha_1}^2(u_i^j u_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{9m+2j+1}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\text{mod}3) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{18m-j+3}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\text{mod}3) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{19m-j+3}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\text{mod}3) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{27m-j+2}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\text{mod}3) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{26m-j+2}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\text{mod}3) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$W_{\alpha_1}^3(v_i^j v_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{6m-j+3}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{7m-j+3}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{15m-j+2}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{14m-j+2}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{21m+2j+1}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \end{cases}$$

$$W_{\alpha_1}^4(u_i^j v_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{9m-j+2}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{8m-j+2}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{15m+2j+1}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{24m-j+3}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{25m-j+3}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that the set $\bigcup_{r=1}^4 W_{\alpha_1}^r = \{\frac{3m+3}{2}, \frac{3m+5}{2}, \dots, \frac{8mn-3m+1}{2}\}$ consists of consecutive integers. Thus α_1 is a $(\frac{3m+3}{2}, 1)$ -edge antimagic vertex labeling. \square

Theorem 2 *If $m \geq 3$ odd and $n \geq 2$ then the graph $m\mathcal{L}_n$ has a super $(\frac{3m(4n-1)+3}{2}, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling and a super $(\frac{m(4n+3)+5}{2}, 2)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling.*

Proof.

Case 1. $d = 0$

We have proved that the vertex labeling α_1 is a $(\frac{3m+3}{2}, 1)$ -edge antimagic vertex labeling. With respect to Lemma 2, by completing the edge labels $p+1, p+2, \dots, p+q$, we are able to extend labeling α_1 to a super $(a, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling, where, for $p = 2mn$ and $q = m(4n-3)$, the value $a = \frac{3m(4n-1)+3}{2}$.

Case 2. $d = 2$

Label the vertices of $m\mathcal{L}_n$ with $\alpha_2(v_i^j) = \alpha_1(v_i^j)$ and $\alpha_2(u_i^j) = \alpha_1(u_i^j)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$; and label the edges with the following way.

$$\alpha_2(u_i^j v_i^j) = \begin{cases} 2mn + j + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{m(4n+9)-j+2}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{2m(2n+5)-j+2}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{2m(2n+9)-j+1}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+17)-j+1}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_2(u_i^j u_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} m(2n+3) + j + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{m(4n+15)-j+2}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{4m(n+4)-j+2}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{2m(2n+12)-j+1}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+23)-j+1}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_2(v_i^j v_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{m(4n+3)-j+2}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{4m(n+1)-j+2}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{4m(n+3)-j+1}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+11)-j+1}{2} + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ 2mn + 9m + j + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_2(u_i^j v_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2m(2n+3)-j+1}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+5)-j+1}{2} + (i-1)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ 2mn + 6m + j + (i-2)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{m(4n+21)-j+2}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{2m(2n+11)-j+2}{2} + (i-3)4m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

The total labeling α_2 is a bijective function from $V(m\mathcal{L}_n) \cup E(m\mathcal{L}_n)$ onto the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 6mn - 3m\}$. The edge-weights of $m\mathcal{L}_n$, under the labeling α_2 , constitute the sets

$$W_{\alpha_2}^1(u_i^j v_i^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{m(4n+3)+4j+1}{2} + (i-1)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{m(4n+21)-2j+5}{2} + (i-2)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+23)-2j+5}{2} + (i-2)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{m(4n+39)-2j+3}{2} + (i-3)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+37)-2j+3}{2} + (i-3)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$W_{\alpha_2}^2(u_i^j u_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{m(4n+15)+4j+1}{2} + (i-1)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{m(4n+33)-2j+5}{2} + (i-2)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+35)-2j+5}{2} + (i-2)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{m(4n+51)-2j+3}{2} + (i-3)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+49)-2j+3}{2} + (i-3)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$W_{\alpha_2}^3(v_i^j v_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{m(4n+9)-2j+5}{2} + (i-1)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+11)-2j+5}{2} + (i-1)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{m(4n+27)-2j+3}{2} + (i-2)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+25)-2j+3}{2} + (i-2)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{m(4n+39)+4j+1}{2} + (i-3)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \end{cases}$$

