

**Proceedings of the 6th International
Conference of Combinatorics, Graph
Theory, and Network Topology
(ICCGANT 2022)**

Advances in
**Physics
Research**

TABLE OF CONTENT

On Resolving Efficient Dominating Set of Cycle and Comb Product Graph

Muzayyanatun Munawwarah, Dafik, Arika Indah Kristiana, Elsa Yuli Kurniawati, Rosanita Nisviasari

On Rainbow Vertex Antimagic Coloring of Shell Related Graphs

Agnes Ayu Utami Ulya Kamila, Dafik, Arika Indah Kristiana, Rosanita Nisviasari, Elsa Yuli Kurniawati

On Local (a, d)-Edge Antimagic Coloring of Some Graphs

Rifda Izza, Dafik, Arika Indah Kristiana, Ika Hesti Agustin, Ika Nur Maylisa, Elsa Yuli Kurniawati

On Local (a, d)-Edge Antimagic Coloring of Some Specific Classes of Graphs

Eric Dwi Putra, Dafik, Arika Indah Kristiana, Robiatul Adawiyah, Rafiantika Megahnia Prihandini

Hierarchical Structure of Semi-double Track Railway Line Using Supervisory Control Petri Net

Tri Utomo, Subiono, Dieky Adzkiya

On the Strong Rainbow Antimagic Coloring of Some Special Graph

Wahyu Lestari, Dafik, Susanto, Abd. Aziz Wahab

On Rainbow Antimagic Coloring of Some Classes of Graphs

Indah Lutfiyatul Mursyidah, Dafik, Arika Indah Kristiana, Ika Hesti Agustin, Ika Nur Maylisa, Ridho Alfarisi

On the Resolving Efficient Domination Number of Path and Comb Product of Special Graph

Chalim Mufidah, Dafik, Arika Indah Kristiana, Slammin, Ika Hesti Agustin

The Ability of Mathematical Literacy in Elementary School Students Based on Cognitive Style

Sutama, Sofyan Anif, Harsono, Harun Joko Prayitno, Diana Purwita Sari, Mazlini Adnan, Hesti

A Study of the Rainbow Antimagic Coloring of Double Wheel and Parachute Graphs

Syahril Maghfiro, Dafik, Arika Indah Kristiana, Rosanita Nisviasari, Slamin, Ika Hesti Agustin

Pythagorean Theorem for Solving Simple RLC Circuit Problems

Bambang Supriadi, Shinta Nuriyah Mahbubiyah Royani, Achmad Agung Tri Nanda, Eti Elisa, Elsa Munawarotul Jannah, dan Maryani

Transmission Coefficient of Triple Potential Barrier Combination Structure Using Two-Dimensional Schrodinger Equation

Bambang Supriadi, Tamara Pingki, Hana Mardhiana, Nurul Faridah, Elma Tri Istighfarini, Subiki

On Local (a, d) -Antimagic Coloring of Some Specific Classes of Graphs

Fadlila Putri Almaidah, Dafik, Arika Indah Kristiana, Robiatul Adawiyah, Rafiantika Megahnia Prihandini, Ika Hesti Agustin

A Note on Grid-Type Directed Acyclic Graph for Important Property of Resource Allocation Problem

Mochamad Nizar Palefi Ma'ady, Tabina Shafa Nabila Syahda

Minimizing Risk of Accidents by Selecting Reverse Delete Fuzzy-FMEA Route

Rifki Ilham Baihaki, Rosanita Nisviasari, Dafik, Ika Nur Maylisa, Ika Hesti Agustin, Valeriana Lukitosari

Small-Scale Farmers' Decisions to Purchase Subsidized and Non-subsidized Fertilizers: A Logistics Regression Analysis

Ahmad Zainuddin, M. Rondhi

Vertical Market Integration of Cooking Oil Commodities in Jember Regency: Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) Approach

Illia Seldon Magfiroh, Intan Kartika Setyawati, Luh Putu Suciati, Rena Yunita Rahman, Ahmad Zainuddin

