



*fermentation*

IMPACT  
FACTOR  
5.123

CITATIONS  
5.3



# Quality Traits of Sourdough Bread Using Novel Digital Technologies

Volume 8 · Issue 10 | October 2022



[mdpi.com/journal/Fermentation](https://mdpi.com/journal/Fermentation)  
ISSN 2311-5637

Manuscript ID:  
*fermentation* 1140271



Search for Articles:

Title / Keyword

Author / Affiliation

Fermentation

All Article Types

Search

Advanced

Journals / Fermentation / Editorial Board



fermentation

Submit to Fermentation

Review for Fermentation

Journal Menu

- Fermentation Home
- Aims & Scope
- Editorial Board
- Topical Advisory Panel
- Instructions for Authors
- Special Issues
- Topics
- Sections & Collections
- Article Processing Charge
- Indexing & Archiving
- Editor's Choice Articles
- Most Cited & Viewed
- Journal Statistics
- Journal History
- Journal Awards
- Editorial Office

Journal Browser

volume

issue

Go

- > Forthcoming issue
- > Current issue

- |               |               |
|---------------|---------------|
| Vol. 8 (2022) | Vol. 4 (2018) |
| Vol. 7 (2021) | Vol. 3 (2017) |
| Vol. 6 (2020) | Vol. 2 (2016) |
| Vol. 5 (2019) | Vol. 1 (2015) |

Editorial Board

- Microbial Metabolism, Physiology & Genetics Section
- Fermentation for Food and Beverages Section
- Fermentation Process Design Section
- Industrial Fermentation Section

Members (120)

Search by first name, last name, affiliation, interest...

Dr. Badal C. Saha Website SciProfiles

Editor-in-Chief

National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research, USDA-ARS, Peoria, IL, USA

Interests: microbial physiology and metabolism; aerobic and anaerobic fermentation; strain improvement; scale up



Prof. Dr. Christian Kennes Website SciProfiles

Section Editor-in-Chief

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Sciences, University of La Coruña, La Coruña, Spain

Interests: waste gas treatment; wastewater treatment; fermentation technology; biodegradation; bioconversion; biofuels; biorefinery

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Gunnar Lidén Website SciProfiles

Section Editor-in-Chief

Department of Chemical Engineering, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Interests: biorefineries; yeast; lignocellulose; fermentation technology

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Antonio Morata Website SciProfiles

Section Editor-in-Chief

Department of Food Science and Technology, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM), Madrid, Spain

Interests: food technology; enology; wine microbiology; yeast metabolomics

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Ronnie G. Willaert Website SciProfiles

Section Editor-in-Chief

Structural Biology Brussels Lab, Department of Bioengineering Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

Interests: yeast biotechnology; cell immobilization; beer brewing biochemistry and fermentation; mini- and microreactors; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; Candida; yeast space biology (bioreactors for microgravity research); yeast adhesins; yeast systems biology; glycobiology; nanobiotechnology; Atomic Force Microscopy; protein crystallization; yeast protein structural biology

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Thaddeus Ezeji Website SciProfiles

Associate Editor-in-Chief

Department of Animal Sciences and Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center (OARDC), The Ohio State University, Wooster, OH, USA

Interests: microbial physiology; biofuels (butanol, ethanol, methane) and biochemicals (2,3-butanediol, acetone, isopropanol) production; downstream processing; biomass pretreatment technologies; lignocellulose-derived microbial inhibitory compounds and mitigations; metabolic engineering; bioreactor design; alcoholic fermentation and anaerobic digestion

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Jae-Hyung Mah Website SciProfiles

Associate Section Editor-in-Chief

Department of Food and Biotechnology, Korea University, Sejong 30019, Korea

Interests: fermented foods; fermentation; food safety; foodborne pathogenic bacteria; spore-forming bacteria; biogenic amines; ethyl carbamate; starter culture; antimicrobial compounds

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Prof. Dr. Frank Vriesekoop Website SciProfiles

Associate Section Editor-in-Chief

Department of Food Science, Harper Adams University, Newport, Shropshire TF10 2ND, UK

Interests: yeast; brewing; distilling; food safety; metabolism; simultaneous saccharification & fermentation; solid state fermentation

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



IMPACT FACTOR 5.123

CITESCORE 5.3



Back to Top



**FERMENTATION 2022**  
OUTSTANDING REVIEWER  
**AWARD**

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals

**Dr. Maria Aponte** Website

Editorial Board Member

Department of Agricultural Sciences, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Naples, Italy

Interests: food microbiology; microbial ecology; lactic acid bacteria; probiotic



**Dr. Agustín Aranda** Website SciProfiles

Editorial Board Member

CSIC - Institute for Integrative Systems Biology (UV-CSIC), Valencia, Spain

Interests: wine yeast; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; yeast biomass production; oxidative stress; aging; nutrient sensing pathways



**Prof. Dr. Hasan K. Atiyeh** Website SciProfiles

Editorial Board Member

Department of Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA

Interests: fermentation; biofuels and biobased products; syngas fermentation; biomass; reactor design and scale-up