$$W_{\alpha_2}^4(u_i^j v_{i+1}^j) = \begin{cases} \frac{m(4n+15)-2j+3}{2} + (i-1)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+13)-2j+3}{2} + (i-1)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 1(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \\ \frac{m(4n+27)+4j+1}{2} + (i-2)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 2(\pmod{3}) \text{ and any } j \\ \frac{m(4n+45)-2j+5}{2} + (i-3)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(4n+47)-2j+5}{2} + (i-3)8m, & \text{for } i \equiv 3(\pmod{3}) \text{ and } j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that the set $\bigcup_{r=1}^4 W_{\alpha_2}^r = \left\{ \frac{m(4n+3)+5}{2}, \frac{m(4n+3)+9}{2}, \dots, \frac{20mn-9m+1}{2} \right\}$ contains an arithmetic sequence with the first term $\frac{m(4n+3)+5}{2}$ and common difference 2. Thus α_2 is a super $(\frac{m(4n+3)+5}{2}, 2)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling. This concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 3 *The graph $m\mathcal{L}_n$ has a super $(4mn + 2, 1)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling for $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Construct the bijective function of total labeling $\alpha_3 : V(m\mathcal{L}_n) \cup E(m\mathcal{L}_n) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 6mn - 3m\}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, as follows:

$$\alpha_3(u_i^j) = m + j + (i-1)2m,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_3(v_i^j) &= j + (i - 1)2m, \\
\alpha_3(u_i^j v_i^j) &= (6n - 3)m + 1 - j - (i - 1)2m, \\
\alpha_3(u_i^j u_{i+1}^j) &= (4n - 3)m + 1 - j - (i - 1)2m, \\
\alpha_3(v_i^j v_{i+1}^j) &= (4n - 2)m + 1 - j - (i - 1)2m, \\
\alpha_3(u_i^j v_{i+1}^j) &= (6n - 4)m + 1 - j - (i - 1)2m.
\end{aligned}$$

The total labeling α_3 is a bijective function from $V(m\mathcal{L}_n) \cup E(m\mathcal{L}_n)$ onto the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 6mn - 3m\}$. The edge-weights of $m\mathcal{L}_n$, under the labeling α_3 , constitute the sets

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\alpha_3}^1(u_i^j v_i^j) &= 2m(3n - 1) + j + 1 + (i - 1)2m, \\
W_{\alpha_3}^2(u_i^j u_{i+1}^j) &= m(4n + 1) + j + 1 + (i - 1)2m, \\
W_{\alpha_3}^3(v_i^j v_{i+1}^j) &= 4nm + j + 1 + (i - 1)2m, \\
W_{\alpha_3}^4(u_i^j v_{i+1}^j) &= m(6n - 1) + j + 1 + (i - 1)2m.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the set $\bigcup_{r=1}^4 W_{\alpha_3}^r = \{4nm + 2, 4nm + 3, \dots, 8mn - 3m + 1\}$ consists of consecutive integers. Thus α_3 is a super $(4nm + 2, 1)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling. \square

Apart from those cases, we do not have the complete answer. Therefore we propose the following open problem.

Open Problem 1 *For the graph $m\mathcal{L}_n$, $m \geq 2$ even and $n \geq 2$, determine if there is a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling with $d \in \{0, 2\}$.*

4 Disjoint Union of Lobster Graph

Lobster graph is a *tree* in which if we omit the leaves then it forms a caterpillar. Now, we will study super edge-antimagicness of a disjoint union of m copies of lobster, denoted by $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$. It is a disconnected graph with vertex set $V(\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}) = \{x_i^s \cup x_{i,j}^s \cup x_{i,j,k}^s, 1 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq k \leq l, 1 \leq s \leq m\}$ and edge set $E(\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}) = \{x_i^s x_{i+1}^s : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, 1 \leq s \leq m\} \cup \{x_i^s x_{i,j}^s \cup x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p, 1 \leq k \leq l, 1 \leq s \leq m\}$. Thus $|V(m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k})| = p = 5mn$ and $|E(m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k})| = q = 5mn - m$.

