



**IMPROVING THE GRADE EIGHT STUDENTS' PARAGRAPH WRITING
ACHIEVEMENT AND THEIR PARTICIPATION BY USING NUMBERED
HEADS TOGETHER MODEL AT SMP PGRI BANGSALSARI
IN THE 2010/2011 ACADEMIC YEAR**

THESIS

Composed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain the S-1 Degree
at the English Education Program, Language and Arts Department,
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education,
Jember University

By


**DWI RETNOWATI
050210491019**

**ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ARTS DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
JEMBER UNIVERSITY
2011**

DEDICATION

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- 1 My beloved parents, the late Sulianto, SP and Susilowati, thank you very much for your endless love and prayer. I will make you happy and proud of me.
- 2 My beloved sisters Sulis Ika Setyowati and Ratih Tri Wahyuningsih who always help me to accomplish this thesis
- 3 My dearest soul mate Filia Filan Trofa, thank you for the great support



MOTTO

“Tomorrow’s big success starts from today’s small action”

(Darmadi Darmawangsa)



CONSULTANTS' APPROVAL

**IMPROVING THE GRADE EIGHT STUDENTS' PARAGRAPH WRITING
ACHIEVEMENT AND THEIR PARTICIPATION BY USING NUMBERED
HEADS TOGETHER MODEL AT SMP PGRI BANGSALSARI
IN THE 2010/2011 ACADEMIC YEAR**

THESIS

Composed as One of the Requirement to Obtain the S-1 Degree at the English Education
Program of the Language and Arts Education of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education,
Jember University

Name : Dwi Retnowati
Place, Date of Birth : Jember, March 18th 1987
NIM : 050210491019
Program : English Education Program
Department : Language and Arts Department
Faculty : Teacher Training and Education

Approved by

Consultant I

Consultant II

Dra. Zakiyah Tasnim, M.A.
NIP. 196201101987022001

Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A.
NIP. 195904121987021001

APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

This thesis is approved and received by the examination committee of the faculty of teacher training and education, Jember University, on:

Date : Monday, June 13th 2011

Place : The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

The Committee:

The Chairperson,

The Secretary,

Dr. Budi Setyono, M.A.
NIP. 19630717 199002 1 001

Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A.
NIP. 19590412 198702 1 001

Member I

Member II

Drs. Bambang Suharjito, M.Ed.
NIP. 19611023 198902 1 001

Dra. Zakiyah Tasnim, M.A.
NIP. 19620110 198702 2 001

The Dean,

Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Drs. H. Imam Muchtar, S.H. M. Hum.
NIP. 19540712 198003 1 005

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank Allah SWT, the Almighty, who gives me guidance and blessing, so I can finish this thesis entitled “Improving The Grade Eight Students’ Paragraph Writing Achievement and Their Participation by Using Numbered Heads Together Model at SMP PGRI Bangsalsari in the 2010/2011 Academic Year”.

In relation to the writing and finishing of this thesis, I would like to express my deepest and sincerest thanks to:

1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University
2. The Chair person of the Language and Arts Department of the Teacher Training and Education, Jember University
3. The Chair person of English Education Program of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University
4. My first consultant, Dra. Zakiyah Tasnim, M.A. and my second consultant, Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A, for their willingness and suggestions to guide me in accomplishing this thesis. Their valuable guidance and contribution to the writing of this thesis are highly appreciated.
5. All lectures of the English Education Program and staff of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University
6. The headmaster and the English teachers of SMP PGRI Bangsalsari in the 2010/2011 academic year who had helped me obtain the research data.

Finally, I feel grateful to all of them who gave positive comments and criticism for the improvement of this thesis.

