Digital Repository Universitas Jember

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control



Aur Design of Lotting





Digital Repository Universitas Jember

Der Springer Open

Search Q Get published

shed Explore.

Explore Journals

About Login

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control

Articles

About

Submission Guidelines

About

<u>Contact</u> Editorial Board

Aims and scope

The *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* is a periodic scientific journal published by the Egyptian Society for Biological Control of Pests (ESBCP) in collaboration with SpringerNature. The journal aims to publish internationally peer-reviewed, high-quality research articles in the field of biological and integrated pest control (non-chemical control). The journal publishes review articles, original papers, conference reports, book reviews, editorials, laboratory reports, technical notes and short communications.

Open access

All articles published by the *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* are made freely and permanently accessible online immediately upon publication, without subscription charges or registration barriers. Further information about open access can be found <u>here</u>.

As authors of articles published in the *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* you are the copyright holders of your article and have granted to any third party, in advance and in perpetuity, the right to use, reproduce or disseminate your article, according to the <u>SpringerOpen copyright and license agreement</u>.

For those of you who are US government employees or are prevented from being copyright holders for similar reasons, SpringerOpen can accommodate non-standard copyright lines. Please <u>contact us</u> if further information is needed.

Article-processing charges

The publication costs for *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* are covered by Specialized Presidential Council for Education and Scientific Research (Government of Egypt) so authors do not need to pay an article-processing charge.



<u>Books</u>

Submit manuscript

Editorial Board

Sign up for article alerts and news from this journal

Associated Society

Egyptian Society for Biological Control of Pests ESBCP

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control is affiliated with the Egyptian Society for Biological Control of Pests. Annual Journal Metrics

Citation Impact

2.055 - <u>2-year Impact</u> <u>Factor</u> (2021) 1.666 - <u>5-year Impact</u> <u>Factor</u> (2021) 1.184 - <u>Source Normalized</u> <u>Impact per Paper (SNIP)</u> 0.453 - <u>SCImago Journal</u> <u>Rank (SJR)</u>

Digital Repository Universitas Jember

Article-processing charges

The publication costs for Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control are covered by Specialized Presidential Council for Education and Scientific Research (Government of Egypt) so authors do not need to pay an article-processing charge.

Indexing Services

All articles published in Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control are included in:

CAB Abstracts

EBSCO Discovery Service Institute of Scientific and Technical Information of China Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition Meta. Naver: OCLC WorldCat Discovery Service ProQuest-ExLibris Primo ProQuest-ExLibris Summon SCOPUS Science Citation Index Expanded (SciSearch) Zoological Record DOAJ EBSCO Academic Search EBSCO Arab World Research Source EBSCO Belt and Road Initiative Reference Source EBSCO Biomedical Reference Collection EBSCO Food Science Source EBSCO STM Source **EMBiology** Google Scholar SCImago BIOSIS **Biological Abstracts** Dimensions ONKI. UGC-CARE List (India)

The full text of all articles is deposited in <u>digital archives</u> around the world to guarantee longterm digital preservation. You can also access all articles published by SpringerOpen on <u>SpringerLink</u>. Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control has an Impact Factor of 0.381. 2.055 - <u>2-year Impact</u> <u>Factor</u> (2021) 1.666 - <u>5-year Impact</u> <u>Factor</u> (2021) 1.184 - <u>Source Normalized</u> <u>Impact per Paper (SNIP)</u> 0.453 - <u>SCImago Journal</u> <u>Rank (SJR)</u>

Speed

12 days to first decision for all manuscripts (Median) 36 days to first decision for reviewed manuscripts only (Median)

Usage

377,129 Downloads (2021) 20 Altmetric mentions (2021)

More about our metrics

Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB) Journals



Egyptian Knowledge Bank بنك المعترفة المصري

Visit our collection of Egyptian journals. ISSN: 2536-9342 (electronic)

Advertisement

Peer-review policy

Peer-review is the system used to assess the quality of a manuscript before it is published. Independent researchers in the relevant research area assess submitted manuscripts for originality, validity and significance to help editors determine whether the manuscript should be published in their journal. You can read more about the peer-review process <u>here</u>.

Egyption Journal of Biological Pest Control operates a double-blind peer-review system, where the reviewers do not know the names or affiliations of the authors and the reviewer reports provided to the authors are anonymous. Submitted manuscripts will generally be reviewed by two to three experts who will be asked to evaluate whether the manuscript is scientifically sound and coherent, whether it duplicates already published work, and whether or not the manuscript is sufficiently clear for publication. Reviewers will also be asked to indicate how interesting and significant the research is. The Editors will reach a decision based on these reports and, where necessary, they will consult with members of the Editorial Board.

As this journal operates a double-blind peer review system, please do not include identifying information in your main manuscript as this must remain blinded. If sections of your manuscript such as 'Authors' contributions' or 'Competing interests' contain identifying information please include these as a separate file and upload the file using the 'Title Page' option at the Attach Files step.

Citing articles in Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control

Articles in Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control should be cited in the same way as articles in a traditional journal. Because articles are not printed, they do not have page numbers; instead, they are given a unique article number.

Article citations follow this format:

Authors: Title. Egypt J Biol Pest Control [year], [volume number]:[article number].

e.g. Roberts LD, Hassall DG, Winegar DA, Haselden JN, Nicholls AW, Griffin JL: Increased hepatic oxidative metabolism distinguishes the action of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor delta from Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor gamma in the Ob/Ob mouse. *Egypt J Biol Pest Control* 2009, 1:115.

1:115 refers to article 115 from Volume 1 of the journal.

Editorial policies

All manuscripts submitted to Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control should adhere to SpringerOpen's editorial policies.

Once your article is accepted, it will be processed by production and published shortly afterwards. In some cases, articles may be held for a short period of time prior to publication. If you have any concerns or particular requirements please contact the Journal.

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Appeals and complaints

Authors who wish to appeal a rejection or make a complaint should follow the procedure outlined in the <u>BMC Editorial Policies</u>.

Benefits of publishing with Springer Operas Jember

High visibility

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control's open access policy allows maximum visibility of articles published in the journal as they are available to a wide, global audience.

Speed of publication

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control offers a fast publication schedule whilst maintaining rigorous peer review; all articles must be submitted online, and peer review is managed fully electronically (articles are distributed in PDF form, which is automatically generated from the submitted files). Articles will be published with their final citation after acceptance, in both fully browsable web form, and as a formatted PDF; the article will then be available through Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control and SpringerOpen.

Flexibility

Online publication in *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* gives you the opportunity to publish large datasets, large numbers of color illustrations and moving pictures, to display data in a form that can be read directly by other software packages so as to allow readers to manipulate the data for themselves, and to create all relevant links (for example, to PubMed, to sequence and other databases, and to other articles).

Promotion and press coverage

Articles published in Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control are included in article alerts and regular email updates.

In addition, articles published in *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* may be promoted by press releases to the general or scientific press. These activities increase the exposure and number of accesses for articles published in *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*.

Copyright

Authors of articles published in *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* retain the copyright of their articles and are free to reproduce and disseminate their work (for further details, see the <u>copyright and license agreement</u>).

For further information about the advantages of publishing in a journal from SpringerOpen, please click <u>here</u>.