If the disjoint union of m copies of a lobster $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$, has a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling then, for $p = 5mn$ and $q = 5mn - m$, it follows from Lemma 1 that the upper bound of d is $d \leq 3 + \frac{2m-2}{5nm-m-1}$ or $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. We concentrate on the super edge-antimagicness of $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2$ and $k = 1$.

The following theorem describes an $(a, 1)$ -edge-antimagic vertex labeling for disjoint union of m copies of the lobsters.

Theorem 4 *If $m \geq 3$ is odd and $n \geq i \geq 2$ is even, then the graph $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$ has an $(a, 1)$ -edge-antimagic vertex labeling, for $1 \leq j \leq 2$ and $k = 1$.*

Proof. Define the vertex labeling $\alpha_4 : V(m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 5mn\}$ in the following way:

$$\alpha_4(x_i^s) = \begin{cases} \frac{m(5i-3)}{2} + s, & \text{for } i \text{ odd, } 1 \leq s \leq m \\ \frac{m(5n+5i-3)-s}{2} + 1, & \text{for } i \text{ even, } s \text{ odd} \\ \frac{5m(n+i)-s}{2} + 1 - m, & \text{for } i \text{ even, } s \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_4(x_{i,j}^s) = \begin{cases} \frac{5mi}{2} + s - 2m, & \text{for } j = 1, i \text{ even, } 1 \leq s \leq m \\ \frac{5mi}{2} + s - m, & \text{for } j = 2, i \text{ even, } 1 \leq s \leq m \\ \frac{5m(n+i)-s}{2} + 1 - 2m, & \text{for } j = 1, i \text{ odd, } s \text{ odd} \\ \frac{5m(n+i)-s}{2} + 1 - m, & \text{for } j = 2, i \text{ odd, } s \text{ odd} \\ \frac{m(5n+5i-3)-s}{2} + 1, & \text{for } j = 1, i \text{ odd, } s \text{ even} \\ \frac{m(5n+5i-1)-s}{2} + 1, & \text{for } j = 2, i \text{ odd, } s \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha_4(x_{i,j,k}^s) = \begin{cases} 5m(\frac{i-1}{2}) + s, & \text{for } j = 1, i \text{ odd, } 1 \leq s \leq m \\ \frac{m(5i-1)}{2} + s, & \text{for } j = 2, i \text{ odd, } 1 \leq s \leq m \\ \frac{5m(n+i-1)-s}{2} + 1, & \text{for } j = 1, i \text{ even, } s \text{ odd} \\ \frac{5m(n+i)-(s+m)}{2} + 1, & \text{for } j = 2, i \text{ even, } s \text{ odd} \\ \frac{5m(n+i)-s}{2} + 1 - 2m, & \text{for } j = 1, i \text{ even, } s \text{ even} \\ \frac{5m(n+i)-s}{2} + 1, & \text{for } j = 2, i \text{ even, } s \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

