

IMPROVING THE 8th YEAR STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION ACHIEVEMENT BY USING INDONESIAN FOLKTALES AT SMP NEGERI 2 CANDIPURO LUMAJANG

THESIS

Written by:

Dwi Cahyandari NIM 070210491177

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM

LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

JEMBER UNIVERSITY

2010



IMPROVING THE 8th YEAR STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION ACHIEVEMENT BY USING INDONESIAN FOLKTALES AT SMP NEGERI 2 CANDIPURO LUMAJANG

THESIS

Presented as One of the Requirements to Obtain the Degree of S1 at the English Education Program, Language and Arts Education Department Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Jember University

Written by:

Dwi Cahyandari NIM 070210491177

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM

LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

JEMBER UNIVERSITY

2010

REFERENCES

- Ali, M.1993. Penelitian pendidikan, Posedur dan Strategi. Bandung: PT Angkasa
- Arikunto, S. 1998. *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta : PT Rineka Cipta.
- Bogdan Robert C and S.K Biklen. 1992. *Qualitative Research for Education*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon: p.223
- Bos, C. S. and Vaughn, S. 1991. *Strategies For teaching Students with Learning and Behavior Problems*. Massachussets: Allyn and Bacon a Division of Simon & Schuster, Inc.
- Bunanta, M. 1998. *Problematika Penulisan Cerita Rakyat Untuk Anak Indonesia*. Jakarta.: PT Balai Pustaka
- Carrel, P. L., J. Devine, and D. E. Eskey. 1995 .Interactive Approaches to Second Language Reading. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- David, J. 1987. The Folktales. Columbia University Press
- Depdiknas. 2006. *Standar Kompetensi :Mata pelajaran Bahasa Inggris untuk Sekolah Menengah dan MTS*. Jakarta : Pusat Kurikulum, Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan.
- Dimyanti and Suranto.2000. Action Research in Educational and Teaching and Learning Process. Jakarta: Karunika Jakarta
- Elliot, J. 1991. *Action Research for Educational Change*. London: Open University Press
- Fisher. 2000. Narrative Text. Geelong Australia: Deakin University Press.
- Goodman. 1967. Reading: A Psycholinguistic Guessing Game. *Journal of The Reading Specialist 4*:126-35.
- Gray, Ronald. 2005. *The Advantages of Using Folktales on Reading Comprehension Levels*. Beijing, China: Beijing Language and Culture University.
- Grellet, F.1996. *Developing Reading Skills*. Cambridge university: Cambridge University Press.

- Hanlon, Tina L. 1999. General Guidelines for Teaching with Folktales. Ferrum College
- Hughes, A. 2003. *Testing for language Teachers*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kooy, M. and Chiu, A. 1998. Language, Literature and Learning in the ESL Classroom. English Journal November 1998/78-83.
- Mansyur, M. 1997. Using Folktales in Developing Students' Interest in Reading (A Developmental Research at MAN Blitar in the Academic Year 2002/2003). Universitas Jember: Unpublished thesis.
- McNeils. 1992. Acquaring Information on Reading Comprehension. New York: Contemporary Publishing Group.
- McWhorter, K. T. 1989. *Guide to College Reading*. New York. Harper Collins Publisher
- Natsiopoulou. 2002. *High Educational Level of Folktales*. Greece: Contemporary Publishing Group.
- Otto.1979. Reading Comprehension. New York: Mc, Graw-Hill inc.
- Papalia, A. 1987. *Interactive Language Teaching: Interaction of reader and Text.*Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pullum, T. 1998. *Promoting Writing with Folktales*. English Journal volume 87 number 2. National Council of Teachers of English.
- Smith. (1985). Teaching Reading Skill in a Foreign Language. London: Heinemann
- Spencer & Hay. Developing Word Recognition. 1998.Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company
- Sulle, Iskandar. 2006. *Some Advantages of Using Indonesian Folktales*. Jakarta: Karunika Jakarta.
- Timisela, L. Mayang, H. Ratna, S. 1986. *Buku materi pokok Reading I.* Jakarta : Karunika Jakarta.
- Universitas Jember. 1998. *Pedoman Penulisan Karya Ilmiah*. Jember: Badan Penerbit Universitas Jember.

