

# THE EFFECT OF USING THE CLUSTERING TECHNIQUE ON THE EIGHTH YEAR STUDENTS' WRITING ACHIEVEMENT AT SMPN 2 CLURING BANYUWANGI IN THE 2011/2012 ACADEMIC YEAR

#### **THESIS**

By

Syska Dewi Perdani Putri NIM 060210401193

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
JEMBER UNIVERSITY
2011

# **THESIS**

# THE EFFECT OF USING THE CLUSTERING TECHNIQUE ON THE EIGHTH YEAR STUDENTS' WRITING ACHIEVEMENT AT SMPN 2 CLURING BANYUWANGI

By

Syska Dewi Perdani Putri NIM 060210401193

#### Consultants

Consultant I : Dr. Budi Setyono, M.A.

Consultant II : Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A.

#### APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

This thesis is approved and accepted by the Examination Committee of The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University. : Thursday Day : October 27<sup>th</sup>, 2011 Date Place : Faculty of Teacher Training and Education The Examiner Team: The Chairperson, The Secretary, Drs. Bambang Suharjito, M.Ed. Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A. NIP. 1959 0412 198702 1 001 NIP. 19611023 198902 1 001 The members: 1. ..... 1. Dra. Siti Sundari, M. A. NIP. 19581216 198802 2 001 2. Dr. Budi Setyono, M.A. NIP. 19630717 199002 1 001 2. .....

The Dean,

Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

<u>Drs. Imam Muchtar, S.H. M.Hum</u> NIP. 195407121980031005

# **DEDICATION**

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- 1. My beloved father and mother, Drs. Siswondo and Siti Maemunah;
- 2. My sister, Rissa Mareta Mega Putri.

# **MOTTO**

I write to understand as much as to be understood.

(Elie Wiesel)\*)

<sup>\*)</sup> Elie Wiesel in Chaffe, John. 1999. *Critical Thinking Thoughtful Writing*. New York: Houghton Mifflin Company.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Praise to Allah SWT, the most Gracious and the most Merciful, who always gives me His blessing so I can accomplish this thesis entitled "The Effect of Using Clustering Technique on the Eighth Year Students' Writing Achievement at SMPN 2 Cluring Banyuwangi in the 2011/2012 Academic Year".

In relation to the writing and accomplishing of this thesis, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and sincere thanks to the following people:

- 1. The Dean of the Faculty of teacher Training and Education, Jember University;
- 2. The Chairperson of the Language and Arts Education Department;
- 3. The Chairperson of the English Program of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education;
- 4. My consultants, Dr. Budi Setyono, M.A. and Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A, who gave me guidance and careful correction in finishing this thesis;
- 5. The examination committee who had given some suggestions.
- 6. My academic advisor, Dra. Siti Sundari, M.A.;
- 7. The Principal of SMPN 2 Cluring Banyuwangi, Drs. Seno, M.Pd who gave me permission to conduct the research;
- 8. The English teacher of the eighth year students of SMPN 2 Cluring Banyuwangi, Sofia Ulfa, S.Pd, who helped me to conduct the research;
- 9. My beloved Almamater, Jember University.

I believed that this thesis still might have some weaknesses. Therefore, I really hope that there will be some criticisms and suggestions from the readers to improve this thesis. Further, I hope that this thesis will be useful for the readers.

Jember, October 2011

The Writer

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pag	e
TITLE		i
CONSULTANTS	, APPROVAL	ii
<b>EXAMINERS' A</b>	PPROVAL	iii
<b>DEDICATIONS</b>		iv
MOTTO		v
ACKNOWLEDG	MENT	vi
TABLE OF CON	TENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLE	S	X
LIST OF APPEN	DICES	хi
SUMMARY		xii
CHAPTER 1. IN	ΓRODUCTION	1
1.1	The Background of the Research	1
1.2	The Problems of the Research	4
1.3	The Objectives of the Research	4
1.4	The Operational Definition of Terms	4
	1.4.1 Clustering Technique	4
	1.4.2 Students' Writing Achievement	5
1.5	The Significances of the Research	5
	1.5.1 For the English Teacher	5
	1.5.2 For the Students	5
	1.5.3 For the Other Researchers	5
CHAPTER 2. RE	VIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	6
2.1	The Writing Definitions	6
	2.1.1 The Aspects of Writing	7
	a. Content	7
	b. Grammar	8

c. Mechanics	. 8
d. Organization	. 10
e. Vocabulary	. 11
2.2 The Competencies of Writing Stated in Junior	
High School	. 12
2.3 The Competencies in writing A Recount Text	. 13
2.4 Assessing the Students' Writing Competencies	. 16
2.4.1 The Scoring Criteria of Writing	. 17
2.5 Clustering Technique	. 18
2.5.1 The Definitions of Clustering Technique	. 18
2.5.2 The Steps of Clustering Technique in Teaching	
Writing	. 20
2.5.3 The Advantages of Clustering	. 24
2.6 Teaching Paragraph Writing through the	
Clustering Technique	. 24
2.7 The Effect of Using Clustering Technique on the	
Students' Writing Achievement	. 26
2.8 Research Hypothesis	. 27
CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	. 28
3.1 Research Design	. 28
3.2 Area Determination Method	. 30
3.3 Population and Samples	. 31
3.4 Data Collection Method	. 31
3.4.1 The Validity of the Test	. 32
3.4.2 The Reliability of the Test	. 32
3.5 Data Analysis Method	. 33
CHAPTER 4. RESEARCH RESULT AND DATA ANALYSIS	. 35
4.1 Teaching Writing in the Experimental and the Control	
Class	. 35