Soil Chemical Properties Improvement by Addition of an Enriched Biochar for Growth Promoting on Soybean

Sugeng Winarso, Rendy Anggriawan

Proximate Quality of Laying Hens Feed with the Addition of Sardine Waste

Anik Fadlilah, Edy Susanto, Husnul Muthoharoh, Wahyuni, Ida Susila, Edi Sutanto, Marsha Savira Agatha Putri, Habi Suryo Margono, Muhammad Fakhurur Rozi

The Participation of Tenggerese Farmers in Supporting Food Security

Sudarko, Sofia, Yuli Hariyati, Sugeng Winarso

Welfare of Paddy Farmers and the Influence of Paddy Prices on Rice Prices in Lumajang Regency

Evita Soliha Hani, Rachmat Udhi, Djoko Soejono, Gatot Subroto



Peer-Review Statements

Dafik^(✉)

University of Jember, Jember, Indonesia
d.dafik@unej.ac.id

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the *Sixth International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Network Topology 2022* during 15th-16th November 2022 in University of Jember, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the *Scientific Committee* and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

1 Review Procedure

The type of peer review article is Double-blind review where the authors name and affiliation of the paper are hidden. The reviewer independently made some suggestion and corrections on the papers.

The conference submission management system was using the EasyChair system.

The submissions were first screened for generic quality and suitability. After the initial screening, all submitted papers were sent for peer review by matching each paper's topic with the reviewers' expertise, taking into account any competing interests. A paper could only be considered for acceptance if it had received favourable recommendations from the two reviewers.

There are three types of recommendations, namely accepted with minor corrections, accepted with major corrections, and rejected. The papers with major corrections were given an opportunity to revise and resubmit after addressing the reviewers' comments.

2 Quality Criteria

Reviewers were guided to assess the quality of paper submissions solely based on the academic merit of their content along the following dimensions:

1. Pertinence of the article's content to the scope and themes of the conference;
2. Clear demonstration of originality, novelty, and timeliness of the research;
3. Soundness of the methods, analyses, and results;
4. Adherence to the ethical standards and codes of conduct relevant to the research field;
5. Clarity, cohesion, and accuracy in language and other modes of expression, including figures and tables.

Dafik—Editors-in-Chief of the 6th ICCGANT 2022.

© The Author(s) 2023

Dafik (Ed.): ICCGANT 2022, APR 6, pp. 1–2, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-138-8_1

In addition, all of the articles have been checked for textual overlap in an effort to detect possible signs of plagiarism by the publisher.

3 Key Metrics

Total submissions	59
Number of articles sent for peer review	54
Number of accepted articles	21
Acceptance rate	38.89%
Average Number of reviewers per paper	2
Number of Reviewers (one reviewer can assess more than one paper)	23

Competing Interests. Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest. Some of the authors (in this case, name them) were supervised by the Editor-in-Chief, who has recused herself from handling their submissions and has delegated them to colleagues with no personal interests in them.

This conference was partially funded by University of Jember that has also supported or participated in some of the research submitted to the conference. All authors and reviewers are required to disclose their funding sources, and those research works that were funded by University of Jember have been reviewed by members of the Scientific Committee with no personal interests in the institutions.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.





Small-Scale Farmers' Decisions to Purchase Subsidized and Non-subsidized Fertilizers: A Logistics Regression Analysis

Ahmad Zainuddin^(✉) and M. Rondhi

Faculty of Agriculture, University of Jember, Jember, Indonesia
{zainuddin91.faperta, rondhi.faperta}@unej.ac.id

Abstract. Fertilizer is becoming a big subject among small farmers. Due to a lack of fertilizers, small-scale farmers have been obliged to purchase both subsidized and unsubsidized fertilizers. This issue has effects on farmers' decisions to purchase fertilizers that are subsidized or not. This study intends to examine how small farmers choose between buying fertilizers that are subsidized and those that are not. This study used 30 farmers who used subsidized fertilizers and 30 farmers who used non-subsidized fertilizers. Logistic regression analysis is used in this investigation. The findings revealed that factors influencing the purchasing decisions and use of small-scale farmers' fertilizers are education, farming experience, production, farmer income, ease of obtaining, and affordability. Small-scale farmers tend to buy subsidized fertilizers compared to non-subsidized fertilizers.

