RELIGIOUS FREEDOM IN WORLD POLITICS:

A LINCHPIN FOR GLOBAL PEACE

Eko Ernada

Department of International Relations at the University of Jember

eko.ernada@unej.ac.id

Abstract

This article explores the crucial role of religious freedom in promoting global peace and stability while examining the challenges and opportunities faced in advancing this fundamental human right. The paper argues that religious freedom fosters tolerance, pluralism, and conflict resolution, contributing to the broader human rights framework and peacebuilding. A comprehensive analysis addresses three key questions: how religious freedom supports peacebuilding, the roles played by state actors, international organizations, and civil society in promoting religious freedom, and the challenges and opportunities within global political dynamics. The paper highlights how religious freedom empowers religious leaders to act as mediators and fosters interfaith dialogue, essential for social cohesion. It further elaborates on how state actors establish legal frameworks, international organizations set global standards and monitor compliance, and civil society advocates for protecting religious minorities. Despite challenges such as rising authoritarianism, nationalism, and religious extremism, opportunities for progress are identified

through interfaith cooperation, international engagement, and the enforcement of international human rights frameworks. The article concludes by underscoring the need for collaborative efforts among all stakeholders to effectively promote religious freedom, which remains a cornerstone for lasting global peace.

Keywords: Religious freedom, Global peace, Tolerance, Pluralism, Interfaith dialogue, Conflict resolution

A. Introduction

This paper aims to shed light on the critical importance of religious freedom in fostering global peace. As John Witte Jr. eloquently asserts, "Religious freedom is the first freedom, the condition of all other freedoms. It is the linchpin of peace" (Witte, 2003). According to Witte, religious freedom is not merely a legal or cultural right but a fundamental element that underpins the stability and functionality of societies worldwide. Without it, other freedoms—such as freedom of expression, association, and even political rights—are often compromised or threatened. This paper seeks to substantiate Witte's assertion and provide actionable insights for policymakers, scholars, and practitioners dedicated to advancing religious freedom and promoting peace globally.

Religious freedom faces significant global limitations despite its recognition in key international human rights frameworks. In 2019, the Pew Research Center reported that over 80% of the global population lived in countries with notable restrictions on religious practices. This figure reflects government policies and social hostilities (Pew Research Center, 2019). By 2021, governmental restrictions reached their highest recorded levels, with increased laws and policies

limiting religious practices, particularly in authoritarian regimes (Pew Research Center, 2024). These restrictions often exacerbate societal tensions, fueling broader conflicts that undermine social cohesion and global peace.

Building upon this foundation, the paper employs a qualitative approach to examine how religious freedom influences peacebuilding and conflict resolution. It also seeks to address three central questions: (1) How does religious freedom contribute to sustainable peace in diverse societies? (2) What are the roles of state actors, international organizations, and civil society in advancing religious freedom? (3) What challenges and opportunities exist in promoting religious freedom amidst global political dynamics?

The main argument presented in this paper is that religious freedom is crucial to global stability, acting as a linchpin for peace by fostering tolerance, pluralism, and inclusive governance. By promoting religious freedom, states and international bodies can reduce intergroup tensions and create environments conducive to long-term peace. This perspective contributes to filling a significant gap in existing literature, which often overlooks the unique and transformative role of religious freedom in peacebuilding efforts, particularly in non-Western contexts, such as Asia and the Middle East.

However, before addressing the key questions of how religious freedom contributes to peace, it is important to consider the ongoing theoretical debates surrounding the concept. These discussions help clarify the complexities of applying religious freedom across different legal, cultural, and political contexts. Understanding these debates provides

a crucial foundation for analyzing how religious freedom fosters peace and the roles of various actors in promoting it.

B. Theoretical Debate on Religious Freedom

The theoretical debate on religious freedom is a multifaceted discourse that intersects with constitutional law, political theory, sociology, and international law. These debates explore the balance between individual rights and societal norms, the role of religious freedom in liberal democracies, and the implications of religious freedom in diverse cultural contexts. Understanding these perspectives is essential for addressing how religious freedom can foster peace and stability in a globalized world.

In constitutional law, religious freedom often takes centre stage in legal debates, particularly regarding how courts codify and interpret it. For instance, in the United States, the religion clauses of the Constitution—the Free Exercise Clause and the Establishment Clause—spark frequent debates about whether religious practices should be granted special exemptions when they conflict with state policies. Some scholars argue that these clauses emphasize *liberty of conscience*, allowing individuals to follow their religious beliefs freely. Others contend that liberty and equality are central to these clauses, influencing how the U.S. Supreme Court interprets cases related to religious freedom (Mahoney, 2023). This tension is visible in landmark cases, where courts must balance protecting religious practices with maintaining broader social equality and justice.

Political discourse has also shaped the rhetoric surrounding religious freedom in the U.S. During the Trump

administration, debates on religious conservatism and secularism were reignited, often reflecting broader cultural and political tensions. Religious freedom became a platform through which ideological battles were waged, with opposing sides using the concept to support conflicting agendas (Moist, 2021). These political dimensions highlight that religious freedom is not merely a legal issue but also a cultural and ideological one deeply embedded in the social fabric.

From a philosophical standpoint, the discourse on religious freedom delves into the nature of liberty itself. Muslim philosophers like Alfarabi offer a perspective that integrates philosophy and religion, where religious freedom is not only about external non-interference but also involves spiritual exercises and self-transformation. This approach suggests that religious freedom should be understood within a broader philosophical context encompassing rational inquiry and religious devotion (Bilen, 2018). Such views contrast with more secular interpretations, where religious freedom is often framed solely in terms of individual autonomy.