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control

Articles

About

Digital Repository Universitas Jember

Contact Editorial Board

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Monir M El Husseini, Cairo University, Egypt Ahmed H EI-Heneidy, Agricultural Research Centre, Egypt

Senior Handling Editors

Dalia A Abdalla, Agricultural Research Centre, Egypt Mona B El Mandarawy, Agricultural Research Centre, Egypt

Editorial Board Members

Ahmed H Fouly, Mansoura University, Egypt Fawzy Shalaby, Benha University, Egypt Francesco Porcelli, University of Bari, Italy Nickolas G. Kavallieratos, Agricultural University of Athens, Greece Ehsan Rakhshani, University of Zabol, Iran Joe Ellington, University of New Mexico, USA N. Chandra sekaran,, Centre for Nanobiotechnology, VIT University, India Emanuele Mazzoni, Plant protection entomology, Italy Prof. Stanislav Trdan, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Acticles epository Universitas Jember



Search

146 result(s)

within Volume 32 of Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control

Page 1 of 3

Sort by Newest first Y

Virulence of *Metarhizium* isolated from infected *Oryctes rhinoceros* L. (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) larvae around coconut plantations in East Java, Indonesia

Metarhizium is one of the entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) that has been widely reported as a useful agent for controlling the coconut rhinoceros beetle (CRB), Oryctes rhinoceros L. (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Applic...

Sigit Prastowo, Soeharto, Hardian Susilo Addy and Tri Handoyo

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:146

Research | Published on: 30 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Nematicidal potential of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria against *Meloidogyne incognita* infesting tomato under protected cultivation

In the protected cultivation of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) crop, the severity of root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita, incidence is alarming nowadays. To combat this, growers usually prefer using synth...

A. P. Abhishek Gowda, Pankaj, Dinesh Singh, Awani Kumar Singh and R. Sowmya

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:145

Research | Published on: 23 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Promising biological agents represented in *Bacillus velezensis* 33RB and Digital Repository Universitas Jemper Aspergillus niger 46SF endophytic isolates for controlling *Populus* tomentosa wilt and anthracnose diseases

Poplar fungal infections are difficult to control and result in severe economic loss. As a viable alternative to chemical pesticides, biocontrol is an effective safe method for disease control.

Ghada E. Dawwam and Amira E. Sehim

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:144

Research Published on: 23 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Molecular characterization and toxicity evaluation of indigenous Bacillus thuringiensis isolates against key lepidopteran insect pests

The indiscriminate use of synthetic insecticides is not affordable and poses collateral damage to humans, non-target organisms, and environmental health. *Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)* is a cosmopolitan, antagonisti...

Rajadurai Gothandaraman, Balasubramani Venkatasamy, Tamilnayagan Thangavel, Kokiladevi Eswaran and Mohankumar Subbarayalu

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:143

Research Published on: 20 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Effect of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Lecanicillium lecanii*, on the biology and predation rate of the anthocorid predatory bug, *Blaptostethus pallescens*, feeding on the flower thrips, *Frankliniella schultzei* Trybom (Thysanoptera: Thripidae)

The flower thrips, *Frankliniella schultzei* Trybom (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) is a notorious pest that attacks the plants of economic importance. The anthocorid bug *Blaptostethus pallescens* Poppius (Heteroptera: An...

K. Sundaravalli, Richa Varshney, A. Kandan and K. Revathi

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:142

Research | Published on: 18 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Efficacy of native strains of entomopathogenic nematode, Dicital Repository Universitas Jember Heterorhabditis indica against the fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from India

The fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a notorious polyphagous pest that has recently invaded India in 2018. Within a short period, this pest has spread throughout the...

Swati P. Shinde, D. B. Ingole, V. K. Biradar, Nandini Gokte-Narkhedkar, N. V. Lavhe, Shivaji H. Thube, Vivek Shah and Y. G. Prasad

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:141

Research Published on: 10 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Biocontrol of the western conifer seed bug, *Leptoglossus occidentalis* Heidemann (Heteroptera: Coreidae) using indigenous entomopathogenic fungi

The western conifer seed bug, *Leptoglossus occidentalis* Heidemann (Heteroptera: Coreidae), is one of the major pest in seed orchards of various conifers, significantly affects pine seed production and causes econ...

Ardahan Eski, Seda Biryol, Ozden Acici and İsmail Demir

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:140

Research Published on: 10 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Efficacy of the entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* and *Lecanicillium muscarium* in the control of the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)

Among the phytosanitary problems, affecting tomato crops in greenhouses heated by geothermal water in southern Tunisia, the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is gaining promine...

Sabrine Chouikhi, Besma Hamrouni Assadi, KaoutharGrissa Lebdi and Mohamed Sadok Belkadhi

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:139

Alternaria alternata strain VLH1: a potential entomopathogenic fungus native to North Western Indian Himalayas

The inadvertent observation of a substantial population reduction of greenhouse whiteflies infecting *Salvia divinorum* plants grown in a polyhouse sparked a flurry of inquiries on the cause of the population decli...

Amit Umesh Paschapur, A. R. N. S. Subbanna, Ashish Kumar Singh, B. Jeevan, Johnson Stanley, H. Rajashekara, Krishna Kant Mishra, Prasanna S. Koti, Lakshmi Kant and Arunava Pattanayak

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:138

Research Published on: 10 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Antagonistic potential of an Egyptian entomopathogenic nematode, compost and two native endophytic bacteria isolates against the rootknot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) infecting potato under field conditions

The root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* spp., are one of the most dominant and dangerous group of pests. The deformations and discolorations make tubers unmarketable and/or of less quality. Therefore, management of *M*...

Hamida A. I. Osman, Hoda H. Ameen, Mostafa M. A. Hammam, Ghada M. El-Sayed, Usama Samy Elkelany and Mahfouz M. M. Abd-Elgawad

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:137

Research Published on: 7 December 2022

Full Text > PDF

Double-coated microencapsulation of honeybee endogenous probiotics as a new strategy for the biocontrol of the American foulbrood disease

American foulbrood (AFB) is one of the potent and highly contagious bacterial diseases affecting honeybees of *Apis mellifera* and *A. cerana* species. *Paenibacillus larvae larvae (P. l. larvae*) is the causative agen...

Fatma Mahmoud, Eman E. Essa, Rasha M. A. Farag, Akila M. El Shafei, Ahmed S. Abou zeid, Hayam A. E. Sayed and Shireen A. M. Ma'moun

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:136

Research | Published on: 6 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Biocontrol pot**ential of endosymbiotic bacteria of entomopath**ogenic nematodes against the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)

The tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is a major pest of tomato plants threatening global tomato production. The control of the pest is becoming increasingly difficult due to t...

Ebubekir Yüksel

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:135

Research Published on: 5 December 2022

Full Text > PDF

Biology, predatory potential and growth parameters of the syrphid fly, Scaeva pyrastri (L.) (Diptera: Syrphidae) feeding on the cabbage aphid, Brevicoryne brassicae (L.)

Scaeva pyrastri (L.) (Diptera: Syrphidae) is a large-size Palaearctic region syrphid fly. The larval stage of *S. pyrastri* is aphidophagous in nature, while the adults are efficient pollinators of various crops. T...

