



### THE ABILITY OF WRITING HORTATORY EXPOSITORY **TEXT OF THE GRADE XI STUDENTS AT MAN 2** SITUBONDO IN THE 2010/2011 ACADEMIC YEAR

#### **THESIS**

Composed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain the S-1 Degree at the English Education Program, Language & Arts Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University

> By **PUSPITASARI** NIM 050210401046

**ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM** LANGUAGE AND ARTS DEPARTMENT FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION JEMBER UNIVERSITY 2010

unei.ac.id

**DEDICATION** Ints thesis is honourably dedicated to:

My endless love father and mother: Mashuri and Wulandari, who always give me tremendous love and support; there is not are in the support in the support of the support in the support is not are in the support in the supp http://digilib.unej.ac.id http://digilib.unej.ac.id paint your sacrifice. ii unej.ac.id

# http://digilib.unej.ac.id

# THE ABILITY OF WRITING HORTATORY EXPOSITORY TEXT OF THE GRADE XI STUDENTS AT MAN 2 SITUBONDO IN THE 2010/2011 ACADEMIC YEAR

#### **THESIS**

Composed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain the S-1 Degree
at the English Education Program, Language & Arts Department,
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education,
Jember University

Approved by

Consultant I

Consultant II

<u>Dr. Budi Setyono, M.A</u> NIP. 196307171990021001 <u>Dra.Wiwiek Istianah, M.Kes, M.Ed</u>
NIP. 195010171985032001

iii unej.ac.id

### http://digilib.unej.ac.id http://digilib.unej.ac.id http://digilib.unej.ac.id APPROVAL OF EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

This thesis is approved and accepted by the examination committee of The of Teacher Training and Education o Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University.

: Jum'at Day

: 29 October 2010 Date

Place: Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

#### Examiner's team

The Chair person

The Secretary

Drs. I Putu Sukmaantara, M.Ed NIP.196404241990021003

http://digilib.unej.ac.id Dra. Wiwiek Istianah, M.kes, M.Pd http://digilib.unej.ac.id NIP. 195010171985032001

The members.

1. Dra. Siti Sundari, M.A. NIP. 195812161988022001 1.

Dr. Budi Setyono, M.A. NIP. 196307171990021001

http://digilib.une Faculty of Teacher Training and Education The Dean.

http://digilib.unej.ac.id Drs, H. Imam Muchtar, S.H., M. Hum NIP. 195407121980031005

## http://digilib.unej.ac.id http://digilib.unej.ac.id **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Thanks to Allah SWT, the Almighty and the Merciful who gives me His guidance and blessings, so that I can finish this than Hortatory Expository Text of the Grade XI Students at Man 2 Situbondo in the

In relation to the writing and finishing of this thesis, I would like to the deepest and sincerest thanks to: express the deepest and sincerest thanks to:

- 1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember http://digilib.unej.ac.id University
- 2. The Chairperson of the Language & Arts Department
- 3. The Chairperson of English Education Programs
- ttp://digilib.unej.ac.id 4. My first consultant, Dr. Budi Setyono M.A and my second consultant, Dra. Wiwiek Istianah, M.kes, M.Pd for their willingness and suggestions to guide me in accomplishing this thesis.
- 5. The examination committee
- p://digilib.unej.ac.id 6. The headmaster of MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year, the English teacher of the grade XI, and his students who helped me obtained the research data.
- 7. My beloved man "Sandi Prasetya", you make my dreams come true.
- http://digilib.unej.ac.id 8. All of my fellows in the 2005 level especially Community", Yulis, Retno, Tutus and Herly. I love you all; Keep our friendship eternally.
- 9. My Almamater.

Jember, October 2010 Finally, I feel indebted to all of those people who gave positive comments http://digilib.unej.ac for the improvement of this thesis. http://digilil

http://digilib.unej.ac.id

# http://digilib.unej.ac.id

TITLE PAGE	Page lib. unej. ac. id
udigilib. unes	Page
TITLE PAGE	p.i
DEDICATION	ii
CONSULTANT'S APPROVAL  APPROVAL OF EXAMINATION COMMITTEE  ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii agi.ac.id
APPROVAL OF EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	iy <sub>igilib</sub> .une
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
THE LIST OF TABLES, FIGURE AND CHART THE LIST OF APPENDICES SUMMARY	viiiej.ac.id
THE LIST OF APPENDICES	ix gilib
SUMMARY	X
I. INTRODUCTION  1.1 Background of the Research	inej.ac.io
1.1 Background of the Research	
1.2 Problems of the Research	4
1.3 Objectives of the Research	4
1.4 Operational Definition of the Key Term  1.5 Significance of the Research  1.6 Scope of the Research	4 ,nej.ac.10
1.5 Significance of the Research	
1.6 Scope of the Research	6
II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.1 Genre based Approach in the Teaching of English	7 9jilib.unej.ac.id
2.2 Characteristics of Genre Based Approach	
2.2.1 Emphasizing the Relationship between Text and Its Con	ntext9
2.2.2 Integarting the Knowledge of Particular Genre and Its	
Communicative Purpose	9 unej.ac.lo
2.2.3 Getting Familiar with the Genre before Starting to Write	te10
Communicative Purpose	10
2 / Writing Ability as Domanded by Conne based Ammagab	10
2.5 Writing Ability as Stated in the 2006 English Curriculum for	
2.5 Writing Ability as Stated in the 2006 English Curriculum for Senior High School	