Contemporary political theory contributes further to this debate by presenting three main concepts of freedom: *non-interference*, *self-realization*, and *non-domination*. These concepts underpin much of the theoretical discourse on religious freedom, with liberalism, communitarianism, and Republicanism offering varying interpretations. Liberalism emphasizes individual rights and minimal interference from the state. At the same time, communitarianism stresses the importance of shared social values and cohesion, often supporting limits on religious freedom in favour of societal order. Conversely, Republicanism focuses on preventing

domination by any group—religious or secular—thereby ensuring a balance between freedom and social order (Voigt & Lago, 2019).

In addition to these philosophical debates, the concept of *value pluralism* has emerged as a key framework in international law, particularly in multicultural societies. Value pluralism recognizes the diversity of values across different cultural and religious contexts and argues for legal frameworks that accommodate this diversity while maintaining a commitment to fundamental human rights. This approach provides a way to navigate the complexities of religious freedom in societies where multiple religious and cultural values coexist without imposing a single standard (Danchin, 2008).

Sociological perspectives on religious freedom emphasize the historical and structural contexts that shape its implementation in different societies. Religious freedom is often seen as a reflection of the power relations between the state and religious communities. In many cases, it is less about legal protections and more about the social dynamics that enable or constrain religious expression. For instance, sociological analyses have shown how religious freedom is a governance tool shaped by political contexts and power dynamics. This perspective challenges the notion that religious freedom is a universally stable concept, instead arguing that it is contingent upon specific socio-political conditions (Breskaya et al., 2022).

One of the major challenges in the debate over religious freedom is the tension between religious arguments and liberal democratic norms. While some theorists argue that

religious arguments, particularly when they influence public policy, threaten the secular foundations of democracy, others contend that religious voices enrich political discourse by introducing diverse moral perspectives. This tension is particularly evident in debates over whether religious freedom should allow for exemptions from laws that apply universally, such as anti-discrimination measures (Magarian, 2010). The question of how religious freedom intersects with other rights—such as equality and non-discrimination—remains one of the most pressing issues in modern constitutional and political theory.

Another key issue is whether religious freedom should be considered a *human or political right*. This distinction often depends on whether the right is viewed from the perspective of religious minorities or majorities. For minorities, religious freedom is framed as a human right that protects against discrimination and persecution. For majorities, religious freedom is sometimes viewed as a political right that allows dominant religious groups to maintain their influence over societal norms and institutions (Bilen, 2018). This dual interpretation complicates efforts to create consistent policies that protect religious freedom across different cultural and political contexts.

Some scholars advocate for a pragmatic approach to religious freedom in response to these challenges. Rather than engaging solely in abstract theoretical debates, this approach emphasizes the need for practical solutions that mediate conflicts between human laws and divine commandments. This perspective seeks to accommodate religious diversity while upholding basic human rights, suggesting that while

theoretical debates are important, the practical realities of religious freedom must also be considered in policy implementation. By focusing on historical and cultural contexts, this pragmatic approach aims to create frameworks that ensure religious liberty in pluralistic societies (Taliaferro, 2005).

In conclusion, the theoretical debate on religious freedom is both rich and complex, encompassing a range of perspectives from constitutional law, political theory, sociology, and international law. Whether framed as a legal, philosophical, or sociological issue, the debate reflects the ongoing challenge of balancing individual rights with societal norms, navigating the tensions between religious traditions and secular governance, and accommodating religious diversity in a globalized world.

C. Religious Freedom's Role in Global Peace: A Complex Interplay

Religious freedom is pivotal in fostering global peace, as it can mitigate or exacerbate conflicts depending on how it is managed. The relationship between religious freedom and peace is complex and multifaceted, involving various elements such as interfaith dialogue, the role of religious leaders, and the integration of religious freedom into broader peacebuilding efforts. When effectively harnessed, religious freedom can be a powerful force for peace; however, its absence or misuse can contribute to violence, extremism, and conflict.

The role of religion in international relations and peacebuilding has been significant, particularly since World War II. Religion has acted as both a source of conflict and a resource for peace, influencing everything from anticolonial

struggles to political movements and international responses to global conflicts like the "war on terror" (Haynes, 2022a). For instance, religious ideologies have played critical roles in shaping the rhetoric and policies of various movements, sometimes leading to violent confrontations but contributing to conflict resolution and peacebuilding initiatives in other cases. Religious individuals and organizations have actively participated in peacebuilding, offering emotional and spiritual support, mediating disputes, and fostering reconciliation. These initiatives underscore the potential of religion to contribute to global peace when religious freedom is respected and effectively integrated into broader peacebuilding strategies (Haynes, 2022a).

Religious leaders, in particular, hold a unique position in society, allowing them to influence peacebuilding at various levels. Acting as thinkers and doers, religious leaders conceptualize peace and human rights while mediating conflicts and managing sacred spaces. Their authority and moral influence often extend beyond religious communities, giving them the capacity to bridge divides and foster dialogue between opposing groups (Sandal, 2022). Examples of religious leaders working for peace are seen in diverse contexts, such as Christian leaders in Mozambique and South Africa, Muslim leaders in Sierra Leone and Bosnia, Jewish leaders in Israel-Palestine, and Buddhist leaders in Tibet and Sri Lanka. Despite the significant political challenges and social biases they face—such as gender inequalities or involvement in state politics—these leaders play crucial roles in advancing peace in conflict zones (Sandal, 2022). Their work demonstrates how religious freedom when aligned

with the efforts of religious leaders, can foster environments conducive to peace.