Keywords: subsidized fertilizer · small scale farmer · rice production · paddy production · logistic regression

1 Introduction

Agriculture is still the most important sector for the Indonesian economy. The agricultural sector is one of the supporting sectors of the economy through its contribution to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Indonesia's GDP contribution in 2017–2019 is around 10–11 percent. The agriculture industry continued to be one of the largest economic pillars in Indonesia throughout the Covid-19 epidemic, which struck the country from 2020 to 2022, with a growth of 15%. This is further supported by the state of the agriculture industry, which is currently expanding favorably in contrast to other sectors, which have seen a drop [1]. In addition, agriculture is one of the supports for national food security. The realization of national food security can occur if food is available sufficiently and evenly, and people have access to food both economically and physically [2, 3]. This assertion suggests that agricultural progress ought to have continued. Agricultural development aims to enhance the output and productivity of food commodities to fulfill the population's expanding food demands.

One of the government policies to increase the production and productivity of agricultural commodities, especially rice plants, is carried out through a policy of providing subsidized fertilizers for farmers. The Government provides fertilizer subsidies to

increase food production, especially rice, and to help farmers access fertilizer at relatively affordable prices [3]. The provision of subsidized fertilizer is expected to increase rice production and productivity.

Jember Regency as one of the centers of agriculture, especially rice in East Java, is also experiencing problems related to the distribution of subsidized fertilizers. Subsidized fertilizers in Jember Regency have recently experienced delays in distributing fertilizers. In addition, in 2021, there will be an increase in the Highest Retail Price (HET) for subsidized fertilizers which will increase by IDR 300–450 per kilogram as stated in Minister of Agriculture Regulation No. 49 of 2020 concerning guidelines for the highest retail price (HET). This has implications for subsidized fertilizer prices to increase. This phenomenon impacts many small-scale rice farmers in Jember Regency who perceive subsidized fertilizer prices to be expensive, so farmers reduce their use. The increase in fertilizer prices will also affect farmers' decisions to purchase subsidized fertilizers. Therefore, it is important to research the decisions of small farmers in purchasing subsidized fertilizers in the Jember District.

Research related to fertilizers has been carried out by (Haneloy, Sipayung, Joka, & Bukifan, 2021 [4]; Khachatryan, Suh, Zhou, & Dukes, 2017 [5]; Koli, Sipayung, Kune, & Nubotonis, 2017 [6]; Okuma & Isiorhovoja, 2017 [7]; Rachmah, Darwanto, Mulyo, Flora, & Yogyakarta, 2020 [8]; Xin, Yanping, & Tan, 2022 [9]; Jongare and Michael, 2015 [10]; Alhassan, Abdul-Hamid and Gazali, 2020 [11]). In previous studies, we have discussed more about preference decisions for the use of subsidized fertilizers. This research does not only focus on farmers' decisions in purchasing subsidized and non-subsidized fertilizers. In addition, this research also focuses more on small-scale farmers in Jember Regency. This study aimed to determine the factors influencing small-scale farmers' decisions to purchase subsidized and non-subsidized fertilizers in Jember Regency.

2 Research Methods

A. Location and Time of Research

This research will be carried out in Jember Regency, especially in the southern Jember area (Ambulu District, Wuluhan District and Balung District). The location selection was carried out purposively with the consideration that the South Jember region is a rice center area in Jember Regency. After that, the sample sub-districts were selected to be used as research locations, the three sub-districts with the highest production in Jember Regency.