Shivani Palial, S. C. Verma, P. L. Sharma, R. S. Chandel, Rakesh Kumar, Meenu Gupta, Nidhi Sharma and Priyanka Sharma

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control 2022 32:134

Research Published on: 1 December 2022

> Full Text > PDF

Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control (2022) 32:146 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00642-3

RESEARCH

all Repository Universitas Jenebyrian Journal of ^{control} (2022) 32:146 2-00642-3 Biological Pest Control

Open Access

Virulence of *Metarhizium* isolated from infected *Oryctes rhinoceros* L. (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) larvae around coconut plantations in East Java, Indonesia

Sigit Prastowo^{1,3*}, Soeharto², Hardian Susilo Addy^{2,3} and Tri Handoyo⁴

Abstract

Background: *Metarhizium* is one of the entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) that has been widely reported as a useful agent for controlling the coconut rhinoceros beetle (CRB), *Oryctes rhinoceros* L. (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Application of this fungus as a biopesticide is influenced by regional environmental conditions that affect the pathogenic activity against the targeted pest. Several studies have proven that the native fungal isolates have strong pathogenic activity than EPF introduced from other regions. The identification of local EPF species is a strategic approach to develop potential biopesticides with standard properties including host specificity, climate suitability, and significantly suppressing the target pest population. This study aimed to identify *Metarhizium* species isolated from infected CRB larvae with high pathogenicity to host pests in East Java, Indonesia.

Results: Thirteen isolates were obtained which were divided into 4 clades based on phylogenetic analysis by the ITS rDNA region, namely *M. anisopliae* var. *lepidiotae*, *M. anisopliae* var. *anisopliae*, *M. brunneum*, and *M. majus*. Identified *Metarhizium* species exhibited varied sizes of conidia, but fell within the size ranges reported in previous studies. Interestingly, the isolate MaSi produced conidial lengths well above the range of conidial sizes recorded and placed these isolates (*M. anisopliae*) in the MGT clade, known as MALC (*M. anisopliae* s.l. with large conidia). The present investigation reported that isolates MaLe, MaMa, MaWa, and MaSi produced higher mortality values than other isolates, supporting that *M. majus* and MALC had higher pathogenicity against *O. rhinoceros* larvae than other *Metarhizium* species. Neighbor-joining analysis showed a close resemblance between the isolate MaMa and the strain ARSEF 1946, which was isolated from the CRB. In addition, the isolate MaMa had the highest virulence against *O. rhinoceros* larval cadaver with a faster lethal time (for 50% mortality). This result indicated a possible relationship between phylogenetic status or DNA sequence polymorphisms with *Metarhizium* pathogenicity and host specificity.

Conclusions: The local species of *Metarhizium* have been isolated from infected CRB larvae, and *M. majus* isolates exhibited high pathogenicity against *O. rhinoceros* larvae. The close similarity of *M. majus* isolates and CRB-isolated strains suggests a possible relationship between pathogenicity and host specificity with phylogenetic status or DNA sequence polymorphisms.

Keywords: *Metarhizium*, Local entomopathogenic, Coconut rhinoceros beetle, *Oryctes rhinoceros*, Phylogenetic, Pathogenicity

*Correspondence: prastowo_hpt.faperta@unej.ac.id

¹ Study Program of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember,

Jl. Kalimantan Tegalboto No.37, East Java 68121, Indonesia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Background

Oryctes rhinoceros L. (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) is one of the important pests on coconut and oil palm that causes production losses and young plant mortality reaching 60% and 25%, respectively (Fauzana et al., 2018). Severe attack rates by this pest affects tree damage ranging from 50 to 100% (Manjeri et al. 2014). The two life stages of O. rhinoceros can attack different parts of the coconut trees. The second- and third-instar larvae can be growing rapidly in the root area of plants and feed on the rootstock near the soil surface and plant roots. Early detection of larval attack is difficult and controlling this pest at advanced levels of crop damage is inefficient (Hosang et al. 2022). Therefore, direct treatment of breeding sites such as decomposing trunks or compost heaps using biological control agents is considered as an efficient strategy against O. rhinoceros in the field (Gopal et al. 2006). On the other hand, pests that are in the adult stage are strong fliers, thus their control at this stage is an effective procedure because infected beetles may quickly spread and distribute their conidia to other breeding and foraging sites (Paudel et al. 2021). The adult beetle attacks the young leaf midrib by broaching and entering the young tissue which results in plant death in high-level attacks (Alouw et al. 2020).

The coconut rhinoceros beetle (CRB) can be controlled using entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) as biological control agents. Metarhizium is one of the EPF that have a broad host distribution and has been reported to be able to control many crop pests. Moreover, Metarhizium has long been known as a pathogen that infects all life stages of CRB, and the third-instar larval stage is the most frequently infected (Paudel et al. 2021). In India, the application of *M. majus* spores at vermicompost sites is succeed in reducing about 70% of the CRB larval population (Gopal et al. 2006). In the same country, fresh conidial suspension of M. majus killed about 85-90% of third instar CRB larvae within 2 weeks (Velavan et al. 2018). The treatment of rotting oil palm debris with M. majus could reduce larval populations by 80% in Malaysia (Moslim et al. 2006). Metarhizium controls its hosts by attaching conidia to the larval integument and further infecting through three phases of adhesion, germination, and adsorption (Sharma and Sharma 2021). After penetrating the host, the fungus produces toxins that interfere with and damage the host's immune system (Gabarty et al. 2014). Conidia reproduce by contact with moist soil substrate, actively absorb groundwater, and begin to germinate (Indrivanti et al. 2017a).

Several countries in Asia have developed biopesticides commercially, and Indonesia is one of the providers that produce entomopathogenic fungi-based biopesticides, which utilize the *M. anisopliae* as a biological control

agent of CRB on oil palm plantation (Paudel et al. 2021). Optimization of temperature, pH, and relative humidity are required to produce a high fungal pathogenicity against target pests. The effectiveness of Metarhizium strains is certainly different when treated in tropical and subtropical regions, and geographical factors are also involved. The use of EPF is ineffective in countries with relatively less rainfall, and conversely, the application in countries with heavy rainfall reduces the dissemination of the fungus (Indrivanti et al. 2017b). Therefore, the identification of local EPF species is a strategic approach of develop potential biopesticides with standard properties that should be possessed as biological control agents including host specificity, and climate matching, and could significantly suppress the target pest population. The development of knowledge and modern technology makes it possible to isolate and identify new pathogens from infected host pests, which is a proposed step to provide a high opportunity to obtain biological control agent with standard properties. This study aimed to identify the species of Metarhizium isolated from larval CRB cadavers and to determine isolates with high pathogenicity as candidates for the development of EPF-based biopesticides to control CRB attacks in East Java, Indonesia.

