

LINGUISTIC ERROR CORRECTION FEEDBACK TO IMPROVE THE SEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS' WRITING ABILITY AT SMPN 1 PANJI IN THE 2011/2012 ACADEMIC YEAR.

THESIS

By: SUGIANTO NIM 060210491012

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM

LANGUAGE AND ARTS DEPARTMENT

THE FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

JEMBER UNIVERSITY

2014



LINGUISTIC ERROR CORRECTION FEEDBACK TO IMPROVE THE SEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS' WRITING ABILITY AT SMPN 1 PANJI IN THE 2011/2012 ACADEMIC YEAR.

THESIS

Composed to fulfill one of the requirements to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program, Language and Arts Education Department

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Jember University

By: SUGIANTO NIM 060210491012

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ARTS DEPARTMENT
THE FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
JEMBER UNIVERSITY
2014

STATEMENT OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY

I certify that this thesis is an original and authentic piece of work by

myself. All materials incorporated from secondary sources have been fully

acknowledged and referenced.

I certify that the content of the thesis is the result of work which has been

carried out since the official commencement date of the approved thesis title, this

thesis has not been submitted previously, in whole or in part, to qualify for any

other academic award; ethics procedure and guidelines of thesis writing from the

university and the faculty have been followed.

I am aware of the potential consequences of any breach of the procedures

and guidelines, for example cancellation of my academic award.

I hereby grant to Jember University the wish to archive and to reproduce

and communicate to the public my thesis or project in whole or in part in the

University/Faculty libraries in all forms of media, now or hereafter known.

Jember, February 12th, 2014

Sugianto

060210491012

ii

DEDICATION

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- 1. God for the gift of this wonderful life. God helps me through the good and the bad by giving me guidance along every path of my life.
- 2. My beloved father, H. Bukhori Muslim and my beloved mother, Hj. Martina.
- 3. My beloved brother, Budin and sisters, Jamilah, Miatun and Faridatus Suti'ah.

MOTTO

"Error is acceptable as long as we are young, but one must not drag it along into old age"

(Johann Wolfgang Von Goethe)

CONSULTANTS' APPROVAL

Linguistic Error Correction Feedback to Improve the Seventh Grade Students' Writing Ability at SMP N 1 Panji in the 2011/2012 Academic Year.

THESIS

Composed to fulfill one of the requirements to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program, Language and Arts Education Department The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Jember University

> Name : Sugianto

Identification Number : 060210491012

Level : 2006

: Jember, February 5th, 1986 Place, Date of Birth

Department : Language and Arts Program : English Education

Approved by:

Consultant I Consultant II

Dr. Budi Setyono, M.A Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd NIP.19630717 199002 1 001 NIP. 19561214 198503 2 001

APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

This thesis is approved and received by the Examination Committee of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University.

Day : Wednesday

Date : January 29th, 2014

Place : The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Examiners Team

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
The Dean,

Prof. Dr. Sunardi, M.Pd NIP. 19540501 198303 1 005

SUMMARY

Linguistic Error Correction Feedback to Improve the Seventh Grade Students' Writing Ability at SMPN 1 Panji in the 2011/2012 Academic Year; Sugianto, 060210491012; 2014:49; English Language Education Program of Language and Arts Education Department, the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

Writing is one of the four language skills in English that students have to learn in Indonesia. However, the curriculum expectations for the seventh grade students were varying with the fact happened at school. From the result of classroom observation in the preliminary study done at SMPN 1 Panji-Situbondo, there were some findings in which most of students had difficulties in expressing their ideas in written form. It was caused by the students' problems to write in correct structure and their lack of vocabulary. They often make errors in grammar, vocabulary and mechanics in their writing. In order to help students to improve their writing ability, linguistic error correction feedback was selected since the teacher once applied error correction in teaching writing.