Jember, June 2011

The writer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	i
DEDICATION	ii
MOTTO	iii
CONSULTANT’S APPROVAL	iv
APPROVAL OF EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
THE LIST OF TABLES AND DIAGRAM	x
THE LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
SUMMARY	xiii
 CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 The Problem of the Research	3
1.3 The Objective of the Research	4
1.4 The Significance of the Research.....	4
1.4.1 For the English Teacher	4
1.4.2 For the Students	4
1.4.3 For the Other Researchers.....	5
1.5 Operational Definitions of the Terms	5
1.5.1 NHT Model.....	5
1.5.2 Students’ Writing Achievement.....	5
1.5.3 Students’ Active Participation	6
1.5.4 Narrative Paragraph	6

CHAPTER 2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.1 Writing Skill in English Language Teaching (ELT).....	7
2.1.1 Grammar	8
2.1.2 Vocabulary	9
2.1.3 Mechanics	9
2.1.4 Organization	10
2.1.5 Content	10
2.2 Paragraph Writing.....	11
2.3 Cooperative Learning.....	14
2.4 Numbered Heads Together (NHT) Model.....	16
2.5 Teaching Writing by Using NHT Model.....	18
2.6 The Strengths of NHT Model.....	20
2.7 The Action Hypothesis	20

CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHODS

3.1 Research Design	21
3.2 Area Determination Method	23
3.3 Subject Determination Method.....	24
3.4 Data Collection Method.....	24
3.4.1 Writing Test	24
3.4.2 Observation	27
3.4.3 Interview	29
3.4.4 Documentation	29
3.5 Research Procedure.....	29
3.5.1 Planning of the Action	30
3.5.2 Implementation of the Action	30
3.5.3 Observation and Evaluation	30
3.5.4 Data Analysis Method	31

3.5.5 Reflection	32
------------------------	----

CHAPTER 4. RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 The Results of the Action in Cycle One	33
4.1.1 The Results of the Implementation of the Action in Cycle One	33
4.1.2 The Results of Observation in Cycle One	34
4.1.3 The Results of Writing Achievement in Cycle One	38
4.1.4 The Results of the Reflection in Cycle One	40
4.2 The Results of the Action in Cycle Two	43
4.2.1 The Results of the Implementation of the Action in Cycle Two	43
4.2.2 The Results of Observation in Cycle Two	44
4.2.3 The Results of Writing Achievement in Cycle Two	48
4.2.4 The Results of the Reflection in Cycle Two	50
4.3 Discussion	51

CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Conclusion	54
5.2 Suggestions	55
1 The English Teacher	55
2 The Students	55
3 The Other Researchers	55

BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDICES

THE LIST OF TABLES AND DIAGRAM

A. List of Tables

	Page
2.1 Narrative Paragraph	14
3.1 The Scoring Rubric of the Students' Narrative Paragraph	26
3.2 The Category of the Score Level	27
3.3 The Observation Checklist.....	28
4.1 The Results of Observation in Cycle One.....	36
4.2 The Average Results of the Students' Activeness in Cycle One	38
4.3 The Results of the Students Writing Achievement Test.....	39
4.4 The Classification and Frequency of Writing Test Score in Cycle One	40
4.5 The Revisions on NHT Model in Cycle One and Two.....	41
4.6 The Results of Observation in Cycle Two.....	46
4.7 The Average Results of the Students' Activeness in Cycle One	47
4.8 The Results of the Students Writing Achievement Test.....	48
4.9 The Classification and Frequency of Writing Test Score in Cycle Two.....	50
4.10 The Improvement of the Students' Writing Score in Cycle One and Cycle Two	51
4.11 The Classification and Frequency of Writing Test Score in Cycle One and Two	52
4.12 The Improvement of the Students' Active Participation in Cycle One and Cycle Two	52