Methods

Source of fungal isolates and *O. rhinoceros* larval collections

The experiment was conducted in the Entomology and Plant Pathology Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Jember, Indonesia. The fungi used in this study were isolated from O. rhinoceros cadavers explored from a coconut plantation area in East Java, and the four already cultured isolates of fungi were obtained from Balai Besar Pengkajian Penelitian Teknologi Pertanian (BBPPTP), Indonesia (Table 1). The fungi isolation using the method described previously (Shah et al. 2022). The dead larvae was sterilized by submerging in alcohol (70%) for 1 min and was rinsed using sterile distilled water, dried on filter paper then transferred to potato dextrose agar (PDA) (Merck, Germany, supplemented with 0.1% chloramphenicol) and incubated at 25 ± 1 °C and $65 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity for 14 days. The fungal colonies formed were then purified by subculturing on PDA medium with the addition of 0.5% thiamycin. The purification was performed twice to enhance the performance of isolates and to confirm that the culture is free from contaminants. The O. rhinoceros 3rd larval instar was obtained from sawdust piles in Condong village, Gading district, Probolinggo, Indonesia (7°51′45.0″ S 113°22′28.1″ E). The larvae were incubated for 5 days to ensure that they were not infected by other fungi originating from the field.

solate code	Location	Site coordinates and note
ИаВе	Bedewang, Banyuwangi, East Java	8°16′22.1″ S 114°13′13.4″ E
ЛаВI	Blater, Jember, East Java	8°21′34.2″ S 113°40′19.6″ E
ЛаBt	Bluto, Sumenep, East Java	7°05′57.6″ S 113°43′46.7″ E
ИаВо	Bondowoso, East Java	BBPPTP collection
Nale	Jenggawah, Jember, East Java	8°17′08.6″ S 113°38′47.1″ E
ЛаКа	Kalianget, Situbondo, East Java	7°43′41.1″ S 113°38′52.4″ E
MaLe	Legung, Sumenep, East Java	6°54′45.8″ S 114°02′18.7″ E
ИаМа	Madiun, East Java	BBPPTP collection
ЛаWa	Wates, Kediri, East Java	BBPPTP collection
ЛаWp	Wringin Putih, Banyuwangi, East Java	8°28′34.0″ S 114°21′05.7″ E
ЛаSi	Selomukti, Situbondo, East Java	7°44′58.8″ S 113°45′39.3″ E
ЛаSu	Sukorejo, Situbondo, East Java	7°45′04.8″ S 114°16′50.0″ E
MaSm	Sumbermulyo, East Java	BBPPTP collection

 Table 1
 Isolates of Metarhizium collected from infected Oryctes rhinoceros

Morphological identification of *Metarhizium* isolates

The mycelium disk (4 mm) from the second purification of isolates at 7 days was inoculated on a plate containing growth medium and incubated at 25 ± 1 °C and $65\pm5\%$ RH for 14 days. The radial growth of the colonies was measured at two different points and recorded daily. Fungal characteristics including a color of mycelium, and a texture of hyphae, were observed at 14 days after inoculation. The size of conidia was determined on the mature colonies (14 days after inoculation) by picking up spores (n=100) and placed on a glass slide with a coverslip, then width and length were both measured, using an Eclipse E100 LED Binocular microscope equipped with a Miconos camera and image raster software 3 (Optilab, Indonesia).

Molecular Identification of Metarhizium isolates

Fungal DNA isolation was conducted by extracting mature conidia, using the Zymo Research Quick-DNA fungal/bacterial miniprep kit (California, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Molecular identification of Metarhizium isolates used the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region with primer pairs, ITS1 (5'-TCGGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS 4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') (Tangthirasunun et al. 2010). DNA amplification was performed in a T100 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Irvine, CA, USA) with a final volume of 25 µl suspension containing 2 µl template DNA, 2 µl primer pairs, 10 µl master mix, and 9 µl nuclease-free water. The PCR thermocycler conditions used were: 95 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles at 94 °C for 90 s, 55 °C for 2 min, and 72 °C for 3 min, as well as a final step at 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR products were visualized on a 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis, using ethidium bromide, documented with GelDoc (Major Science, Saratoga, California, USA), then purified and sequenced by Genetica Science (Banten, Indonesia). The Sanger sequencing results were edited, using the Bioedit program, then aligned with the published full-length sequences in the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) databases on NCBI for collecting fungal species references (percent identity close to 99%). Phylogenetic analysis based on ITS-5.8S rDNA sequence was performed with Clustal-W function and neighbor-joining method using MEGA software version 7.

Bioassays of Metarhizium isolates on O. rhinoceros larvae

To evaluate the pathogenicity of Metarhizium isolate against O. rhinoceros larvae, the fungus was cultured on PDA media with corn material for 14 days at 25 ± 1 °C. Conidia were harvested with a sterile spatula and suspended in sterile distilled water with Tween 80 (0.02% v/v), then the concentration was calculated using a hemocytometer (Sondheim, Germany). The concentration $(1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ conidia/ml})$ of *Metarhizium* conidial suspension was determined for pathogenic test based on preliminary test by preparing a dilution series of aqueous conidial suspension $(1.0 \times 10^4, 1.0 \times 10^5, 1.0 \times 10^6,$ 1.0×10^7 , and 1.0×10^8 conidia/ml) from four isolates randomly. Bioassays test was conducted by immersing the larvae in a fungal suspension for 20 s and drying, then transferring the larvae in a plastic container filled with soil and high organic matter. The experiment was carried out in a completely randomized design (CRD) with five replications and each container containing 10 larvae. The larval mortality was recorded daily for 15 days, starting on day 3 after inoculation. Larval cadavers of O.

rhinoceros were transferred to a dry container to confirm the presence of *Metarhizium* by observing the sporulation on the surface of the larvae.

Statistical analysis

The quantitative data included the mean radial growth and mortality rates were performed in an analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's test ($P \le 0.05$). The mortality data were arcsine transformed before analysis. Comparison of virulence among *Metarhizium* isolates was determined by probit analysis to calculate the lethal time 50% (LT₅₀) using SPSS program version 12.

Results

Characterization of colony morphology and conidia isolates of *Metarhizium* spp.

The identified isolates of *Metarhizium* species were cultured on PDA media for 14 days. Colony morphology observations showed that the most of *Metarhizium* the isolates produced circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae and mat-like mass of conidia. Flat mycelium with fine fibrous hyphae was produced by the isolate MaKa, while ununiform circular mycelium was formed in the isolate MaSi (Table 2). Dark green mycelia mats with various colors of circular rings were exhibited in the upper part of colonies with a predominance of black and brown in the lowest part of colonies (Fig. 1, Table 2).

Radial colonies of Metarhizium isolates were measured daily for 14 days after inoculation. Early growth was observed in the radial colonies varying from 3.75 to 5.83 mm on day 1. All isolates of Metarhizium had almost the similar radial growth from day 1 to day 5 after inoculation. For the isolate MaBt, a high radial growth was observed from day 4 to day 8 after inoculation. The mean radial growth of the several of isolates was significantly different. The isolates MaWa and MaSi showed the lowest mean radial growths, were significantly different than the isolates MaWp, MaBo, MaKa, which resulted in the high mean radial growth (Fig. 2; Tukey's test, $P \le 0.05$). One week after inoculation, several isolates showed an elevated colony growth rate including isolates MaKa, MaBt, MaBo, MaWp, varying from 55.25 to 60.83 mm (on the last day of evaluation) with a mean radial growth of 3.58-4.07 mm day⁻¹. Intermediate radial growth

 Table 2
 Cultural characteristics of 13 Metarhizium isolates cultured in potato dextrose agar