Interfaith dialogue is another vital element in promoting global peace, as it fosters mutual understanding and harmony among different religious groups. In this context, religious freedom serves as a critical enabler of peace. The absence of religious freedom often correlates with heightened violence, as restrictions on religious expression can lead to frustration, radicalization, and conflict. The G20 Religion Forum (R20), held in 2022 as part of Indonesia's G20 presidency, is an example of how global platforms can foster interfaith dialogue and religious freedom as mechanisms for peace. The R20 brought together global religious leaders and policymakers to address the role of religion in promoting global stability, reinforcing the importance of collaboration between state and religious actors to mitigate inter-religious tensions and prevent violence. It highlighted the need for dialogue between religious communities to prevent the instrumentalization of religion in conflicts, stressing religious freedom as a foundation for peaceful coexistence in diverse societies.

Similarly, the ASEAN Intercultural and Interreligious Dialogue Conference is critical in Southeast Asia, where religious diversity and interethnic relations are central to regional stability. With its emphasis on multiculturalism, ASEAN uses this platform to promote religious freedom as a tool for peacebuilding and social cohesion. This conference brings religious leaders, government officials, and civil society actors to address intolerance and religious extremism, emphasizing how religious freedom can strengthen regional peace and security by fostering mutual understanding and

preventing violence. These initiatives exemplify how religious freedom and interfaith dialogue are being promoted at the highest levels of global and regional governance to address the complexities of religious diversity in peacebuilding.

In this context, the Trilogy of Harmony—interfaith harmony, internal harmony among believers, and harmony between the government and religious communities—provides a structured framework for achieving peace through interfaith dialogue (Siswadi, 2024). When religious freedom is embedded into peacebuilding practices, it helps reduce violence, terrorism, and social discord while promoting democratic governance (Philpott, 2013b).

The connection between human rights, religious freedom, and peace is emphasized in key international frameworks such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which underscores the importance of religious freedom in achieving global peace. However, some scholars argue that human rights discourse can be entangled with power dynamics, potentially undermining its effectiveness. For instance, critics contend that certain states use the language of human rights selectively to justify interventions or consolidate their political power, thereby diminishing the universal application of religious freedom (Little, 2016). Despite these criticisms, there is a strong argument that universally respected religious freedom can enhance both internal and international peace by preventing autocratic governance and the arbitrary use of force. In societies where religious freedom is upheld, there tends to be greater social cohesion and stability, reducing the likelihood of state repression and violent conflict (Wellman, 2012).

Eko Ernada

The promotion of religious freedom also faces significant challenges in the modern world, particularly in the context of rising religious extremism and violence. The increasing prominence of religion in international politics coincides with a global uptick in religiously motivated violence, from terrorism to civil wars. In many cases, restrictions on religious freedom can exacerbate these issues, leading to violent outbursts as oppressed religious groups react to state repression (Saiya, 2015)(Saiya, 2015). For instance, religious extremism often emerges in contexts where religious groups feel marginalized or discriminated against, making the promotion of religious freedom a vital element in combating radicalization. Promoting religious freedom alongside cross-cultural and inter-religious dialogue has reduced intolerance and built more peaceful socio-political environments (Ferrari & Petito, 2013).

Nevertheless, religious freedom is not without its challenges. The misuse of religious texts and the rise of religious extremism highlight the need for careful management of religious freedom. Some groups may exploit religious freedom to propagate exclusive or intolerant ideologies, potentially undermining social harmony. Therefore, it is essential to balance religious freedom with broader social and legal frameworks that prevent the incitement of violence and discrimination. In this regard, fostering interfaith dialogue and integrating religious freedom into comprehensive peacebuilding efforts are critical for harnessing the positive potential of religion in contributing to global peace.

In conclusion, while religious freedom is a crucial component of global peace, its role is complex and must

be carefully managed. When respected and integrated into broader peacebuilding efforts, religious freedom can foster interfaith dialogue, empower religious leaders as mediators, and contribute to the prevention of conflict. However, challenges such as extremism and the misuse of religious texts must be addressed to ensure that religious freedom serves as a force for harmony rather than division. By promoting religious freedom alongside tolerance and dialogue, societies can better navigate the challenges of religious diversity and harness the power of religion to contribute to lasting global peace.

D. Responding to the Key Questions

1. *Religious Freedom: A Path to Sustainable Peace in Diverse Societies*

Religious freedom fosters sustainable peace in diverse societies by promoting tolerance, understanding, and cooperation among religious groups. It is a foundation for social harmony and stability, essential for sustainable development. By protecting the rights of religious minorities and allowing diverse faiths to coexist peacefully, religious freedom reduces discrimination and violence based on religious beliefs, ultimately contributing to long-term societal stability(Durham, 2023). Research studies and practical examples demonstrate how religious freedom supports peacebuilding efforts and sustainable development.

One of the most significant ways religious freedom contributes to sustainable peace is by promoting tolerance and understanding among different faiths. Societies that respect and protect religious liberties are better equipped to

foster tolerance, as individuals are encouraged to accept and respect the religious beliefs of others(Grim & Finke, 2010). Interfaith dialogue becomes a critical tool for achieving peaceful coexistence, as seen in the G20 Religion Forum (R20). This global initiative has brought together leaders from different religious backgrounds to discuss the importance of religious freedom and dialogue in reducing global tensions and promoting peace (R20, 2022). The forum highlights how religious freedom catalyzes understanding and cooperation among diverse groups by fostering mutual respect among faiths (Haynes, 2022b)

A prominent example of the role of religious freedom in promoting social harmony is Northern Ireland, where religious identity has historically been a significant source of conflict between Protestant and Catholic communities. The 1998 Good Friday Agreement, which marked a turning point in the peace process, was heavily influenced by religious leaders from both communities who mediated between warring factions. Promoting religious freedom—allowing Protestant and Catholic communities to express their religious identities freely—was a key factor in reducing tensions and laying the groundwork for sustainable peace. In this case, religious freedom became a vital component of reconciliation and rebuilding trust in a deeply divided society (Brewer et al., 2011; Emerson & Mcevoy, 2006; Haynes, 2022).