The vertex labeling α_4 is a bijective function. The edge-weights of $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$, under the labeling α_4 , constitute the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha_4}^1 &= \{w_{\alpha_4}^1(x_i^s x_{i+1}^s) : \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \text{ and } s \text{ odd}\} \\ &= \{\frac{5mn+10mi-m+s}{2} + 1 : \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \text{ and } s \text{ odd}\}, \\ W_{\alpha_4}^2 &= \{w_{\alpha_4}^1(x_i^s x_{i+1}^s) : \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \text{ and } s \text{ even}\} \\ &= \{\frac{5mn+s}{2} + 5mi + 1 : \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \text{ and } s \text{ even}\}, \\ W_{\alpha_4}^3 &= \{w_{\alpha_4}^1(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s) : \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ odd}\} \\ &= \{\frac{m(5n+10i-7)+s}{2} + 1 : \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ odd}\} \\ W_{\alpha_4}^4 &= \{w_{\alpha_4}^1(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s) : \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ odd}\} \\ &= \{\frac{m(5n+10i-5)+s}{2} + 1 : \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ odd}\} \\ W_{\alpha_4}^5 &= \{w_{\alpha_4}^1(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s) : \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ even}\} \\ &= \{\frac{5mn+s}{2} + m(5i-3) + 1 : \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ even}\} \\ W_{\alpha_4}^6 &= \{w_{\alpha_4}^1(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s) : \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ even}\} \\ &= \{\frac{5mn+s}{2} + m(5i-2) + 1 : \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ even}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\alpha_4}^7 &= \{w_{\alpha_1}^1(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s) : \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ odd}\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{m(5n+10i-9)+s}{2} + 1 : \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ odd} \right\} \\
W_{\alpha_4}^8 &= \{w_{\alpha_1}^1(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s) : \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ odd}\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{m(5n+10i-3)+s}{2} + 1 : \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ odd} \right\} \\
W_{\alpha_4}^9 &= \{w_{\alpha_1}^1(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s) : \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ even}\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{m(5n+10i-8)+s}{2} + 1 : \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ even} \right\} \\
W_{\alpha_4}^{10} &= \{w_{\alpha_1}^1(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s) : \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ even}\} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{m(5n+10i)+s}{2} + 1 - m : \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } s \text{ even} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that the set $\bigcup_{r=1}^{10} W_{\alpha_4}^r = \left\{ \frac{5mn+m+3}{2}, \frac{5mn+m+5}{2}, \dots, \frac{15mn-m+1}{2} \right\}$ consists of consecutive integers. Thus α_4 is a $(\frac{5mn+m+3}{2}, 1)$ -edge antimagic vertex labeling. \square

Theorem 5 *If $m \geq 3$ is odd and $n \geq i \geq 2$ is even then the graph $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$ has a super $(\frac{25mn-m-3}{2}, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling and a super $(\frac{15mn+m+5}{2}, 2)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling.*

Proof.

Case 1. $d = 0$

We have proved that the vertex labeling α_4 is a $(\frac{5mn+m+3}{2}, 1)$ -edge antimagic vertex labeling. With respect to Lemma 2, by completing the edge labels $p+1, p+2, \dots, p+q$, we are able to extend labeling α_4 to a super $(a, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling, where, for $p = 5mn$ and $q = 5mn - m$, the value $a = \frac{25mn-m-3}{2}$.

Case 2. $d = 2$

Label the vertices of $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$ with $\alpha_5(x_i^s) = \alpha_4(x_i^s)$, $\alpha_5(x_{i,j}^s) = \alpha_4(x_{i,j}^s)$ and $\alpha_5(x_{i,j,k}^s) = \alpha_4(x_{i,j,k}^s)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2, k = 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq m$; and label the edges with the following way.

$$\alpha_5(x_i^s x_{i+1}^s) = \begin{cases} m(5n + 5i - 1) + (\frac{1+s}{2}), & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, s \text{ odd} \\ m(5n + 5i) + (\frac{1+s-m}{2}), & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, s \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2$

$$\alpha_5(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s) = \begin{cases} m(5n + 5i - 4) + (\frac{1+s}{2}), & \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq s \leq n, s \text{ odd} \\ m(5n + 5i - 3) + (\frac{1+s}{2}), & \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq s \leq n, s \text{ odd} \\ 5m(n + i) + (\frac{s+1-7m}{2}), & \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq s \leq n, s \text{ even} \\ 5m(n + i) + (\frac{s+1-5m}{2}), & \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq s \leq n, s \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq 2$ and $k = 1$

$$\alpha_5(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s) = \begin{cases} 5m(n + i - 1) + (\frac{1+s}{2}), & \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq s \leq n, s \text{ odd} \\ m(5n + 5i - 2) + (\frac{1+s}{2}), & \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq s \leq n, s \text{ odd} \\ 5m(n + i) + (\frac{s+1-9m}{2}), & \text{for } j = 1, 1 \leq s \leq n, s \text{ even} \\ 5m(n + i) + (\frac{s+1-3m}{2}), & \text{for } j = 2, 1 \leq s \leq n, s \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