B. List of Diagrams

	Page
3.1 The Research Design of the Classroom Action Research.....	22

THE LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
A. Matrix	59
B. The Guideline of the Interviewer	61
C. The Names of the Research Respondents	62
D. The Previous Score of the Students	63
E. Lesson Plan Meeting One Cycle One	64
F. The Result of Observation Checklist for Students' Active Participation in Meeting One Cycle One.....	75
G. The Results of the Students' Writing Exercise in Meeting One Cycle One	76
H. The Sample of the Students' Writings	77
I. Lesson Plan Meeting Two Cycle One	79
J. The Result of Observation Checklist for Students' Active Participation in Meeting Two Cycle One.....	88
K. The Results of the Students' Writing Exercise in Meeting Two Cycle One	89
L. The Sample of the Students' Writings	90
M. Writing Achievement Test of Cycle One	92
N. The Results of Writing Achievement Test Cycle One	94
O. The Sample of the Students' Writings	95
P. Lesson Plan Meeting One Cycle Two.....	97
Q. The Result of Observation Checklist for Students' Active Participation in Meeting One Cycle Two.....	106
R. The Results of the Students' Writing Exercise in Meeting One Cycle Two	107
S. The Sample of the Students' Writing	108
T. Lesson Plan Meeting Two Cycle One.....	110

U. The Result of Observation Checklist for Students' Active Participation in Meeting Two Cycle Two	118
V. The Results of the Students' Writing Exercise in Meeting Two Cycle Two	119
W. The Sample of the Students' Writing	120
X. Writing Achievement Test of Cycle Two	122
Y. The Results of Writing Achievement Test Cycle One	124
Z. The Sample of the Students' Writings	125
AA. Permission Letter for Conducting Research from the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education	127
BB. Statement Letter for Accomplishing the Research from SMP PGRI Bangsalsari	128



SUMMARY

Improving the Grade Eight Students' Paragraph Writing Achievement and Their Participation by Using Numbered Heads Together Model at SMP PGRI Bangsalsari in the 2010/2011 Academic Year; Dwi Retnowati; 050210491019; 2011; 54 pages; English Education Program of Language and Arts Department of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University.

Consultants: 1. Dra. Zakiyah Tasnim, M.A.
2. Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A.

Keywords: Paragraph Writing Achievement, Numbered Heads Together (NHT) Model, the grade eight students at SMP PGRI Bangsalsari

This research was a classroom action research. It was intended to improve the grade eight students' paragraph writing achievement and their participation by using Numbered Heads Together (NHT) model in teaching learning process. It was conducted at SMP PGRI Bangsalsari which was chosen by purposive method. The subjects of the research were the grade eight students of class VIII A under the consideration that they were low achievers and could not perform well in learning English. In addition, the data of the research were taken from the interview, observation, and writing test.

NHT model was chosen as the model in the teaching learning process of writing under consideration that NHT model requires students to think together to discuss the answers with the member of the groups. The groups' success depends on every member of the groups because every student has his/her own responsibility to do the assignment based on the results of discussion.

This classroom action research consisted of two cycles in which each cycle covered the stages of planning the action, implementation of the action, classroom

observation and reflection of the action. Then, each cycle was conducted in two meetings. In the third meeting, a writing test was conducted. In addition, observation was used to monitor the students' active participation in the teaching learning process by using NHT model.

From the results of classroom observation in cycle one, it was known that there were 60% or 18 of 30 students who actively involved in the teaching and learning process in the first meeting. Moreover, 66.66% or 20 of 30 students actively participated in the second meeting. These meant that the process evaluation has not achieved yet. In addition, the result of the achievement was not successful. It can be seen from the students' score. 63.34% or 19 of 30 students achieved ≥ 70 . It did not achieve the requirement. That was 70% of the students had to get ≥ 70 . Therefore, the action was continued to cycle two.

Based on the results of observation in the first meeting in cycle two, there were 76.66% or 23 of 30 students actively involved in the teaching learning process. In the second meeting, it was known that 86.67% or 26 of 30 students were categorized as active during the teaching learning process. Meanwhile, the percentage of the students who got score ≥ 70 improved to 76.67% or 23 of 30 students in cycle two. These meant that the actions in cycle two were successful and necessary to be stopped.

Finally, the findings in both cycles showed that the use of NHT model could improve the grade eight students' paragraph writing achievement as well as their active participation. Therefore, the English teacher, the students, and the other researchers are suggested to use NHT model as an alternative model in teaching writing.