According to Fig. 1, the predominant land uses in the Jember Regency are natural forests, rice fields, and plantations, which occupy around 13.15 percent, 11.44 percent, and 10.94 percent of the total area, respectively. In addition, there are additional uses such settlements (5.16%), agricultural dry lands (4.13%), and uses that are less than 3%. Additionally, the South Jember region would experience a lack of subsidized fertilizers in 2020 and 2021. The time frame for this study was June through October 2022.

B. Sampling Method

The sampling method for farmer respondents who receive subsidized fertilizers in the southern Jember region (Ambulu District, Wuluhan District, and Balung District) is




Fig. 1. Topographic Map of Jember Regency

carried out using a quota sampling technique. Sampling was carried out by randomly taking 20 sample respondents in each of the sample districts so that the total sample used in this study was 60 farmers. The criteria for the farmers who were sampled were rice farmers who used subsidized fertilizers and had small or narrow land areas (<0.25 Ha). The population of this study are farmers who do paddy farming. There are 3 sub-districts in Jember taken as the research locus by considering the selected location as the center of paddy production in Jember. The population of farmers in Ambulu, Wuluhan, and Balung sub-districts represents small-scale farmers using subsidized fertilizers, whose precise number is unknown due to the fact that almost every villager in the three sub-districts is a farmer and difficulties in the field in finding farmers who can be interviewed. This is considered when choosing the sample using the quota sampling technique.

C. Sampling Method

Testing the problems regarding the factors that influence the decision-making of small-scale farmers in buying subsidized fertilizers is used logit analysis. In general, a logistic regression probability model involving several predictor variables (x) can be formulated as follows [12]:

$$Z_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 D_1 + \beta_7 D_2 + \beta_8 D_3 \quad (1)$$

where:

Z_i = Rice Farmers' Decisions (Dummy Variable) (0 = buy non- subsidized fertilizer,

1 = buy subsidized fertilizer

β = constant

X_1 = Farmer's Age (years)

X_2 = Formal education (years)

X_3 = Farming experience (years)

- X₄ = Rice Production (Tons)
- X₅ = Rice farming income (Rp)
- D₁ = Knowledge about subsidized fertilizer distribution (dummy variable) (0 = don't know, 1 = know)
- D₂ = level of ease of obtaining (dummy variable) (0 = difficult, 1 = easy)
- D₃ = Affordability (dummy variable) (0 = unaffordable, 1 = affordable).

3 Results and Discussion

A. Respondent's Socio-economic Characteristics

The important characteristics of respondents in this research include age, education, and number of family members, which are presented in Table 1. Table 1 shows that most of the small-scale farmer respondents in the three sub-districts have 4 to 5 family members consisting of a wife and 2 to 3 children. When viewed based on the level of education, the average education of small-scale farmers in the three sub-districts is elementary and junior high schools with a proportion of 40% and 43.3%. Meanwhile, from the age distribution of farmers it is known that most of the small-scale farmers are aged 41 years and over with a range of 41–59 years by 45% and farmers over 50 years by 36.67%. This shows that the farmers in Jember Regency are old farmers, so they still depend on subsidized fertilizers.

Table 1. Respondent's Socio-Economic Characteristics

Variable	Respondents	Percentage
Number of Family Members (persons)		
≤4	43	71,67
>5	17	28,33
Educational status (years)		
Elementary School	24	40
Middle School	26	43,33
High School	10	15,67
Age (years)		
20–30	3	5
31–40	8	13,33
41–50	27	45
>50	22	36,67

Note: Grouped samples are calculated in percentage (%) using the provided interval scale criteria

B. Small-Scale Farmers' Decisions to Purchase Subsidized and Non-subsidized Fertilizers in Jember Regency

Logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the factors that influence farmers to purchase subsidized and non-subsidized fertilizers. Variables used in this study include farmer age, education, length of farming, rice production, farmer income, knowledge dummy of subsidized fertilizer distribution, easiness level of obtaining subsidized fertilizer dummy, and price affordability dummy. The results of the logistic regression analysis can be seen as follows (Table 2):