Similarly, in Bosnia and Herzegovina, the role of religious freedom in post-war peacebuilding has been crucial. Following the ethnic and religious violence of the 1990s, the promotion of religious freedom and interfaith cooperation has been essential for fostering peace(Odak,

2021). Religious leaders from Muslim, Catholic, Orthodox Christian, and Jewish communities have worked together through interfaith councils to promote dialogue and reconciliation (Bringa, 1995). These efforts have contributed to social cohesion and helped build a stable and peaceful society, demonstrating the power of religious freedom in post-conflict recovery(Little, 2016).

Religious freedom also enhances social harmony and stability by allowing for the peaceful coexistence of diverse religious groups. Religious pluralism is crucial for maintaining stability in politically or economically challenged societies. In **Nigeria**, where religious and ethnic tensions have historically posed significant challenges, promoting ethno-religious tolerance has been a key factor in fostering peace and security, both essential for national development (Egwuanikwu, 2023). By ensuring that all religious groups feel valued and protected, societies like Nigeria can prevent sectarian violence and create a stable environment conducive to growth and development.

In **Tunisia**, religious freedom has played a critical role in preventing radicalization and maintaining peace after the Arab Spring. Tunisia's Constitution guarantees freedom of belief and expression, allowing religious diversity to flourish within a democratic framework. This protection of religious freedom has contributed to Tunisia's relative stability, unlike neighbouring countries where religious tensions have led to conflict and extremism. The Tunisian experience highlights how religious freedom can safeguard against radicalization and foster a peaceful, democratic society(Salib, 2018).

Conversely, the absence of religious freedom can have devastating consequences for peace. In Myanmar, the persecution of the Rohingya Muslim minority by the Buddhist-majority government and military has led to one of the world's most severe humanitarian crises. The systematic suppression of the Rohingya's religious freedom has exacerbated ethnic and religious tensions, resulting in widespread violence, displacement, and instability. This case underscores how denying religious freedom can fuel conflict, demonstrating the need for its protection to ensure peace (Saiya, 2015).

Religious freedom is also closely linked to building strong institutions and justice, which is essential for sustainable peace. Freedom of religion supports the development of just and inclusive societies by promoting the rule of law, access to justice, and the protection of human rights. These principles are integral to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG16, which focuses on peace, justice, and strong institutions (Durham, 2023). In societies where religious freedom is protected, institutions tend to be stronger and more resilient, as they promote inclusivity and ensure that all individuals—regardless of their religious background—can participate in civic life.

The role of religious freedom in fostering interreligious dialogue and cooperation is another critical factor in achieving sustainable peace. Cultural initiatives, such as those undertaken by the Library of Alexandria, demonstrate the power of culture, literacy, and the arts in bridging gaps among religious groups (Salib, 2018). These initiatives promote mutual understanding and help combat extreme

and intolerant thinking by bringing people together through shared cultural experiences. Religious freedom plays a key role in preventing conflicts and promoting peaceful coexistence by fostering dialogue and cooperation.

While religious freedom is decisive in promoting sustainable peace, it has challenges. The rise of religious extremism and violence, often exacerbated by restrictions on religious expression, highlights the complex relationship between religion and conflict. In many cases, states that restrict religious freedom inadvertently contribute to the rise of religious violence and extremism. This is evident in contexts like Myanmar, where the suppression of religious minorities has led to widespread unrest and violence. Conversely, societies that embrace religious freedom and foster interfaith dialogue, such as Tunisia, tend to experience lower levels of extremism and greater social harmony.

In conclusion, religious freedom contributes to sustainable peace by promoting tolerance, enhancing social harmony, building strong institutions, fostering interreligious dialogue, and combating extremism. The cases of Northern Ireland, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Tunisia, and Nigeria demonstrate how religious freedom can be a powerful tool for peacebuilding. Meanwhile, the situation in Myanmar highlights the dangers of suppressing this fundamental right. By protecting religious freedom and encouraging cooperation among diverse religious groups, societies can lay the groundwork for sustainable peace and development.

2. Roles of State Actors, International Organizations, and Civil Society in Advancing Religious Freedom

The advancement of religious freedom is a multifaceted endeavour involving state actors, international organizations, and civil society. Each plays a distinct yet interconnected role in promoting and protecting this fundamental human right. State actors often set the legal and policy frameworks, and international organizations provide platforms for dialogue and apply pressure to encourage compliance. At the same time, civil society acts as a catalyst for change and accountability. Together, these entities work towards creating an environment where religious freedom can flourish, though political, cultural, and international complexities often challenge their efforts.

Role of State Actors

State actors are crucial in establishing and enforcing legal and policy frameworks safeguarding religious freedom. By passing and upholding laws that protect religious minorities and ensure freedom of worship, states create the foundation of religious liberty within their jurisdictions. For example, the United States has developed a comprehensive policy framework through the *International Religious Freedom Act* (IRFA) and its subsequent amendments, institutionalizing religious freedom as a key component of U.S. foreign policy (Shchipkov, 2022). This act established the Office of International Religious Freedom within the State Department, tasked with monitoring religious freedom

abroad and producing annual reports that highlight countries where religious freedom is under threat.

In addition to domestic policies, state actors leverage their diplomatic and economic influence to promote religious freedom globally. The U.S., for example, has integrated religious freedom into its international relations, using it as a diplomatic tool to build alliances and influence political processes in various regions (Shchipkov, 2022). Countries that actively promote religious freedom abroad often use their foreign aid programs and diplomatic engagement to encourage other nations to improve their human rights records, particularly concerning religious minorities.