The total labeling α_5 is a bijective function from $V(m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}) \cup E(m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k})$ onto the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 10mn - m\}$. The edge-weights of $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$, under the labeling α_5 , constitute the sets

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\alpha_5}^1 &= W_{\alpha_4}^1 + \alpha_5(x_i^s x_{i+1}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ and } s \text{ odd} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{5mn+10mi-m+s}{2} + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi - m + (\frac{1+s}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^2 &= W_{\alpha_4}^2 + \alpha_5(x_i^s x_{i+1}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ and } s \text{ even} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{5mn+s}{2} + 5mi + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi + (\frac{1+s-m}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^3 &= W_{\alpha_4}^3 + \alpha_5(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j = 1, \text{ and } s \text{ odd} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{5mn+10mi-7m+s}{2} + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi - 4m + (\frac{s+1}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^4 &= W_{\alpha_4}^4 + \alpha_5(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j = 2, \text{ and } s \text{ odd} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{5mn+10mi-5m+s}{2} + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi - 3m + (\frac{s+1}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^5 &= W_{\alpha_4}^5 + \alpha_5(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j = 1, \text{ and } s \text{ even} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{5mn+s}{2} + 5mi - 3m + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi + (\frac{s+1-7m}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^6 &= W_{\alpha_4}^6 + \alpha_5(x_i^s x_{i,j}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j = 2, \text{ and } s \text{ even} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{5mn+s}{2} + 5mi - 2m + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi + (\frac{s+1-5m}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^7 &= W_{\alpha_4}^7 + \alpha_5(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j = 1, \text{ and } s \text{ odd} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{m(5n+10i-9)+s}{2} + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi - 5m + (\frac{s+1}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^8 &= W_{\alpha_4}^8 + \alpha_5(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j = 2, \text{ and } s \text{ odd} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{m(5n+10i-3)+s}{2} + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi - 2m + (\frac{s+1}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^9 &= W_{\alpha_4}^9 + \alpha_5(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j = 1, \text{ and } s \text{ even} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{m(5n+10i-8)+s}{2} + 1 \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi + (\frac{s+1-9m}{2})\} \\
W_{\alpha_5}^{10} &= W_{\alpha_4}^{10} + \alpha_5(x_{i,j}^s x_{i,j,k}^s); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, j = 2, \text{ and } s \text{ even} \\
&= \left\{ \frac{m(5n+10i)+s}{2} + 1 - m \right\} + \{5mn + 5mi + (\frac{s+1-3m}{2})\}
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that the set $\bigcup_{r=1}^{10} W_{\alpha_5}^r = \left\{ \frac{15mn+m+5}{2}, \frac{15mn+m+9}{2}, \dots, \frac{35mn-3m+1}{2} \right\}$ contains an arithmetic sequence with the first term $\frac{15mn+m+5}{2}$ and common difference 2. Thus α_5 is a super $(\frac{15mn+m+5}{2}, 2)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 6 *The graph $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$ has a super $(10mn + 2, 1)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling for $m \geq 3$ odd and $n \geq i \geq 2$ even.*