Table 2. Factors influencing the decision of small-scale farmers to purchase subsidized fertilizers

Variable	Coefficient	Sig.	Odds ratio
Constant	-201,009	0,002	0,000
Farmer's age (years)	0,112	0,290	0,786
Formal education (years)	-0,025	0,045*	0,671
Farming experience (years)	0,013	0,001*	1,010
Rice production (Tons)	0,004	0,027*	1,028
Rice farming income (Rp)	0,218	0,019*	0,976
Knowledge about subsidized fertilizer distribution (dummy variable)	-0,031	0,696	0,992
level of ease of obtaining (dummy variable)	0,017	0,047*	1,117
Affordability (dummy variable)	0,022	0,002*	1,825
	Chi Square	Sig.	
Hosmer and Lemeshow test	9,671	0,211	
Omnibus Test of Model Coefficients	71,760	0,000	
Nagelkerke R Square	0,877		

Note: * significant at $\alpha = 5\%$

This logit regression model has a Nagelkerke R Square value of 0.877. This value means that the ability of the independent variable to predict the dependent variable is 87.7 percent, the remaining 12.3 percent is explained by other variables outside the model. The Hosmer and Lemeshow test values are used to test the goodness of the model. The Hosmer and Lemeshow values are known to be 9.671 with a significance level of 0.211 or greater than the error level value of 0.05. That is, the model used to predict the decision of small-scale farmers to purchase fertilizer can be said to be good. The influence of these factors on the decision of small-scale farmers to purchase the subsidized and non-subsidized fertilizers can be described as follows:

1. *Farmer's Age*

Farmer's age has no significant effect on farmers' decisions in purchasing subsidized fertilizers and non-subsidized fertilizers. Farmers buy subsidized or non-subsidized fertilizers not based on age, so the farmer's age does not affect the purchase of subsidized and non-subsidized fertilizers in the Jember Regency. These results are in contrast to research [10, 11], which shows that the age of the farmer has a positive effect on the purchase of subsidized fertilizer.

2. *Formal education*

Farmers' formal education has a significant effect at the 5% level of significance on farmers' decisions in purchasing subsidized or non-subsidized fertilizers. The coefficient value of formal education is -0.025. This figure shows that the higher the education of small-scale farmers, the lower the logistics opportunities for farmers to buy subsidized fertilizers, and conversely, the low education of farmers will

encourage farmers to buy more subsidized fertilizers. Farmers with higher education will choose to buy alternative fertilizers or non-subsidized fertilizers or make organic fertilizers that are cheaper and easier to obtain. These results are in accordance with research by [4, 10, 11] which shows that farmers with higher education will reduce the purchase of subsidized fertilizers.

3. *Rice farming experience*

Farming experience significantly affects the small-scale farmer's decision to buy subsidized fertilizer. The coefficient value of the variable experience of farming is 0.013. This value indicates that the higher the farming experience of small-scale farmers, the greater the logistical opportunities for farmers to buy subsidized fertilizer by 0.013. Small-scale farmers are currently mostly dependent on subsidized fertilizers. This causes the purchase of subsidized fertilizer to be dominated by small-scale farmers. In addition, substituting subsidized fertilizers will be even more difficult because farmers already have a high dependence on subsidized fertilizers. This result is in line with research [6, 13], which states that farming experience will increase the use of subsidized fertilizers.

4. *Rice production*

Farmers' rice production also influences farmers' decisions to purchase subsidized fertilizers. The effect value of rice production is 0.004. That is, the higher the farmer's rice production will increase the logistics opportunity for small-scale farmers to purchase subsidized fertilizer by 0.004. Small-scale farmers depend on the previous season's production to finance their farming. Therefore, high production yields will lead to increased purchases of fertilizers in the following growing season. In addition, the scarcity of subsidized fertilizers in several places, including in Jember, has caused small-scale farmers to stockpile the use of fertilizers for the following season when these fertilizers are available. In addition, small farmers tend to buy subsidized fertilizers because they are cheaper than subsidized fertilizers. These results are in accordance with research [13, 14], which shows that increased production will increase the purchase of subsidized fertilizer.