Isolate	Mycelium		Color		
	Тор	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	
MaBe	Sparse circular mycelium with thick fibrous hyphae	Sparse Circular mycelium with glassy hyphae and wavy rings	Dark green mycelia mat with a white of circular rings	Brownish green mycelia mat with a light green circular rings	
MaBl	Circular hyphae with fibrous edges	Sparse circular hyphae with fibrous edges	Dark green mycelia mat with a brownish green of circular rings	Brown mycelia mat with a yellow- ish green of circular rings	
MaBt	Circular mycelium with thick fibrous hyphae	Circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae	Black mycelia mat with a yellow- ish white of circular rings	Black mycelia mat with a yellowish white of circular rings	
MaBo	Circular mycelium with a com- pacted center and thick fibrous hyphae	Circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae	Dark green mycelia mat with a yellowish white of circular rings	Black mycelia mat with a brownish yellow of circular rings	
MaJe	Circular mycelium with thick fibrous hyphae	Wavy circular mycelium with glassy hyphae	Dark green mycelia covering whole colony	Brownish yellow mycelia covering whole colony	
MaKa	Flat mycelium with smooth fibrous hyphae	Flat mycelium with glassy hyphae	White mycelia mat with a dark green of circular rings	Black mycelia mat with a dark yel- low of circular rings	
MaLe	Flat mycelium, wavy and tight, with densely fibrous hyphae	Circular mycelium, wavy and tight, with densely fibrous hyphae	Dark green mycelia mat with a gray of circular rings	Black mycelia mat with a yellowish brown of circular rings	
MaMa	Circular mycelium with thick fibrous hyphae	Circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae	Dark green mycelia covering whole colony	Black mycelia mat with a yellowish brown of circular rings	
MaWa	Circular mycelium with thick fibrous hyphae	Circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae	Dark green mycelia covering whole colony	Black mycelia mat with a yellowish brown of circular rings	
MaWp	Circular mycelium with smooth fibrous hyphae	Circular mycelium with smooth fibrous hyphae	Dark green mycelia mat with a white and green of circular rings	Brownish yellow mycelia mat with a white circular rings	
MaSi	Ununiforms circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae	Ununiforms circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae	Dark green mycelia covering whole colony	Black mycelia mat with a yellowish brown of circular rings	
MaSu	Circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae	Circular mycelium with fibrous hyphae	White mycelia covering whole colony	Brownish yellow mycelia mat with a white circular rings	
MaSm	Circular mycelium with smooth fibrous hyphae	Circular mycelium with smooth fibrous hyphae	Dark green mycelia covering whole colony	Black mycelia mat with a light yel- low of circular rings	





produced by isolates MaSu, MaMa, MABl, MaSm, varied from 50.41 to 52.33 mm with a mean radial growth of 2.48–3.40 mm day⁻¹. The growth of *Metarhizium* colony sloped in several isolates at one week after inoculation including MaWa, MaSi, MaLe, MaBe, and MaJe, and they were resulted in the lower mean radial growth (Fig. 2).

Conidial length of isolates varied in size from 7.56 to 21.69 μ m, with the longest conidia belonging to the isolates MaWa, MaSi, MaLe, MaJe, MaSu, and MaMa (Table 3), and also had highest the width conidia values

Table 3 The conidia size of 13 *Metarhizium* isolates cultured in potato dextrose agar after 14 days after inoculation

lsolates	Length (µm)	Width	Length/ width ratio
MaBe	7.81	3.34	2.40
MaBl	7.66	3.33	2.30
MaBt	7.68	3.45	2.30
MaBo	7.56	3.11	2.49
MaJe	10.87	3.83	2.88
MaKa	8.93	3.96	2.29
MaLe	17.89	4.30	4.25
MaMa	10.13	3.83	2.70
MaWa	21.69	5.24	4.25
MaWp	9.81	3.62	2.75
MaSi	18.65	4.30	4.40
MaSu	10.37	3.94	2.69
MaSm	8.22	3.22	2.62

were 5.24 µm, 4.30 µm, 4.30 µm, 3.83 µm, 3.94 µm, and 3.83 µm, respectively. The conidial width of isolates varied in size from 3.11 to 5.24 µm, resulting in a length/ width ratio in the range of 2.30 to 4.25 µm. Based on the value of length/width ratio of conidia, *Metarhizium* isolates were divided into two groups: the high ratio group with length/width ratio \geq 3.00 µm, were the isolates MaWa, MaSi, and MaLe, while other isolates included in

the medium ratio group with length/width ratio of 2.29 to 2.88 $\mu m.$

Molecular characterization of *Metarhizium* isolates based on the ITS rDNA region

Molecular identifications of 13 isolates of Metarhizium using the ITS rDNA region were intended to determine the identity of the investigated fungi clustered in certain clades. The ITS region is one of the common markers used to identify a broad group of fungi (Raja et al. 2017). PCR product of rDNA region amplification using ITS1 and ITS4 primer was purified and subsequently analyzed to determine the nucleotide sequence. Analysis of phylogenetic was carried out to confirm the taxonomic identity of isolates involving 8 reference fungal species listed in the GenBank. The amplification of the ITS region resulted in a single product with a size of \pm 540 bp in all isolates (Fig. 3). Phylogenetic analysis classified fungal isolates into 4 clades of Metarhizium. The isolates MaSu, MaWp, MaBe, MaJe, MaSi, MaBl, and MaKa were clustered in the clade Metarhizium anisopliae var. lepidiotae, with isolates MaBl and MaKa exhibiting a very close similarity to strains ARSEF4587, M32, ACCC30104. In addition, the isolate MaWp belonged to the clade M. anisopliae var. lepidiotae, but the long genetic distance allows it to be suggested as a new subtype. The isolates MaBo and MaBt were shown to be very close and they were clustered in the *M. anisopliae* var. anisopliae clade, while the isolate of MaSm was separately classified in M. brunneum. Isolate MaMa proved to be very close to the strain ARSEF 1946, adjacent to isolates of MaWa and MaLe, were clustered in the *M. majus* clade (Fig. 4).

Bioassays test of *Metarhizium* spp. isolates against the *O. rhinoceros* larvae

The pathogenicity evaluation of 13 *Metarhizium* isolates determined by observing *O. rhinoceros* mortality for 15 days after inoculation. The stages of infection of *O. rhinoceros* larvae by *Metarhizium* are shown in (Fig. 5), starting with the formation of whitish conidia on the surface of the larvae, then the green conidia were growth to covering the whole of larval cadavers after 7 days of dead. The best conidia concentration was determined based on a laboratory bioassay by preparing a dilution series of aqueous conidial suspension $(1.0 \times 10^4, 1.0 \times 10^5, 1.0 \times 10^6, 2.0 \times 10^7, 1.0 \times 10^8$ conidia/ml) from four isolates randomly, then sprayed on *O. rhinoceros* larvae. The result showed that the concentration $(1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ conidia/} \text{ml})$ of *Metarhizium* conidial suspension killed 51% of the test specimens 8 days after inoculation (Fig. 6), the highest larval mortality rate and significantly different than other concentration levels. These concentrations were used in the pathogenicity test of 13 *Metarhizium* isolates.

Mortality percentage of O. rhinoceros larvae was significantly different 9 days after inoculation, and more than 50% mortality rate was observed 10 days after inoculation in most of the Metarhizium isolates (Table 3). Interestingly, the isolates MaMa and MaLe resulted in a high larval mortality rates of O. rhinoceros of 93.33 and 83.33%, respectively. The observation at 11 days after inoculation, the average mortality rate of larvae reached >60%, and a high increased in mortality occurred in MaWa isolates, which reached 96.67%. Out of all tested isolates, the isolate MaWa showed the highest mortality rate in the shortest time, reaching 100% at 13 days after inoculation, followed by the isolates of MaMa, Male, MaSi, with mortality rates of 93.33, 96.67, and 93.33%, respectively. The other isolates achieved a 90% mortality rate at 15 days after inoculation (Table 4).