However, despite their potential, state actors face significant challenges in promoting religious freedom effectively. Political constraints, competing national interests, and the complex nature of international relations often limit the ability of states to prioritize religious freedom. In many cases, states may be reluctant to confront trading partners or allies on human rights abuses, instead prioritizing economic or security concerns (Spicker, 2022). This demonstrates state actors' inherent limitations when trying to advance religious freedom within a global framework where competing interests frequently overshadow moral imperatives.

Role of International Organizations

International organizations are essential players in the global advancement of religious freedom, as they offer monitoring, reporting, and dialogue platforms. Their work

ensures that violations of religious freedom are brought to the global community's attention, thereby putting pressure on governments to adhere to international norms. Organizations like the United Nations, through mechanisms such as the *Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief*, systematically track and report religious freedom violations worldwide. These reports help mobilize international pressure on countries that violate religious freedoms, forcing governments to address these issues or risk damaging their international reputations (Idris, 2021).

Additionally, international organizations facilitate dialogue between governments, religious groups, and civil society. For instance, the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) has fostered interreligious dialogue and collaboration, which are vital for advancing religious freedom in pluralistic societies. These platforms encourage governments to engage with religious actors and civil society to address local concerns and create policies that reflect the needs of diverse religious communities (Petito et al., 2018). Such efforts are critical in regions where religious conflicts are prevalent, providing an avenue for peaceful negotiation and reconciliation.

Moreover, international organizations offer strategic recommendations through consultations and expert meetings, helping governments craft policies that promote religious freedom. For example, the United Nations Alliance of Civilizations (UNAOC) frequently organizes global forums that bring together policymakers,

religious leaders, and scholars to explore solutions to religious intolerance and persecution challenges. Through these recommendations, international organizations contribute to shaping national policies that align with global human rights standards (Petito et al., 2018).

Role of Civil Society

Civil society organizations (CSOs) are indispensable in advocating for religious freedom at both the grassroots and international levels. These organizations act as watchdogs, holding governments accountable for their actions and providing a voice to marginalized communities. Advocacy and lobbying are central to the efforts of CSOs as they push for policy changes and legal reforms that uphold religious freedom. For instance, CSOs prepare position papers, testify at hearings, and use media campaigns to influence public opinion and governmental policy development. Their work ensures that religious freedom remains on the political agenda, even when state actors are reluctant to address it (Glasius & Lettinga, 2016).

Beyond advocacy, civil society organizations are deeply involved in grassroots engagement. Educating local communities about religious freedom and promoting tolerance, CSOs help cultivate a culture of respect for religious diversity. In Vietnam, for example, the Institute for Global Engagement has worked extensively with local communities to promote religious freedom through dialogue and education, highlighting the importance of understanding and respecting

religious differences (Rieffer-Flanagan, 2022). Such initiatives are crucial in regions where religious tensions run high, fostering mutual understanding and reducing the likelihood of conflict.

CSOs also serve as critical accountability agents, offering a counter-narrative to official government reports and shedding light on discrepancies between state rhetoric and actual practices. In countries like India and China, where religious freedom is often restricted, civil society groups have been vocal in criticizing government actions that undermine religious rights. These organizations provide detailed reports that challenge state narratives and bring international attention to human rights abuses, forcing governments to confront these issues (Chaney, 2018).

A Broader Perspective

While state actors, international organizations, and civil society all play crucial roles in promoting religious freedom, the effectiveness of their efforts can be hindered by various factors. Political interests, cultural differences, and the complexity of international relations often pose significant challenges. For instance, the increasing trend of religious persecution globally, despite international efforts, suggests that current strategies may require reevaluation and adaptation (Idris, 2021; Petito et al., 2018). The rise of religious extremism, as well as the political instrumentalization of religion in some regions, highlights the need for innovative approaches that go beyond traditional diplomacy and advocacy.

Collaborative efforts, such as those in the G20 Religion Forum (R20) and the ASEAN Intercultural and Interreligious Dialogue Conference, offer promising models for addressing these challenges. The G20 R20 brought together religious and political leaders to discuss the role of religion in global governance and peacebuilding, emphasizing the importance of dialogue between religious communities and state actors. Similarly, the ASEAN Dialogue promotes religious freedom and tolerance in Southeast Asia, a region marked by religious diversity and interethnic tensions. These forums demonstrate that a collaborative approach—engaging states, international organizations, and civil society—can yield positive results in advancing religious freedom.

Ultimately, the advancement of religious freedom requires continuous innovation and collaboration. State actors must remain committed to upholding legal protections for religious minorities, while international organizations provide the platforms for dialogue and accountability. Civil society, meanwhile, serves as the moral compass, pushing for transparency and holding governments accountable. Together, these efforts contribute to a more just and peaceful global society where religious freedom is respected and protected.

3. Challenges and Opportunities in Promoting Religious Freedom Amidst Global Political Dynamics

Promoting religious freedom in the global landscape presents complex challenges and opportunities influenced by diverse political, cultural,

and religious contexts. The implementation and perception of religious freedom vary significantly across regions, often shaped by the specific socio-political environment, regulatory frameworks, and international legal standards (Grim & Finke, 2010). This multifaceted issue requires a nuanced understanding of the intricate balance between national interests, cultural sensitivities, and global human rights norms.

One of the most significant challenges in promoting religious freedom is navigating the regulatory frameworks and political dynamics that often impose contradictory goals. Governments, particularly in authoritarian or semi-authoritarian states, struggle to balance freedom with control and openness with protecting national interests (Marshall, 2007). In many instances, religious freedom is perceived as a potential threat to national unity or security, especially when religious movements or minority groups are seen as challenging state authority. For example, in countries like China and Russia, strict regulatory measures are used to control religious expression under the justification of maintaining national security and social stability (Pew Research Cente, 2020).