5. *Farming income*

Farming income also indicates small-scale farmers' decision to buy subsidized fertilizer. The results of the analysis show an effect of 0.218. This value indicates that the increase in revenue of Rp. 1 will increase the logistical opportunities for small-scale farmers to purchase subsidized fertilizers in Jember District. This shows that an increase in farmers' income in the previous season will increase the opportunities for small-scale farmers to buy more fertilizer in the following planting season. Small-scale farmers who have higher incomes will buy more subsidized fertilizers, provided subsidized fertilizers are available. The use of non-subsidized fertilizers by small-scale farmers is only done when subsidized fertilizers are scarce. These results align with research [4, 10], which explains that income positively affects the use of subsidized fertilizers.

6. *Dummy Knowledge of subsidized fertilizer distribution*

The dummy variable knowledge of the distribution of subsidized fertilizers has no significant effect on the purchase of subsidized fertilizers. This result is because small-scale farmers do not know how to distribute subsidized fertilizers. Small-scale farmers only know where to buy subsidized fertilizer.

7. *Dummy variable About ease of obtaining*

The dummy variable, the ease of obtaining subsidized fertilizer, significantly affects the purchase of fertilizer. The effect value is 0.017. This figure means that the easier it is for farmers to obtain subsidized fertilizers, the implications for increasing the logistical opportunities for small-scale farmers to buy subsidized fertilizers. If subsidized fertilizers are easy to obtain, small-scale farmers will certainly buy more subsidized fertilizers.

8. *Dummy variable about Affordability*

The affordability dummy variable is also one indicator determining small-scale farmers' decision to purchase subsidized and non-subsidized fertilizers. The effect of this variable is 0.022. Price is one of the determining indicators of a purchase of goods. According to the law of demand, the lower the price, the higher the quantity demanded. According to the law of demand, the affordable price dummy variable will increase the logistic opportunities for farmers by 0.022 to buy subsidized fertilizer. The price of subsidized fertilizers, which is much cheaper compared to non-subsidized fertilizers, also causes many small-scale farmers to choose to use subsidized fertilizers that farmers are sometimes willing to queue because of the limited availability of subsidized fertilizers. This result is supported by research [5, 9, 10, 14–17], which shows that price determines the purchase of subsidized fertilizer.

4 Conclusion

Factors that influence the purchasing decisions and use of small-scale farmers' fertilizers are education, farming experience, production, farmer income, the ease of obtaining dummy, and the affordability dummy. Small-scale farmers tend to buy subsidized fertilizers compared to non-subsidized fertilizers. This is because small-scale farmers can only buy subsidized fertilizers because they are affordable. Therefore, the availability of subsidized fertilizers needs to be maintained so that small farmers can access and buy fertilizers at affordable prices.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Institute for Research and Community Service (LPPM), University of Jember, for the financial support for this study or research through a novice lecturer research grant with Decree No 14970/UN25/KP/2022.

References

1. BPS, *Indonesian Statistic "Statistik Indonesia."* Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik, 2022.
2. A. Suryana, "Toward Sustainable Indonesian Food Security 2025 : Challenges and Its Responses," *Forum Penelit. Agro Ekon.*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 123–135, 2014.
3. A. Suryana, A. Agustian, and R. D. Yofa, "Policy Alternatives on Subsidized Fertilizer Distribution for Food Farmers," *Anal. Kebijak. Pertan.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 35–54, 2016.