Metarhizium virulence is determined by the ability of the isolates to kill the test specimens in a short time. The mortality value of infected *O. rhinoceros* larvae was performed by probit analysis to determine the lethal time at 50 percent mortality (LT_{50}) at the concentration of 10⁷ conidia/ml. The results showed that the LT_{50} values for *Metarhizium* isolates varied from 8.21 to 9.96 days (Table 5). The isolate MaMa showed a high virulence against infected *O. rhinoceros* larvae with LT_{50}





values ranging from 4.47 to 9.64 days and an average of 8.21 days. The adjacent LT_{50} values shown by isolates MaWa and MaSm were 8.44 and 8.41 days, respectively. They were proposed as candidate isolates with a high virulence to kill *O. rhinoceros* larvae in a short time.

Discussion

Exploration and identification of EPF as a biological agent to control pests have been widely reported, especially *Metarhizium* isolates from infected insect cadavers with various degrees of pathogenicity (Visalakshi et al. 2020). The characterizations of these fungi can be partially demonstrated based on morphological traits, as well as size and shape of the conidia (Ayele et al. 2020). A circular form, thick or smooth hyphae, a mat-like mass of conidia, and greenish color on the surface are common features shown in the morphology of *Metarhizium* colonies on culture media and the surface of infected insect cadavers (Mathulwe et al. 2021). A similar morphological appearance was also produced by *Metarhizium* colonies on both PDA medium and infected *O. rhinoceros* larvae in this study. The formation of white conidia on the

surface of the larvae at the early stage of infection, then the green conidia spread to cover the whole larval cadavers (Sutanto et al. 2021).

The taxonomic identity of Metarhizium isolates was divided into 4 clades, namely M. anisopliae var. lepidiotae, M. anisopliae var. anisopliae, M. brunneum, and M. majus. The phylogenetic analysis showed that about 76% of the Metarhizium isolates were clustered into the PARB clades, and 69% of them belonged to the M. anisopliae clade. The outperforming percentage isolates prove that M. anisopliae is the most abundant species, widely distributed in soil with various environments, and has broad host ranges (St. Leger et al. 2020). The isolates Male, MaMa, and MaWa, which belonged to the *M. majus* clade, had the largest conidia size than other isolates, ranging from 10.13 to 21.69 µm in length and from 3.83 to 5.24 μm in width. These results supported that the three isolates were classified as M. majus, which morphologically had the largest conidia size of all Metarhizium species, namely>10 µm in length and >4 μ m in width (Mathulwe et al. 2021). In addition, Nishi et al. (2015) reported that the conidial size of the



Fig. 5 Stages of Oryctes rhinoceros larvae infected by Metarhizium.
 (1) Healthy larvae, (2) 2 days after dead, whitish growth of conidia on larvae, (3) conidia sporulation were covering the whole of larvae after 4 days of dead, (4) in the next stage, the appearance of conidia becomes greenish after 5 days of dead, (5) the green conidia were covering the whole of larval cadavers after 7 days of dead

isolates of M. majus varied from 5.1 to 13 µm in length and from 2.9 to 3.2 µm in width, and the largest conidia exhibited by the ARSEF 1946 isolate. In this study, phylogenetic analysis showed that MaWa and MaLe isolates, adjacent to MaMa isolate were very similar to the ARSEF 1946 strain, but they displayed larger conidia sizes. These results indicated that the size of the Metarhizium conidia varies in each species, influenced by factors such as strains and culture media nutrition (Oetari et al. 2020). For example, the M. anisopliae var. anisopliae had conidial lengths, were $5.0-7.5 \times 2.0-3.5 \mu m$ (Mongkolsamrit et al. 2020), and consistently also exhibited by isolates MaBt and MaBo. In another case, Sepulveda et al. (2016) reported that five strains of *M. anisopliae* grown on PDA medium had a low conidia length and width values, ranging from $4.8-5.5 \times 1.6-2.2 \ \mu\text{m}$. A wider range of medium-length of conidia was produced by M. brunneum of $4.5-9 \times 2-3 \mu m$ (Mongkolsamrit et al. 2020), and isolate MaSm was in this range. The isolates of M. anisopliae var. lepidiotae (MaSu, MaWp, MaBe, MaJe, MaSi, MaBl, and MaKa) showed conidial sizes in the range of $7.0-10 \times 3.0-4.0$ µm, which is in accordance with previous studies (Navarro-Barranco et al. 2019). On the other hand, the isolate MaSi produced conidial lengths of $18.65 \times 4.30 \ \mu\text{m}$, well above the range of conidial sizes recorded in the M. Anisopliae var. lepidiotae. The large conidia exhibited by isolate MaSi placed the M. anisopliae in the MGT clade, commonly referred to as M. anisopliae var. majus or known as MALC (M. anisopliae s.l. with large conidia) (Tulloch 1976). Several studies reported that isolates of M. majus and MALC are biological control agent for the coconut rhinoceros beetle (Moslim et al. 2006), and O. rhinoceros is known to be a host of MALC (Nishi et al. 2015).

Bioassays have been conducted on *O. rhinoceros* larvae with TCS (Topical conidial suspension) formulation containing the *Metarhizium* isolates (identified in the clades of *M. robertsii, M. anisopliae, and M. majus*), and the highest mortality was produced by *M. majus* (90% at 7 days after inoculation) with the highest virulence (low median Lethal concentration value) (Velavan et al. 2018). In the present investigation, the isolates MaLe, MaMa, MaWa, and MaSi, resulted in higher mortality value than other isolates (at 13 days after inoculation), supporting



that *M. majus* dan MALC had the highest pathogenicity against *O. rhinoceros* larvae than of *M. anisopliae* and *M. brunneum*. Furthermore, the highest larval mortality of *O. rhinoceros* was observed in MaMa isolate (93%) at 10 days after inoculation, significantly different than the other isolates. Neighbor-joining analysis showed a close resemblance between the MaMa isolate and the strain ARSEF 1946, which was known to be isolated from the coconut *rhinoceros* beetle. The highest mortalities of fruit beetle larvae were produced by Isolates Fkk38-1 and Hn1, which identified as *M. majus* and MALC based on their placement in the phylogeny of the DNA sequence of 5'-EF1- α and were very closely related to ARSEF 1914 and ARSEF 1946 strains (Nishi et al. 2015). This investigation indicated a possible relationship between phylogenetic status or DNA sequence polymorphisms with metarhizium pathogenicity and host specificity.