- Wingersky, J. B & Horguin. B. 1999. Writing Paragraph and Essay: Integrating reading, writing & grammar skills (third edition). Belmont: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Wood, N. V. 1991. Strategies for College Reading & Thinking. New York: Mc, Graw-Hill inc.

SUMMARY

Improving the VIII A Year Students Reading Comprehension Achievement by Using Indonesian Folktale at SMP Negeri 2 Candipuro Lumajang; Dwi Cahyandari; 2007;45 pages; English Education the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

This Clasroom Action Research is intended to improve the VIII A year students' reading comprehension achievement at SMP Negeri 2 Candipuro by using Indonesian folktales. The subjects of this research were the students of class VIII A in the 2009/2010 Academic Year that were determined purposively. That class was chosen because its reading comprehension achievement score was the lowest among the four existing classes (the mean score was 53,8). This research was done in two cycles, in which each cycle covered four activities namely the preparation of the action, the implementation of the action, evaluation and reflection of the action. Each cycle was conducted in two meetings.

The main data about the students' reading comprehension achievement were collected by using reading test through Indonesian folktale. The supporting data were collected by interview and documentation. The results of the reading comprehension test of the first cycle were 51,61% of the students gained the score at least 65. This mean score had not achieved the standard mean score requirement in this research that was at least 75% of the students gained 65. Further, there were only 21 students or 67,74% of the students fulfilled less than three indicators being observed or passive. Therefore the actions were continued in cycle two by revising the teaching techniques, (the students did the exercises individually was changed into the students did the exercises in pairs, which consist of two person. The result of the students' reading comprehension test by using folktale in cycle two was better, because there were 90,32% or 28 students who gained the score at least 65 that was

categorized as good. Further, the result of classroom observation in cycle two was 77,42 % of 31 of the students were active because they fulfilled at least three indicators being observed. It means that the students' reading comprehension achievement by using Indonesian folktale improved in two cycles. Based on the results, it could be concluded that teaching reading by using folktale could improve the students' reading comprehension achievement in two cycles. Then, it is suggested to the English teachers to use Indonesian folktale as an alternative materials since they could motivate the students to enjoy reading and improved their reading comprehension achievement. Besides, it is also suggested to the students that should be more active in joining the reading comprehension class. Further, for the future researchers who have the similar problem in teaching reading are encourage to use this research result as an input to conduct further research dealing with the use of folktales to develop the students reading comprehension by using a difference research design.

Keywords: Reading comprehension achievement, folktales.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
COVER i
CONSULTANTS' APPROVAL iii
APPROVAL OF EXAMINER TEAM iv
DEDICATION v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT vi
TABLE OF CONTENTvii
LIST OF APPENDICES ix
LIST OF TABLES x
SUMMARY xi
I. INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background of the Research
1.2 The Problem of the Research4
1.3 The objectives of the Research
1.4 Operational Definition of the Term5
1.5 Significance of the Research6
II. RELATED LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Reading Comprehension8
2.2 Some Factors Influencing Reading Comprehension Achievement9
2.2.1 Linguistic Factors
2.2.2 Non Linguistics Factors
2.3 Reading Comprehension Achievement
2.4 Narrative Text15
2.5 Folktale16
2.6 The Procedures of Teaching Reading by Using Folktales17
2.7 Action Hypothesis

III. RESEACRH METHODS

3.1Research Design	19
3.2 Area Determination Method	21
3.3 Respondent Determination Method	22
3.4 Data Collection Method	22
3.4.1 Test	22
3.4.2 Observation	23
3.4.3 Interview	23
3.4.4 Documentation	24
3.5 Research Procedures	24
3.5.1 The Planning of the Action	24
3.5.2 Implementation of the Action	24
3.5.3 Observation and Evaluation	25
3.5.4 Reflection	25
IV. RESULTS, DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 The Results of the Action Cycle 1	28
4.1.1 The Results of Reading Comprehension Test in Cycle 1	29
4.1.2 The Results of Observation in Cycle 1	32
4.1.3 The Results of the Reflection in Cycle 1	33
4.2 The Results of the Action in Cycle II	34
4.2.1 The Results of Reading Comprehension Test in Cycle II .	35
4.2.2 The Results of Observation in Cycle II	38
4.2.3 The Results of Reflection in Cycle II	39
4.3 Discussion	41
V. CONCLUSSION AND SUGGESTIONS	
5.1 Conclusion.	47
5.2 Suggestions	48
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	