	4.1.1 First Meeting	36
	4.1.2 Second Meeting	36
	4.1.2 Third Meeting	37
4.2	2 The Result of Writing Test for the Experimental and	
	The Control Class	37
	4.2.1 The Result of Pretest	37
	4.2.2 The Result of Posttest	38
4	3 The Analysis of the Main Data	39
4.4	4 The Hypothesis Verification	44
4.	5 Discussion	44
CHAPTER 5.	CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS	45
5.	1 Conclusions	45
5.2	2 Suggestions	45
	a. The English Teacher	45
	b. The Students	46
	c. The Future Researchers	46
REFERENCE	ES	47
APPENDICE	C	50

# LIST OF TABLES

Page	
2.1 The Scoring Criteria of Writing	16
4.1 Between-Subjects Factors	34
4.2 Descriptive Statistics	37
4.3 Levene's Test of Quality of Error Variances	38
4.4 Test of Between-Subjects Effects	39
4.5 Estimated Marginal Means	41
4.6 Profile Plots	<del>1</del> 2
4.7The Schedule of Research 5	53
4.8 The Result of Pretest (Experimental Class by the English Teacher) 8	34
4.9 The Result of Pretest (Experimental Class by the Researcher) 8	36
4.10 The Mean Score of Pretest (Experimental Class)	38
4.11 The Result of Pretest (Control Class by the English Teacher)	90
4.12 The Result of Pretest (Control Class by the Researcher)	92
4.13 The Mean Score of Pretest (Control Class)	94
4.14 The Result of Posttest (Experimental Class by the English Teacher) 9	96
4.15 The Result of Posttest (Experimental Class by the Researcher)	98
4.16 The Mean Score of Posttest (Experimental Class)	100
4.17 The Result of Posttest (Control Class by the English Teacher) 1	102
4.18 The Result of Posttest (Control Class by the Researcher)	104
4.19 The Mean Score of Posttest (Control Class)	105

# LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
A. Research Matrix	50
B. The Guideline of Instrument	51
C. The Result of Interview with the English Teacher	52
D. The Schedule of Administering the Research	53
E. Pretest	54
F. Lesson Plan (First Meeting)	55
G. Lesson Plan (Second Meeting)	65
H. Lesson Plan (Third Meeting)	71
I. Posttest	77
J. The Procedures of Interpreting Data Using ANCOVA	79
K. Table 4.8 The Result of Pretest (Experimental Class by the English	
Teacher)	84
L. Table 4.9 The Result of Pretest (Experimental Class by the Researcher	r) 86
M. Table 4.10 The Mean Score of Pretest (Experimental Class)	88
N. Table 4.11 The Result of Pretest (Control Class by the English Teacher	er) 90
O. Table 4.12 The Result of Pretest (Control Class by the Researcher)	92
P. Table 4.13 The Mean Score of Pretest (Control Class)	94
Q. Table 4.14 The Result of Posttest (Experimental Class by the English	
Teacher)	96
R. Table 4.15 The Result of Posttest (Experimental Class by the Research	her) 98
S. Table 4.16 The Mean Score of Posttest (Experimental Class)	100
T. Table 4.17The Result of Posttest (Control Class by the English Teacher	er) . 102
U. Table 4.18 The Result of Posttest (Control Class by the Researcher)	104
V. Table 4.19 The Mean Score of Posttest (Control Class)	106
W. Output of SPSS	108
X. The Scoring Criteria of Writing	110

Y.	Example of Students' Writing	111
<b>Z</b> . ]	Permission Letter for Conducting Research from the Faculty of Teacher	
	Training and Education of Jember University	135

#### **SUMMARY**

The Effect of Using Clustering Technique on the Eighth Year Students' Writing Achievement at SMPN 2 Cluring Banyuwangi; Syska Dewi Perdani Putri, 060210401193; 2011: 46 pages; English Education Program, Language and Arts Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

Indonesian students, as EFL learners, often experience problems in English writing especially the difficulties to generate the ideas. They have to do the prewriting activity before doing the writing process to make it easier to be done. To help the students' problem in generating the ideas, the teacher needs to give a prewriting technique.

Based on the above reason, the researcher used the clustering technique in teaching writing to the students. It is one of the prewriting techniques that can help the students to find the ideas by drawing some words by using balloons and connecting each word by using lines. By using the clustering technique, the students can know the relation of each word, so they can generate the ideas easily. The clustering technique can help the students to solve their problems in generating the ideas. By using it, the students can find some words related to their writing, so they can prepare the vocabularies before doing the writing process. The students are asked to do the prewriting first. They had to find the words related to the topics by using clustering technique.

This research was conducted to investigate whether or not there was a significant effect of using clustering technique on the writing achievement of the eighth year students at SMPN 2 Cluring Banyuwangi. The respondents of this research were the eighth year students of SMPN 2 Cluring Banyuwangi in the 2011/2012 academic year. The researcher determined the two classes by using lottery. The total number of the respondent was 78 students, divided into the experimental group and the control group. The experimental group consisted of 39 students and the

control group consisted of 39 students. After determining the experimental and control class, then the researcher gave the same pretest to both classes. After that, the experimental class was taught writing by using clustering technique as the prewriting technique, while the control class was taught writing without the clustering technique. After the students were taught for 3 meetings, they were given the same posttest of writing.

The primary data of this research were collected from the post test of writing test. The main data of this research were analyzed by using ANCOVA (Analysis of Covariance). The result of this research showed that there was a significant effect of using the clustering technique on the eighth year students' writing achievement. It was proven by the value of significant column of ANCOVA by using SPSS, the result was 0.003. It was lower than 0.05. Based on the explanation above, it could be concluded that there was a significant effect of using the clustering technique on the eight year students' writing achievement at SMPN 2 Cluring Banyuwangi. Based on the result of this research, it is recommended to the English teacher to use the clustering technique in teaching writing because it can help the students to generate the ideas for developing them into a recount paragraph.