The *M. majus* and MALC isolates do not always infect or have a high virulence to their original hosts. Metarhizium distinction virulence against insect host is influenced by many factors including environment such as temperature and pH, strains, and culture media nutrition (Oetari et al. 2020). In the case of culture media, for example, decreasing the C/N ratio in the medium resulted in lowers radial growth, protease activity, and virulence of Metarhizium (Safavi et al. 2007). The mean radial growth among Metarhizium isolates also varied when grown on different culture media (PDA/potato dextrose agar or SDAY/Sabouraud dextrose agar) (Hoe et al. 2009). In the present investigation, isolates of Metarhizium were cultured on PDA media for 14 days, and the isolates MaWa and MaSi produced lower mean radial growth than the other isolates. On the other hand, the high mortality and virulence (lower LT₅₀ value) was observed in both isolates, and it was concluded that colonial growth influenced by culture media was not related to the pathogenicity and virulence of the M. majus isolates. These results are supported by a previous study (Oetari et al. 2020), which reported that M. majus was consistently virulent on O. rhinoceros larvae, regardless of the different substrates in the media.

Variation in the virulence of the tested isolates of *Metarhizium* was closely related to the capacity of the

Table 4 Mortality percentage of Oryctes rhinoceros larvae treated with 10⁷ conidia/ml of Metarhizium isolates

Isolates	Day after inoculation								
	3	6	8	9	10	11	13	14	15
MaBe	0.00	23.33	46.67	56.67 a	63.33 cde	70.00 c	73.33 d	<mark>76.</mark> 67 с	86.67 b
MaBl	0.00	20.00	30.00	36.67 b	50.00 de	70.00 c	80.00 cd	86.67 bc	90.00 ab
МаВо	0.00	6.67	20.00	36.67 b	46.67 e	63.33 c	80.00 cd	86.67 bc	96.67 a
MaJe	0.00	13.33	26.67	56.67 a	76.67 bc	83.33 bc	90.00 bcd	90.00 abc	93.33 ab
MaKa	0.00	26.67	36.67	56.67 a	60.00 cde	63.33 c	73.33 d	83.33 bc	86.67 b
MaLe	0.00	6.67	23.33	63.33 a	83.33 b	86.67 ab	96.67 ab	96.67 ab	96.67 a
MaMa	0.00	13.33	26.67	66.67 a	93.33 a	93.33 a	93.33 abc	96.67 ab	96.67 a
MaWa	0.00	20.00	36.67	53.33 ab	70.00 bc	96.67 a	100.00 a	100.00 a	100.00 a
MaWp	0.00	20.00	33.33	53.33 ab	66.67 cd	70.00 c	80.00 cd	86.67 bc	86.67 b
MaSi	0.00	16.67	36.67	46.67 ab	66.67 cd	80.00 bc	93.33 abc	93.33 abc	93.33 ab
MaSu	0.00	10.00	36.67	60.00 a	73.33 bc	83.33 bc	90.00 bcd	93.33 abc	96.67 a
MaSm	0.00	26.67	40.00	53.33 ab	70.00 bc	76.67 bc	83.33 bcd	86.67 bc	90.00 a
F value		1.95 ns	1.11 ns	5.18**	24.74**	12.24**	8.39**	4.78**	2.46*
HSD value				6.91	6.29	14.85	17.82	19.23	23.08

Different lowercase letters denote significant differences (ANOVA, Tukey's test, $P \le 0.05$)

ns, not significantly different

*significantly different ($P \le 0.05$); **significantly different ($P \le 0.01$)

Table 5 LT_{50} with 95% confidence limits following infection of *Oryctes rhinoceros* with *Metarhizium* isolates at a concentration of 10^7 conidia/ml

Isolates	LT ₅₀ (day)	Slope (b) \pm SE
MaBe	8.72 (6.72–10.42)	-5.29 ± 0.79
MaBl	9.42 (3.32–11.32)	-2.30 ± 2.49
MaBo	9.96 (8.23–10.84)	-3.86 ± 2.04
MaJe	8.69 (7.12–9.73)	-4.89 ± 1.39
МаКа	8.89 (6.64–10.64)	-4.71 ± 0.93
MaLe	8.63 (7.08–9.51)	-5.37 ± 1.71
MaMa	8.21 (4.47–9.64)	-4.73 ± 1.72
MaWa	8.44 (6.51–9.89)	-1.25 ± 3.29
MaWp	8.89 (6.87–10.33)	-4.74 ± 1.08
MaSi	8.69 (6.25–9.97)	-3.65 ± 1.82
MaSu	8.58 (7.25–9.51)	-5.36 ± 1.35
MaSm	8.41 (6.44–9.87)	-4.88 ± 0.99

fungus to secrete insect cuticle degrading enzymes (proteases and chitinases), which is also correlated with pathogenic conidia that easily penetrate the host, rapidity of penetration and colonization, and mortality of target pests (Santos et al. 2018). Moreover, this fungus also releases toxins that are correlated with their EPF, called destruxin (Petlamul and Prasertsan 2012). In vivo virulence test by measuring the activity of extracellular cuticle-hydrolyzing enzymes and toxins content will be the focus of further research, which is needed to select the most virulent *Metarhizium* strain against the target pests.

Conclusions

The local species of *Metarhizium* were isolated from infected CRB larvae, and *M. majus* isolates exhibited a high pathogenicity against *O. rhinoceros* larvae. The close similarity of *M. majus* isolates and CRB-isolated strains suggests a possible relationship between pathogenicity and host specificity with phylogenetic status or DNA sequence polymorphisms. The identification of new local species from infected host pests provides a great opportunity to obtain biological control agents with standard properties for development of biopesticides.

Abbreviations

EPF: Entomopathogenic fungi; CRB: Coconut rhinoceros beetle; ITS: Internal transcribed spacer; MALC: *M. anisopliae* S.I. with large conidia; MGT: *majus guizhouense taii*; PARB: *pinghaense anisopliae robertsii brunneum*; PDA: Potato dextrose agar.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Indonesian Ministry of Education and Culture through a research grant No. 300/Ste/UN25.3.1/LT/2017, and Balai Besar Pengkajian Penelitian Teknologi Pertanian (BBPPTP) who has provided four already cultured isolates of *Metarhizium* for this research.

Author contributions

SP and S conceived and designed this study. SP conduct the experiments, recorded data, and interpreted the results. SP, S, and TH provide validation and data curation. SP, S, HSA wrote the manuscript, editing, and review. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Study Program of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember, Jl. Kalimantan Tegalboto No.37, East Java 68121, Indonesia. ²Study Program of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember, Jl. Kalimantan Tegalboto No.37, East Java 68121, Indonesia. ³Applied Molecular and Microbal Biotechnology (AM2B) Research Group, University of Jember, Jl. Kalimantan Tegalboto No.37, East Java 68121, Indonesia. ⁴Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Jember, Jl. Kalimantan Tegalboto No.37, East Java 68121, Indonesia.