In relation with the problems found in preliminary study, a classroom action research was conducted at SMPN 1 Panji Situbondo. The purpose of this research was to improve the students' descriptive text writing ability by applying linguistic error correction feedback at SMPN 1 Panji - Situbondo. The area of this research was SMPN 1 Panji - Situbondo. The school was chosen because the students faced difficulties in writing and often made errors in their writing.

The subjects of the research were the seventh I grade students of SMPN 1 Panji in the 2011/2012 academic year. There were nine classes in which each class consists of 32 students. The researcher chose grade seventh I that was categorized as the lowest achiever class. The English teacher said that the students of VII I had problems in writing compared to the other classes and often got lowest scores than others.

This research had two kinds of data, namely primary data and secondary data. The primary data were in the form of students' writing scores The primary

data were gained through the descriptive text writing test, and the supporting data were gained through interview and documentation. The primary data was analyzed by concerning the scoring system, analytical scoring method was used to score the writing aspects that covered grammar, vocabulary, mechanics, fluency and organization.

The improvement of students' writing achievement from cycle 1 to cycle 2 could be explained through the result of analysis. The result analysis confirmed that the mean average of students' writing achievement had improved from 4.8 in cycle 1 to 5.1 in cycle 2 for grammar and vocabulary aspects. In aspect of mechanics students' had improved from 4.8 in cycle 1 to 4.9 in cycle 2. The rest two aspects of writing had improved 0.2 point from 4.3 to 4.5 in cycle 2. Grammar, vocabulary and mechanics as aspects of writing had better improvement rather than fluency and organization since this research gave more attention in corrective feedback on those three aspects. In which the feedback to students' descriptive text writing given in written and oral feedback. Meanwhile, the researcher gave only oral feedback in the aspects of fluency and organization in writing.

Based on the reflection done in each cycle in this research, it showed that the students' writing achievement had improved from cycle 1 to cycle 2. It could be shown from the mean score 76.7 that was greater than the minimum requirement score 72. There were 26 of 32 students (81.25%) got scores greater than or equal to 72. In other words, they had achieved and reached the target of mean score of 72. Even the students achieved the score requirement, this research was continued to cycle 2 to ensure the improvement of the students' descriptive text writing ability. In cycle 2 were obtained the primary data in the form of students' descriptive text writing score. The mean scores obtained from descriptive text writing showed 30 of 32 students (93.75%) had achieved minimum requirement score. The mean score reached by the students was 80.2. This mean score had improved from cycle 1 with mean score was 76.7.

In relation to the problem of the research can linguistic error correction feedback can improve the seventh grade students' writing ability at SMP Negeri 1

Panji in the 2011/2012 academic year, it can be concluded that the hypothesis: "Linguistic Error correction feedback can improve the seventh grade students' writing ability at SMPN 1 Panji in the 2011/2012 academic year" was accepted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my greatest thanks to Allah S.W.T., the Almighty, who always leads and blesses me with His mercies and guidance, so I can finish my thesis entitled "Linguistic Error Correction Feedback to Improve the Seventh Grade Students' Writing Ability At SMPN 1 Panji in the 2011/2012 Academic Year."

I would also like to express my deepest appreciation and sincere thanks to the following people.

- 1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University,
- 2. The Chairperson of the Language and Arts Department,
- 3. The Chairperson of the English Education Programs,
- 4. My first consultant, Dr. Budi Setyono, M.A., and my second consultant, Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd. for their guidance, advice and motivation in accomplishing this thesis,
- 5. The lecturers of the English Education Program who have taught and given me a lot of knowledge,
- 6. The Principal, the English teacher, and the students of VII-I of SMP N 1 Panji Situbondo who helped and participated willingly to involve in this research,
- 7. My beloved parents, brother and sisters;
- 8. My beloved Almamater, Jember University.

I believe that this thesis might have some weaknesses. Therefore, I really hope that there will be criticism and suggestions from the readers to make this thesis better. I also hope that this thesis will be useful for the readers.

