

Improving the Eighth Grade Students' Descriptive Paragraph Writing Ability by Cooperative Learning Strategy using Think-Pair-Share Technique at SMP Bustanul Makmur Genteng

THESIS

By:

DWI PUSPITASARI 050210491006

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM LANGUAGE AND ARTS DEPARTMENT EDUCATION AND TEACHER TRAINING FACULTY JEMBER UNIVERSITY 2010

SUMMARY

Improving the Eighth Grade Students' Descriptive Paragraph Writing Ability by Cooperative Learning Strategy using Think-Pair-Share Technique at SMP Bustanul Makmur Genteng; Dwi Puspitasari; 050210491006; 42 pages; English Education Program of Language and Arts Department; Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

This classroom action research was intended to improve the eighth grade student's descriptive paragraph writing ability at SMP Bustanul Makmur Genteng. This research was started by conducting an interview with the English teacher of the eighth grade students of SMP Bustanul Makmur Genteng on 24th August 2009. Based on the preliminary, it was found that the students of class VIIIA faced difficulties in writing. It was revealed that class VIIIA only 45% of all students in that class who got 70 points or more. Besides, the students were not actively involved in the teaching learning process of writing.

Think-Pair-Share (TPS) as one model of cooperative learning strategy was chosen as a technique in the teaching learning process of writing under the reasons that through this technique, the students had time to think and formulate their ideas and allowed to share those ideas with their partners and then with all of the friends in the whole class.

This classroom action research was done collaboratively with the English teacher covering planning of the action, implementation of the action, observation and evaluation, and analysis and reflection. This research was carried out in two cycles and each cycle consisted of three meetings included the test. The subject of this research was class VIII A of SMP Bustanul Makmur Genteng that consists of 41 students, in the second semester 2009/2010 academic year. The research target were 75% students got score at least 70 and 75% students actively involved in the teaching and learning writing ability through TPS technique.

Based on the result of observation, it was found that 24 students or 59% of 41students actively participated in the teaching learning process of writing through TPS in Cycle 1 Meeting 1. Then, 26 students or 63% of 41 students were active in the teaching learning process of writing through TPS in Cycle 1 Meeting 2. Meanwhile, there were 22 students or 53.66% of 41 students who got score 70 or more. This result above showed that action I Cycle 1 did not

achieve the standard requirements. This was the first time for them wrote a paragraph through TPS. Therefore, the action was continued in Cycle 2 by revising the action in Cycle 1.

The result of action in Cycle 2 showed significant improvements. This shows that the percentage of students' active participation increased from 78% in the first meeting to 80% in the second meeting. Meanwhile, there were 34 students or 87.17% of 41 students who got score70 or more. It means that the target of the research was achieved in Cycle 2. In short, it can be concluded that TPS can improve the students' descriptive paragraph writing ability. Therefore, the English teacher was suggested to use TPS as an alternative in teaching writing.



TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
TITLE	ii
DEDICATION	iii
CONSULTANT'S APPROVAL	iv
APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	v
MOTTO	vi
ACKNOLEDGEMENT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENT	viii
THE LIST OF TABLES	X
THE LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
SUMMARY	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 The Background of the Research	1
1.2 The Problems of the Research	3
1.3 The Objectives of the Research	4
1.4 The Operational Definition of the Terms	4
1.4.1 Think-Pair-Share	4
1.4.2 Writing Ability	4
1.4.3 Descriptive Paragraph	4
1.5 The Significance of the Research	5
II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.1 Writing Skill in English Language Teaching (ELT)	6
2.1.1 Grammar	7
2.1.2 Vocabulary	7
2.1.3 Mechanics	8
2.1.4 Organization	9
2.2 Descriptive Paragraph	10
2.3 Cooperative Learning Strategy	11
2.3.1 The Characteristics of Cooperative Learning	11
2.3.2 The Benefits of Cooperative Learning	14

	REFFERENCES APPENDICES OF ACTION ACTI	
	5.2 Suggestions	. 42
	5.1 Conclusion	. 42 _{b.unej.ac.id}
	V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	
	http://dights	. 39 . 40 http://digitilo.unej.ac.id
	4.3 Discussion	40 unej.ac.id
	4.3.2 The Results of Observation in Cycle II	
	4.3.1 The Result of Students' Writing Test	
4.2 The Result of the Action in Cycle II	4.2 The Result of the Action in Cycle II	34
	4.2.2 The Results of the Observation in Cycle 1 4.2.3 The Results of the Reflection in cycle I 4.2 The Result of the Action in Cycle II	. 30
	4.2.1 The Results of Students' Writing Test	. 26
	IV. RESEARCH RESULTS, DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION 4.1 The Result of the Action in Cycle I	26 jigilib. unej. ac. iu
	3.5.3 Observation and Evaluation	
	3.5.4 Data Analysis and Reflection	25 digilib. Urr
	3.5.3 Observation and Evaluation.	. 24ej.ac.id
3.5.2 Implementation of the Action	3.5 Research Procedures 3.5.1 Planning of the Action 3.5.2 Implementation of the Action	. 24
	3.5.1 Planning of the Action	23
	3.4 Data Collection Method	23
	3 4 1 Writing Test	22 higilib une 1.30
	3.1 Research Design 3.2 Area Determination Method 3.3 Research Subject Determination Method 3.4 Data Collection Method	. 23 22
	3.3 Research Subject Determination Method	23
	3.2 Area Determination Method	21 railib unej ac. re
	2.3.3 Think/Pair/Share as the Cooperative Learning Models	ttb:\lqiam
2.1 Δ	2.4 Action Hypotheses	18iib.unej.ac.io
	2.3.3 Think/Pair/Share as the Cooperative Learning Models	. 16