Received: 8 October 2022 Accepted: 6 December 2022 Published online: 30 December 2022

References

- Alouw JC, Hosang MLA, Nguyen Q (2020) Biotechnology contributing to integrated pest management: the example of two major coconut pests, *Oryctes rhinoceros* and *Brontispa longissima*. In: Adkins S et al (eds) Coconut Biotechnology: towards the sustainability of the 'tree of life.' Springer, pp 151–168
- Ayele BA, Muleta D, Venegas J, Assefa F (2020) Morphological, molecular, and pathogenicity characteristics of the native isolates of *Metarhizium anisopliae* against the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Ethiopia, Egypt. J Biol Pest Control 30:59. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00261-w
- Fauzana H, Sutikno A, Salbiah D (2018) Population fluctuations of *Oryctes rhi*noceros L. beetle in plant oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) given mulching oil palm empty bunch. J Crop 1:42–47
- Gabarty A, Salem HM, Fouda MA et al (2014) Pathogencity induced by the entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* in Agrotis ipsilon (Hufn.). J Radiat Res Appl Sci 7:95–100. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jrras.2013.12.004
- Gopal M, Gupta A, Thomas GV (2006) Prospects of using *Metarhizium anisopliae* to check the breeding of insect pest, *Oryctes rhinoceros* L. in coconut leaf vermicomposting sites. Bioresour Technol 97:1801–1806. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.09.005
- Hoe P-K, Bong C-FJ, Jugah K, Rajan A (2009) Evaluation of *Metarhizium* anisopliae var. anisopliae (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycete) Isolates and their Effects on Subterranean Termite Coptotermes curvignathus (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). Am J Agric Biol Sci 4:289–297
- Hosang MLA, Rahma, Maskromo I, Alouw JC (2022) Comparative effectiveness of three types of pheromones against *Rhynchophorus vulneratus* (Panzer) and *Oryctes rhinoceros* (L.) in Indonesia. In: IOP conference series: earth and environmental science, vol 974, p 012090. Institute of Physics Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/974/1/012090

- Indriyanti DR, Putri RIP, Widiyaningrum P, Herlina L (2017a) Density, Viability Conidia and Symptoms of *Metarhizium anisopliae* infection on *Oryctes rhinoceros* larvae. J Phys Conf Ser 824:012058. https://doi.org/10.1088/ 1742-6596/824/1/012058
- Indriyanti DR, Widiyaningrum P, Haryuni SM, Maretta Y (2017b) Effectiveness of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and entomopathogenic nematodes to control *Oryctes rhinoceros* larvae in the rainy season. Pak J Biol Sci 20:320–327. https://doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2017.320.327
- Manjeri G, Muhamad R, Tan SG (2014) *Oryctes rhinoceros* beetles, an oil palm pest in Malaysia. Annu Res Rev Biol 4(22):3429–3439. https://doi.org/10. 9734/arrb/2014/11023
- Mathulwe LL, Jacobs K, Malan AP, Birkhofer K, Addison MF, Addison P (2021) Characterisation of *Metarhizium majus* (Hypocreales: Clavicipitaceae) isolated from the Western Cape Province, South Africa. PLoS ONE 16:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240955
- Mongkolsamrit S, Khonsanit A, Thanakitpipattana D, Tasanathai K, Noisripoom W, Lamlertthon S, Himaman W, Houbraken J, Samson RA, Luangsa-Ard J (2020) Revisiting *Metarhizium* and the description of new species from Thailand. Stud Mycol 95:171–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2020. 04.001
- Moslim R, Wahid MB, Kamarudin N, Ali SRA, Hamid NH (2006) Research into the commercialization of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Hyphomycetes) for biocontrol of the rhinoceros beetle, *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Scarabaeidae), in oil palm. J Oil Palm Res 37–49
- Navarro-Barranco H, Brunner-Mendoza C, Reyes-Montes MDR, Duarte-Escalante E, Toriello C (2019) Phenotypic and molecular analysis of Mexican *Metarhizium anisopliae* strains. Revista Mexicana De Biodiversidad 90:e902643. https://doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2019.90.2643
- Nishi O, liyama K, Yasunaga-Aoki C, Shimizu S (2015) Phylogenetic status and pathogenicity of *Metarhizium majus* isolated from a fruit beetle larva in Japan. Mycol Progress 14:58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-015-1082-7
- Oetari A, Khodijah NA, Sumandari O, et al (2020) Crustaceous wastes as growth substrates for insect-pathogenic fungus *Metarhizium majus* UICC 295. In: IOP conference series: earth and environmental science, vol 483. Institute of Physics Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/483/1/012016
- Paudel S, Mansfield S, Villamizar LF, Jackson TA, Marshall SDG (2021) Can biological control overcome the threat from newly invasive coconut *Rhinoceros* beetle populations (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae)? A review. Ann Entomol Soc Am 114:247–256
- Petlamul W, Prasertsan P (2012) Evaluation of strains of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* against *Spodoptera litura* on the basis of their virulence, germination rate, conidia production, radial growth and enzyme activity. Mycobiology 40:111–116. https://doi.org/10.5941/MYCO.2012. 40.2.111
- Raja HA, Miller AN, Pearce CJ, Oberlies NH (2017) Fungal identification using molecular tools: a primer for the natural products research community. J Nat Prod 80:756–770. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b01085
- Safavi SA, Shah FA, Pakdel AK, Rasoulian GR, Bandani AR, Butt TM (2007) Effect of nutrition on growth and virulence of the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 270:116–123. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00666.x
- Santos TS, Freitas ACD, Poderoso JCM, Hernandez-Macedo ML, Ribeiro GT, Costa LPD, Mendonca MDC (2018) Evaluation of isolates of entomopathogenic fungi in the genera *Metarhizium, Beauveria*, and *Isaria*, and their virulence to *Thaumastocoris peregrinus* (Hemiptera: Thaumastocoridae). Florida Entomol 101(4):597–602
- Sepulveda M, Vargas M, Gerding M, Ceballos R, Oyarzoa P (2016) Molecular, morphological and pathogenic characterization of six strains of *Metarhi*zium spp. (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes) for the control of *Aegorhi*nus superciliosus (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Chil J Agric Res 76:77–83. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-58392016000100011
- Shah S, Ash GJ, Wilson BAL (2022) Respondiation of Calcium Alginate Encapsulated *Metarhizium* anisopliae on Metham[®]-Fumigated Soil and Infectivity on Larvae of Tenebrio molitor. J Fungi 8:1114
- Sharma R, Sharma P (2021) Fungal entomopathogens: a systematic review. Egypt J Biol Pest Control 31:57. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41938-021-00404-7
- St. Leger RJ, Wang JB (2020) Metarhizium: jack of all trades, master of many. Open Biol 10:200307. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.200307
- Sutanto KD, Husain M, Rasool KG, AlQahtani WH, Aldawood AS (2021) Pathogenicity of local and exotic entomopathogenic fungi isolates against

different life stages of red palm weevil (*Rhynchophorus ferrugineus*). PLoS ONE 16:e0255029. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255029

- Tangthirasunun N, Poeaim S, Soytong K, Sommartya P, Popoonsak S (2010) Variation in morphology and ribosomal DNA among isolates of *Metarhizium anisopliae* from Thailand. J Agric Technol 6(2):317–329
- Tulloch M (1976) The genus *Metarhizium*. Trans Br Mycol Soc 66:407–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(76)80209-4
- Velavan V, Sivakumar G, Rangeshwaran R, Sundararaj R, Sasidharan TO (2018) Metarhizium majus and Metarhizium robertsii show enhanced activity against the coleopteran pests Holotricha serrata and Oryctes rhinoceros. J Biol Control 31:135–145. https://doi.org/10.18311/jbc/2017/18078
- Visalakshi M, Varma PK, Sekhar VC, Bharathalaxmi M, Manisha BL, Upendhar S (2020) Studies on mycosis of *Metarhizium* (*Nomuraea*) *rileyi* on *Spodoptera frugiperda* infesting maize in Andhra Pradesh, India. Egypt J Biol Pest Control 30:135. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00335-9

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com