Jember, January 2014

Writer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TITLE PAGE		. i
STATEMENT	OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY	. ii
DEDICATION	「	. iii
MOTTO		. iv
CONSULTAN	T'S APPROVAL	. v
APPROVAL O	OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	. vi
SUMMARY		. vii
ACKNOWLE	OMENT	. x
TABLE OF CO	ONTENTS	. xi
THE LIST OF	APPENDICES	. xiv
THE LIST OF	TABLES	. XV
CHAPTER I. 1	NTRODUCTION	. 1
1.3	Background of the Research	. 1
1.2	2 Problems of the Research	. 3
1.3	3 Objectives of the Research	. 3
1.4	Scope of the Research	. 3
1.5	Significance of the Research	. 4
CHAPTER II.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	. 5
2.3	Descriptive Text	. 5
2.2	2 Aspect Evaluated in Descriptive Text	. 7
	2.2.1 Grammar	. 7
	2.2.2 Vocabulary	. 7
	2.2.3 Mechanics	. 8
	2.2.4 Fluency	. 10
	2.2.4 Organization	. 10
2.3	B Error Correction Feedback in Writing	. 12

	2.4	Linguistic Errors	14
		2.4.1 Simple Present Tense Errors	15
		2.4.1.1 Subject - Verb Agreement	15
		2.4.1.1 The Use of be (is, am, and are)	15
		2.4.2 The Use of Articles (a, an and the)	15
		2.4.3 The Use of Prepositions (in, on and at)	16
		2.4.4 Word Orders	17
	2.5	The Steps for Conducting Error Correction Feedback	
		on Students' Writing.	17
	2.6	Action Hypothesis	18
CHAPTER	III. F	RESEARCH METHOD	19
	3.1	Research Design	19
	3.2	Area Determination Method	22
	3.3	Subject Determination Method	23
	3.4	Data Collection Methods	23
		3.4.1 Writing Test	24
		3.4.2 Observation	27
	3.5	Research Procedures	27
	3.6	Operational Definition of Terms	30
CHAPTER	IV. F	RESEARCH RESULT AND DISCUSSION	3 2
	4.1	The Implementation of Actions in Cycle 1	32
		4.1.1 The Results Writing Achievement in Cycle 1	33
		4.1.2 The Results of Reflection in Cycle 1	38
	4.2	The Implementation of Actions in Cycle 2	39
		4.2.1 The Results Writing Achievement in Cycle 2	40
		4.2.2 The Results of Reflection in Cycle 2	42
	4.3	Discussion	43

CHAPTER V. C	ONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	47
5.1	Conclusion	47
5.2	Suggestions	47
REFERENCES.		49
APPENDICES		53

THE LIST OF APPENDICES

	F	age
1.	Research Matrix	53
2.	The Observation Guide of Preliminary Study	54
3.	Lesson Plan 1 (Cycle 1, Meeting 1)	55
4.	Lesson Plan 2 (Cycle 1, Meeting 2)	62
5.	Writing Test (Cycle 1)	68
6.	Lesson Plan 1 (Cycle 2, Meeting 1)	69
7.	Lesson Plan 2 (Cycle 2, Meeting 2)	75
8.	Writing Test (Cycle 2)	81
9.	The Students' Writing Achievement in Cycle 1	82
10.	The Scores of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 1	83
11.	The Students' Writing Achievement in Cycle 2	84
12.	The Scores of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 2	85
13.	The Samples Writing Test Result in Cycle 1	86
14.	The Samples Writing Test Result in Cycle 2	94
15.	Letter for Conducting Research from the Faculty of Teacher	
	Training and Education of Jember University	102
16.	Letter of Accomplishing the Research from SMP Negeri 1 Panji	
	Situbondo	103
17.	Consultation Sheet	104

THE LIST OF TABLES

1	Page
3.1 Students' Previous Mean Scores	23
3.2 The Scoring Criteria of the Students' Writing Descriptive Text	25
4.1 The Students Writing Achievement in Cycle 1	34
4.2 The Score of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 1	35
4.3 The Students Writing Achievement in Cycle 2	40
4.4 The Score of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 2	41