Proof. For $m \geq 3$ odd and $n \geq i \geq 2$ even, consider the vertex labeling α_4 of the graph $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$ from Theorem 4 which is a $(\frac{5mn+m+3}{2}, 1)$ -EAV labeling. Let a sequence $\mathfrak{A} = \{c, c+1, c+2, \dots, c+k\}$ be the set of edge-weights of the vertex labeling α_4 for $c = \frac{5mn+m+3}{2}$ and $k = 5mn - m - 1$. In light of Lemma 3, there exists a permutation $\Pi(\mathfrak{A})$ of the elements of \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} + [\Pi(\mathfrak{A}) - c + 5mn + 1] = \{c + \frac{15mn-m+1}{2}, c + \frac{15mn-m+1}{2} + 1, \dots, c + \frac{25mn-3m-1}{2}\}$. If $[\Pi(\mathfrak{A}) - c + 5mn + 1]$ is an edge labeling of

$m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$ then $\mathfrak{A} + [\Pi(\mathfrak{A}) - c + 5mn + 1]$ gives the set of the edge-weights of $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$, which implies that the resulting total labeling is super $(10mn + 2, 1)$ -EAT. This concludes the proof. \square

Apart from those cases, we have not found any super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling. Therefore we propose the following open problems.

Open Problem 2 For the graph $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$, $m \geq 3$ odd and $n \geq i \geq 2$ even, determine if there is a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling with $d = 3$.

Open Problem 3 For the graph $m\mathcal{L}_{i,j,k}$, either $m \geq 3$ odd and $n \geq i \geq 2$ odd; or $m \geq 3$ even and $n \geq i \geq 2$, determine if there is a super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling with $d \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

References

- [1] M. Bača, Y. Lin, M. Miller and R. Simanjuntak, New constructions of magic and antimagic graph labelings, *Utilitas Math.* **60** (2001), 229–239.
- [2] M. Bača and L. Brankovic, Edge-antimagicness for a class of disconnected graphs, *Ars Combin.*, in press.
- [3] M. Bača, Dafik, M. Miller and J. Ryan, On super (a, d) -edge antimagic total labeling of caterpillars, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **65** (2008), 61–70.
- [4] Dafik, M. Miller, J. Ryan and M. Bača, Super edge-antimagic total labelings of $mK_{n,n,n}$, *Ars Combinatoria* (2006), in press.
- [5] Dafik, M. Miller, J. Ryan and M. Bača, Antimagic total labeling of disjoint union of complete s -partite graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **65** (2008), 41–49.
- [6] Dafik, M. Miller, J. Ryan and M. Bača, On super (a, d) -edge antimagic total labeling of disconnected graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **309** (2009), 4909–4915.
- [7] H. Enomoto, A.S. Lladó, T. Nakamigawa and G. Ringel, Super edge-magic graphs, *SUT J. Math.* **34** (1998), 105–109.
- [8] R.M. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima and F.A. Muntaner-Batle, The place of super edge-magic labelings among other classes of labelings, *Discrete Math.* **231** (2001), 153–168.
- [9] J. Ivančo and I. Lučkaničová, On edge-magic disconnected graphs, *SUT Journal of Math.* **38** (2002), 175–184.
- [10] A. Kotzig and A. Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **13** (1970), 451–461.
- [11] G. Ringel and A.S. Lladó, Another tree conjecture, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **18** (1996), 83–85.

- [12] R. Simanjuntak, F. Bertault and M. Miller, Two new (a, d) -antimagic graph labelings, *Proc. of Eleventh Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms* (2000), 179–189.
- [13] I.W. Sudarsana, D. Ismailmuza, E.T. Baskoro and H. Assiyatun, On super (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labeling of disconnected graphs, *JCMCC* **55** (2005), 149–158.
- [14] K.A. Sugeng, M. Miller, Slamun and M. Bača, (a, d) -edge-antimagic total labelings of caterpillars, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 3330 (2005), 169–180.
- [15] W. D. Wallis, E. T. Baskoro, M. Miller and Slamun , Edge-magic total labelings, *Austral. J. Combin.* **22** (2000), 177–190.
- [16] W.D. Wallis, *Magic Graphs*, Birkhäuser, Boston - Basel - Berlin, 2001.