4. M. L. Haneloy, B. P. Sipayung, U. Joka, and M. Bukifan, "Preferences and Decision Making for Corn Farmers Using Subsidized Fertilizers in Biboki Anleu District, North Central Timor District (Case Study of Kotafoun Village) "Preferensi Dan Pengambilan Keputusan Petani Jagung Menggunakan Pupuk Bersubsidi Di Kecam," in *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Agribisnis 2021 Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Khairun*, 2021, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 194–202, <https://doi.org/10.32938/ag.v6i4.1497>.
5. H. Khachatryan, D. H. Suh, G. Zhou, and M. Dukes, "Sustainable Urban Landscaping: Consumer Preferences and Willingness to Pay for Turfgrass Fertilizers," *Can. J. Agric. Econ.*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 385–407, 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1111/cjag.12129>.
6. A. M. Koli, B. P. Sipayung, S. J. Kune, and A. Nubotonis, "Lowland Rice Farmers' Preference for the Use of Subsidized Fertilizers in Biboki Anleu District, North Central Timor Regency (Case Study of Ponu Village) "Preferensi petani Padi Sawah terhadap Penggunaan Pupuk Bersubsidi di Kecamatan Biboki Anleu Kabupate," in *Seminar Nasional P3M Politanikoe ke-4*, 2017, pp. 225–234.
7. L. O. Okuma and R. A. Isiorhovoja, "Farmers' perception and willingness to pay for organic fertilizer in Delta State, Nigeria," *J. Agric. Food ...*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 9–20, 2017, [Online]. Available: https://www.jafedelsu.com/archive/fulltext/new/9_20Okuma and Isiorhovoja.pdf.
8. M. A. Rachmah, D. H. Darwanto, J. H. Mulyo, J. Flora, and D. I. Yogyakarta, "Farmers' Willingness to Pay for Bio-Slurry Fertilizer," *Agro Ekon.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 1–12, 2020.
9. W. Xin, S. Yanping, and L. Tan, "Small farmer's planting confidence and willingness to pay for leguminous green fertilizer: environmental attributes perspective," *Int. Food Agribus. Manag. Rev.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 49–67, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.22434/IFAMR2020.0190>.
10. A. I. Jongare and A. Michael, "Fertiliser subsidy effects on fertiliser use in the northern region of Ghana," *African J. Agric. Res.*, vol. 10, no. 53, pp. 4926–4936, 2015, <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajar2015.10327>.
11. A. Alhassan, B. M. Abdul-Hamid, and I. Gazali, "Fertilizer subsidy policy and smallholder farmers crop productivity: The case of maize production in North-Eastern Ghana," *J. Agric. Ext. Rural Dev.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 18–25, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.5897/jaerd2020.1138>.
12. D. Rosadi, *Econometrics and Applied Time Series Analysis with Eviews "Ekonometrika dan Analisis Runtun Waktu Terapan dengan Eviews."* Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi Yogyakarta, 2012.
13. B. P. Sipayung, S. J. Kune, A. Nubatonis, and Y. P. V. Mambur, "Decision Making and Farmers' Preferences for Using Subsidized Fertilizers in Sentra Padi District, North Central Timor Regency (Case Study of Biboki Anleu District) "Pengambilan Keputusan dan Preferensi Petani Menggunakan Pupuk Subsidi di Kecamatan Sentra," *Agrimor*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 194–202, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.32938/ag.v6i4.1497>.
14. S. B. Azumah and A. Zakaria, "Fertilizer Subsidy and Rice Productivity in Ghana: A Microeconomic Study," *J. Agric. Stud.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 82, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.5296/jas.v7i1.14367>.
15. H. M. K. V. Herath, E. R. N. Gunawardena, and W. M. A. D. B. Wickramasinghe, "The impact of 'Kethata Aruna' fertilizer subsidy programme on fertilizer use and paddy production in Sri Lanka," *Trop. Agric. Res.*, vol. 25, no. 1, p. 14, 2015, <https://doi.org/10.4038/tar.v25i1.8026>.
16. H. Ekanayake, "The Impact of Fertilizer Subsidy on Paddy Cultivation in Sri Lanka," *AgInsight*, vol. 36, no. 1, p. 73, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.4038/ss.v36i1.1231>.
17. J. Ricker-Gilbert, "Household-Level Impacts of Fertilizer Subsidies in Malawi," Michigan State University, 2011.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