http://digilib.unej.ac.id  http://digilib.unej.ac.id  http://digilib.unej.ac.id
2.0 Hortatory Expository Text
2.6.1 Generic Structure
2.6.2 Lexicogrammatical Features
2.7 Assessing Hortatory Expository Text16
2.8 The Practice of Teaching Writing at MAN 2 Situbondo18
III. RESEARCH METHOD
3.1 Research Design20
III. RESEARCH METHOD  3.1 Research Design
3.3 Sample21
3.4 Data Collection Methods
3.4.1 Test
3.4 Data Collection Methods       22         3.4.1 Test       22         3.4.2 Observation       24
3.5 Data Analysis Method25
IV. RESEARCH RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS 4.1 The Results of the Test
4.1.1 Students' Ability in Writing Hortatory Expository Text27
4.1.2 The Result of the Students' Score in Applying Generic
Structure and Lexicogrammatical Features
4.2 Discussion
4.3 Discussion
V. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS
5.1 Conclusions
5.1 Conclusions

# THE LIST OF TABLES, FIGURE AND CHART

A. The List of Tables  1. The text Types based on the 2006 Curriculum for Senior
A. The List of Tables Page
1. The text Types based on the 2006 Curriculum for Senior
High Schools
3. The Number of the Respondents
4. The Classification of Score Levels
5. The result of the data analysis
<ul><li>5. The result of the data analysis</li></ul>
Text30
7. The Result of Students' Ability in Applying the Generic Structure
of Hortatory Expository Text
8. The Result of Students' Ability in Applying the
Lexicogrammatical Features of Hortatory Expository Text33
B. The List of Figure Page
1. The teaching and learning cycle
1. The teaching and learning cycle
1. The Categories of the students Ability in writing
Hortatory Expository Text
alac.id
http://digilib.unej.ac.id

### http://digilib.unej.ac.id http://digilib.unej.ac.id THE LIST OF APPENDICES

- 2. Test Instrument of Writing Ability
  3. Test Writing Guide
- 4. The Field Note of Teacher Observation
- The Teacher Field Note of the Students Try Out

  The Names of the Teacher Try Out
- 6. The Names of the Respondents
- 7. The Results of the Students Writing Ability Test

- 10. Letter of Permit for Conducting Research from the Faculty of Teacher

  Training and Education of Jember University
- http://digilib.unej.ac.id 11. Statement Letter for Accomplishing the Research from MAN 2 Situbondo.

http://digilip.a

#### **SUMMARY**

The Ability of Writing Hortatory Expository Text of the Grade XI Students at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year; Puspitasari; 050210401046; 2010; 39 pages; The English Education Program of Language and Arts Department of The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

This research was descriptive research in students' writing ability through hortatory expository text at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year. The problem of the research were "How is the generic structure of hortatory expository text written by the grade XI students at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year?" and "How is the lexicogramatical feature of hortatory expository text written by the grade XI students at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year?". The specific objectives were to describe the generic structure of hortatory expository text written by the grade XI students at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year and to describe lexicogramatical features of hortatory expository text written by the grade XI students at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year.

In determining the respondents, the proportional random sampling by lottery was applied. The number of the respondents was 42 students (25% of the population) taken proportionally from 167 students that were divided into five classes. To collect the data, test and observation were used. The writing test was used to collect the main data dealing with the students writing ability through hortatory expository text in the form of scores. Observation was used to obtain the supporting data. The collected main data was analyzed quantitatively and qualitatively by using percentage formula. Then the result of data analysis was described to answer the result problem. Generally, the result show that the grade XI students at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year 51.39% or poor. Specifically, the result of the generic structure of hortatory expository text written by the grade XI students at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2009/2010 academic year

digilib.unej.ac.id x

were consist of: the category of the average percentage in content of the students' writing ability in applying hortatory expository text at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year was in 3% or failed. The category of the average percentage in organization of the students' writing ability in applying hortatory expository text at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year was in 3.02% or failed. And the result of the lexicogramatical feature of hortatory expository text written by the grade XI students at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2009/2010 academic year were consist of: the category of the average percentage in grammar of the students' writing ability in applying hortatory expository text at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year was in 3.14% or failed. The category of the average percentage in vocabulary of the students' writing ability in applying hortatory expository text at MAN 2 Situbondo in the 2010/2011 academic year was in 3.17% or failed.

It concludes that the students have poor ability in writing hortatory expository text. Consequently, the students' ability in writing hortatory expository text needs to be improved by giving them more exercises.

хi

tr. Ildinilih unei.ac.id