These regulations often target minority religious groups, limiting their ability to practice freely while prioritizing state-endorsed religious practices or institutions (Grim & Finke, 2010). Political considerations further complicate these regulatory constraints. Governments may enact laws restricting religious freedom to prevent perceived social divisions or the rise of religious extremism. For example, blasphemy laws, anti-

conversion laws, or regulations limiting the construction of religious buildings can restrict religious expression, particularly for minority groups (Fox, 2002). These laws often reflect the government's attempt to appease the majority religious community or prevent social unrest. However, in practice, they disproportionately affect marginalized groups and undermine efforts to promote religious pluralism.

The forces of globalization have significantly impacted the promotion of religious freedom by fueling nationalist backlashes in many countries. As globalization intensifies cross-cultural exchanges and exposes societies to diverse religious practices, it also sparks fears of cultural erosion among dominant societal factions (Idris, 2021). This, in turn, leads to nationalist movements that seek to reinforce traditional cultural and religious values, often through the implementation of restrictive policies. These policies may curtail the religious freedom of minority or immigrant groups to protect what is perceived as the "national identity." For example, in parts of Europe, the rise of nationalist and far-right movements has led to increased restrictions on Islamic practices, such as bans on wearing religious garments like the burqa or niqab (Grim & Finke, 2010). These measures are justified by governments as necessary for preserving national security or secular values, but they often exacerbate tensions between majority and minority religious groups. Similarly, in India, the resurgence of Hindu nationalism has resulted in policies and actions that marginalize religious minorities, particularly Muslims, further

complicating the promotion of religious freedom in such polarized environments.

In polarized political environments, legislative gridlock and institutional barriers often hinder the promotion of international religious freedom. For instance, in the U.S. Congress, promoting religious freedom as part of foreign policy can be challenging due to partisan divides and the need for bipartisan coalitions (T. F. , Farr & Hoover, 2018). Legislative gridlock can slow or block the development of substantive policy changes that would advance religious freedom globally. In many democracies, efforts to promote religious freedom through foreign policy are often entangled with domestic political concerns, making it difficult to build cohesive strategies for international advocacy. Moreover, institutional barriers within international organizations can impede the promotion of religious freedom. Multilateral institutions, such as the United Nations, often face bureaucratic challenges and political opposition from member states, especially when addressing sensitive issues related to religious rights (Idris, 2021). These barriers can delay the implementation of international human rights frameworks and limit the ability of global institutions to take swift action against religious freedom violations.

A significant global challenge in promoting religious freedom is the cultural and epistemological assumptions underpinning efforts to universalize this concept. Promoting religious freedom often involves imposing a legal and cultural framework that may not align with

local religious and social contexts. For example, Western liberal notions of religious freedom emphasize individual rights and the separation of religion and state, but in many non-Western contexts, religion plays a central role in social and political life (Fox, 2021). Imposing a singular legal framework can exacerbate existing tensions and lead to unintended consequences, such as resistance from local communities that view these efforts as foreign interference or cultural imperialism (Fazaeli, 2017). This challenge is particularly evident in regions where the dominant religion is closely intertwined with national identity, such as in Islamic-majority countries or Orthodox Christian states. Efforts to promote religious freedom in these contexts often clash with local values and practices, creating friction between international human rights norms and national religious traditions.

Despite these challenges, there are significant opportunities for advancing religious freedom through international engagement and dialogue. The European Union and other international actors recognize the importance of engaging with religious institutions to achieve stability and peace (Petito et al., 2018). Engaging religious leaders and communities in dialogue creates platforms for cooperation and mutual understanding, fostering a more inclusive approach to governance and human rights (Philpott, 2013a). These platforms allow for exchanging ideas and developing policies that respect cultural diversity while promoting fundamental freedoms. The role of international organizations in facilitating dialogue is crucial in regions where religious

tensions are high. For example, the ASEAN Intercultural and Interreligious Dialogue Conference provides an important forum for Southeast Asian countries to discuss religious freedom issues within a culturally diverse region (Idris, 2021). Through dialogue and cooperation, ASEAN member states can share best practices, address challenges, and work together to promote religious tolerance and harmony.

External actors, including international organizations and human rights groups, promote religious freedom by monitoring, reporting, and applying pressure on governments. International organizations like the United Nations, through its Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief, regularly monitor and report on religious freedom violations, shining a global spotlight on countries that infringe on these rights (Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief, 2022). These reports often lead to diplomatic pressure and, in some cases, sanctions, encouraging governments to take steps toward improving their human rights records (T. F. Farr, 2008). Countries like Sudan and Uzbekistan have shown notable progress in religious freedom, thanks in part to the efforts of external actors (Marshall, 2007). In both cases, international pressure and domestic reforms have led to a gradual improvement in religious rights. In Sudan, for example, the transitional government has removed laws restricting religious freedom, a move welcomed by international observers as a sign of positive change.

Interfaith dialogue presents a powerful opportunity to promote religious freedom and foster peace,

particularly in religiously diverse societies. Countries like India, with their rich heritage of religious diversity, can leverage civil society initiatives to promote empathy, cultural sensitivity, and religious harmony(Little, 2016). Interfaith dialogue helps bridge divides between religious communities and promotes a deeper understanding of each other's beliefs and practices, contributing to a more inclusive society(Marshall, 2007). In addition to promoting peace, interfaith initiatives can also support the development of policies that protect religious rights. By bringing together religious leaders, civil society actors, and government officials, interfaith dialogues can generate recommendations for legal reforms that respect religious diversity while promoting social cohesion.

International legal frameworks, such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), provide a solid foundation for protecting religious rights (O'Halloran, 2020). These frameworks are essential for holding governments accountable for religious freedom violations and setting standards for treating religious minorities (Bielefeldt, 2013). By ratifying international treaties and conventions, states commit to upholding the principles of religious freedom. They can be held accountable through international bodies such as the UN Human Rights Council and the European Court of Human Rights (Evans, 2017). The presence of international human rights organizations in promoting religious freedom also serves as a deterrent to governments that might otherwise engage in religious persecution. These organizations' work helps reduce

political repercussions for religious groups by offering them protection under internationally recognized human rights laws (Marshall, 2007)

While promoting religious freedom faces significant challenges—such as regulatory constraints, nationalism, political barriers, and cultural resistance—there are numerous opportunities for progress. Through international engagement, external monitoring, interfaith dialogue, and the application of legal frameworks, religious freedom can be advanced in diverse political and cultural contexts. Navigating the global political dynamics requires a careful balance between respecting cultural differences and advocating for universal human rights. Engaging with diverse stakeholders, fostering inclusive dialogue, and leveraging international legal standards provide the best pathways for promoting and protecting religious freedom worldwide.

E. Conclusion

Religious freedom is not merely a fundamental human right but a linchpin for global peace, as it fosters tolerance, pluralism, and social cohesion in an increasingly interconnected world. The ability to practice and express one's faith freely, without fear of persecution or discrimination, plays a crucial role in stabilizing societies and reducing conflict. This paper has argued that advancing religious freedom is essential for mitigating intergroup tensions, promoting reconciliation, and fostering a peaceful global order.

The first question examined how religious freedom contributes to peacebuilding and conflict resolution. Religious freedom enables religious leaders to act as mediators and peacebuilders, while interfaith dialogue fosters mutual understanding and harmony between diverse religious groups. Platforms like the G20 Religion Forum (R20) and the ASEAN Intercultural and Interreligious Dialogue Conference demonstrate that religious freedom when integrated into peacebuilding efforts, has the potential to prevent violence and facilitate social cohesion on both global and regional levels.

In addressing the roles of state actors, international organizations, and civil society, the paper demonstrated that each entity plays a critical and complementary role in advancing religious freedom. State actors establish the legal frameworks that can either protect or restrict religious freedoms. International organizations set global standards, monitor compliance, and provide platforms for dialogue. Meanwhile, civil society advocates for the rights of religious minorities, holds governments accountable, and fosters grassroots support for religious freedom. Together, their efforts create the conditions necessary for religious freedom to flourish.

The third question explored the challenges and opportunities in promoting religious freedom amidst global political dynamics. While rising authoritarianism, nationalism, and religious extremism present formidable challenges, opportunities also exist through international engagement, interfaith dialogue, and the enforcement of international legal frameworks such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). These mechanisms provide essential

tools for protecting religious freedom and advancing human rights, even in regions marked by political resistance or cultural divergence.

The global promotion of religious freedom will require a nuanced approach that respects cultural contexts while upholding universal human rights. The evolving geopolitical landscape presents risks and opportunities, making it essential for state actors, international organizations, and civil society to strengthen their collaboration and seek innovative solutions. By fostering inclusive dialogue, developing comprehensive legal frameworks, and leveraging international partnerships, religious freedom can continue to serve as a global peace and stability pillar.

In summary, religious freedom is a key to individual liberty and a vital component of global governance and conflict resolution. The path to promoting religious freedom may be complex, but it can be achieved through collective efforts, contributing to a more peaceful and equitable world.

REFERENCES

Bielefeldt, H. (2013). *Freedom of Religion or Belief: The International Framework*. Cambridge University Press.

Bilen, O. (2018). Alfarabi's Hermeneutics of Religion: Contemporary Relevance of His Perspectives on Freedom of Religion. *Humanities Bulletin*, *1*(1), 34–44. http://journals.lapub.co.uk/index.php/HB/article/view/34

Breskaya, O., Giordan, G., & Zrinščak, S. (2022). Religious freedom: thinking sociologically. *Religion, State and Society*, *50*(3), 246–253. https://doi.org/10.1080/09637494.2022.2112886

Brewer, John. D. , Higgins, Gareth. I. , & Teeney, Francis. (2011). *Religion, Civil Society, and Peace in Northern Ireland*. Oxford University Press.

Bringa, T. (1995). *Being Muslim the Bosnian Way: Identity and Community in a Central Bosnian Village*. Princeton University Press.

Chaney, P. (2018). Civil society, human rights and religious freedom in the People's Republic of China: analysis of CSOs' Universal Periodic Review discourse. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, *22*(4), 503–524. https://doi.org/10.1080/13642987.2017.1397630

Danchin, P. G. (2008). Article Suspect Symbols: Value Pluralism as a Theory of Religious Freedom in International Law. *Yale Journal of International Law, Vol. 33, No. 1, Pp. 1-61, Winter 2008, U of Maryland Legal Studies Research Paper No. 2007-42, Islamic Law and Law of the Muslim World Paper No. 08-25,*. http://ssrn.com/abstract=1058641

Durham, W. C. (2023). *The Significance of Freedom of Religion or Belief for Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions*.

Egwuanikwu, M. (2023). Enhancing Peace and Security through Ethno - Religious Tolerance in Nigeria for Sustainable Development. *NIU Journal of Humanities*, *8*(3), 29–36. https://doi.org/10.58709/niujhu.v8i3.1691

Emerson, L., & Mcevoy, K. (2006). Reconciliation as a Dirty Word: Conflict, Community, Relations and Education in Northern Ireland. In *Article in Journal of International Affairs*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299454895

Evans, C. (2017). *Freedom of Religion under the European Convention on Human Rights*. Oxford University Press.

Farr, T. F. (2008). *World of Faith and Freedom: Why International Religious Liberty is Vital to American National Security*. Oxford University Press.

Farr, T. F. , & Hoover, D. R. (2018). Religious Freedom and Foreign Policy: How the U.S. Can Advance Both Interests and Ideals. *The Review of Faith & International Affairs.*

Fazaeli, R. (2017). *Religion and Human Rights: A Comparative Perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Ferrari, S., & Petito, F. (2013). *Promoting religious freedom and peace through cross-cultural dialogue.*

Fox, J. (2021). What is religious freedom and who has it? *Social Compass*, *68*(3), 321–341. https://doi.org/10.1177/00377686211012368

Fox, James. (2002). *Ethnoreligious conflict in the late twentieth century: A general theory.* . Lexington Books.

Glasius, M., & Lettinga, D. (2016). Global Civil Society and Human Rights. In *Human Rights: Politics and Practice*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/hepl/9780198708766.003.0010

Grim, B. J., & Finke, R. (2010). *The Price of Freedom Denied*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511762345

Haynes, J. (2022a). International Relations, Religion, and Peace. In *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Religion and Peace* (pp. 473–484). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119424420.ch40

Haynes, J. (2022b). International Relations, Religion, and Peace. In *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Religion and Peace* (pp. 473–484). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119424420.ch40

Idris, I. (2021). *Promotion of Freedom of Religion or Belief*. https://doi.org/10.19088/K4D.2021.036

Little, D. (2016). Human Rights, Religious Freedom, and Peace. In *BYU Law Review*. https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/lawreview/vol2016/iss4/6

Magarian, G. P. (2010). *Religious Argument, Free Speech Theory, and Democratic Dynamism*.

Mahoney, J. (2023). The Religion Clauses in the US Constitution: Some Debates on Liberty, Equality, and Religious Freedom. *Eurasian Journal of Religious Studies*, *33*(1). https://doi.org/10.26577//EJRS.2023.v33.i1.r9

Marshall, Paul. A. (2007). *Religious Freedom in the World* . Rowman & Littlefield Publishers / Hudson Institute.

Moist, John. T. (2021). [Review of the book The Rhetoric of Religious Freedom in the United States ed. by Eric C. Miller]. *Rhetoric & Public Affairs*, *24*(4), 733–736. https://muse.jhu.edu/article/850852.

Odak, S. (2021). *Religion, Conflict, and Peacebuilding*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-55111-7

O'Halloran, K. (2020). *Human Rights, Religion and International Law* . Routledge.

Petito, F., Berry, S., & Mancinelli, M. (2018). *Interreligious engagement strategies: a policy tool to advance freedom of religion or belief*.

Pew Research Cente. (2020). *Restrictions on Religion Around the World*.

Pew Research Center. (2019). *A closer look at how religious restrictions have risen around the world*. https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/2019/07/15/a-closer-look-at-how-religious-restrictions-have-risen-around-the-world/

Pew Research Center. (2024). *Religious restrictions around the world* . https://www.pewresearch.org/religion/feature/religious-restrictions-around-the-world/

Philpott, D. (2013a). Religious Freedom and Peace Building: May I Introduce You Two? *The Review of Faith & International Affairs*, *11*(1), 31–37. https://doi.org/10.1080/15570274.2012.760977

Philpott, D. (2013b). Religious Freedom and Peacebuilding: May Introduce You Two? *The Review of Faith & International Affairs*, *11*(1), 31–37. https://doi.org/10.1080/15570274.2012.760977

R20. (2022). *G20 Religion Forum R20*. https://g20religionforum.org

Rieffer-Flanagan, B. (2022). The role of civil society: the Institute for Global Engagement and Vietnam. In *Promoting Religious Freedom in an Age of Intolerance* (pp. 150–172). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803925875.00012

Saiya, N. (2015). The religious freedom peace. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, *19*(3), 369–382. https://doi.org/10.1080/13642987.2015.1032268

Salib, D. Y. (2018). *Interreligious dialogue for sustainable peace: The Library of Alexandria building bridges of tolerance and mutual understanding.* http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

Sandal, N. (2022). Religious Leaders and Peace. In *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Religion and Peace* (pp. 552–561). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119424420.ch47

Shchipkov, V. A. (2022). Political and Institutional Approaches to Advancing International Religious Freedom in the U.S. Foreign Policy in 1998–2020. *MGIMO Review of International Relations*, *15*(5), 150–181. https://doi.org/10.24833/2071-8160-2022-5-86-150-181

Siswadi, G. A. (2024). Religious Interconnectivity in an Effort to Realize Global Peace : a Philosophical Review. *Vidyottama Sanatana: International Journal of Hindu Science and Religious Studies*, *8*(1), 88–96. https://doi.org/10.25078/vidyottama.v8i1.3408

Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief. (2022). *A/77/514: Interim report of the Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief.*

Spicker, P. (2022). The state and civil society. In *States and Welfare States* (pp. 43–53). Policy Press. https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781447367369.003.0004

Taliaferro, C. (2005). *Evidence and Faith.* Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511610370

Eko Ernada

Voigt, L., & Lago, J. M. P. (2019). O debate da teoria política contemporânea sobre a "liberdade" e um esboço de crítica sociológica. *Em Tese*, *16*(2), 83–114. https://doi.org/10.5007/1806-5023.2019v16n2p83

Wellman, C. (2012). Religious Human Rights and Peace. *Journal of Human Rights*, *11*(2), 210–226. https://doi.org/10.1080/14754835.2012.674456

Witte, J. Jr. (2003). *Religion and the American Constitutional Experiment*. Westview Press.