**Prof. Dr. Jie Bao** Website SciProfiles

Editorial Board Member

State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

Interests: lignocellulose; biorefinery processing; pretreatment; biodegradation; cellulosic ethanol; cellulosic lactic acid; cellulosic amino acid



Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals

**Dr. Fabrizio Beltrametti** Website SciProfiles

Editorial Board Member

BioC-ChaM Solutions Srl, Via R. Lepetit 34, 21040 Gerenzano, Italy

Interests: fungal metabolites; fungal enzymes; fungal proteomics; fungal biotechnology

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals

**Prof. Dr. Santiago Benito** Website SciProfiles

Editorial Board Member

Department of Chemistry and Food Technology, Polytechnic University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Interests: wine; schizosaccharomyces; lachnosporea; torulosporea; lactic bacteria; Metschnikowia

Special Issues, Collections and Topics in MDPI journals



Back to Top

Search for Articles:     Search Advanced

Journals / Fermentation / Aims & Scope



[Submit to Fermentation](#)

[Review for Fermentation](#)

**Journal Menu**

- Fermentation Home
- Aims & Scope
- Editorial Board
- Topical Advisory Panel
- Instructions for Authors
- Special Issues
- Topics
- Sections & Collections
- Article Processing Charge
- Indexing & Archiving

**About Fermentation**

**Aims**

Fermentation (ISSN 2311-5637) is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal publishing high quality original research papers, review articles, short communications and technical notes on all research areas of fermentation related to new and emerging products, processes and technologies including biopharmaceuticals and biotech drugs.

The journal aims at a broad multidisciplinary readership, which includes both academia and industries, and covers broad areas of research and technological advances on all aspects of fermentation. We aim to encourage researchers to publish their experimental, theoretical, and computational results in as much detail as necessary. We thus restrict neither paper length nor number of figures and tables.


**Scopes**

Fully comprehensive in its scope, the journal coverage includes:

- Fermentation process and product development

IMPACT FACTOR 5.123

CITESCORE 5.3



- Instructions for Authors
- Special Issues
- Topics
- Sections & Collections
- Article Processing Charge
- Indexing & Archiving
- Editor's Choice Articles
- Most Cited & Viewed
- Journal Statistics
- Journal History
- Journal Awards
- Editorial Office

**Journal Browser**

volume

issue

Go

> Forthcoming issue

> Current issue

Vol. 8 (2022)      Vol. 4 (2018)

Vol. 7 (2021)      Vol. 3 (2017)

Vol. 6 (2020)      Vol. 2 (2016)

Vol. 5 (2019)      Vol. 1 (2015)

**Scopes**

Fully comprehensive in its scope, the journal coverage includes:

- Fermentation process and product development
- Strain improvement
- Bioprocess and metabolic engineering
- Fermentation food and beverages
- Scale up of fermentation processes
- Downstream processing of fermentation products
- Microbial physiology and metabolism
- Applied genetics and molecular biotechnology
- Genomics, proteomics, metabolomics and systems biology
- Bioinformatics
- Bioreactor design, monitoring, biosensors and instrumentation
- Biosafety and biosecurity
- Biopharmaceuticals and biotech drugs

**Readership**

Fermentation technologists, biochemical engineers, microbiologists, industrial biotechnologists, combinatorial chemists, enzyme technologists, environmental scientists, food processors, molecular biologists, pharmaceutical scientists and Agro- and food biotechnologists.



Search for Articles:

Title / Keyword

Author / Affiliation

Fermentation

All Article Types

Search

Advanced

Journals / Fermentation / Volume 8 / Issue 10

IMPACT FACTOR 5.123

CITESCORE 5.3




Submit to Fermentation

Review for Fermentation

Journal Menu

- Fermentation Home
- Aims & Scope
- Editorial Board
- Topical Advisory Panel
- Instructions for Authors
- Special Issues
- Topics
- Sections & Collections
- Article Processing Charge
- Indexing & Archiving
- Editor's Choice Articles
- Most Cited & Viewed
- Journal Statistics
- Journal History

### Fermentation, Volume 8, Issue 10 (October 2022) – 102 articles



**Cover Story** (view full-size image): Sourdough bread has increased popularity due to health benefits and higher interest in artisan breadmaking due to social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, quality traits and consumer assessment are still limited to complex laboratory analysis and sensory trials. In this research, new and emerging digital technologies were tested to assess quality traits of sourdough bread made from six different flour sources. The digital technologies used in this study, coupled with machine learning modeling, could make it possible to implement artificial intelligence technology for the breadmaking industry and artisan bread-makers. These models can also be implemented to assess the type of wheat used for traceability. View this paper

- Issues are regarded as officially published after their release is announced to the table of contents alert mailing list.
- You may sign up for e-mail alerts to receive table of contents of newly released issues.
- PDF is the official format for papers published in both, html and pdf forms. To view the papers in pdf format, click on the "PDF Full-text" link, and use the free Adobe Reader to open them.

Order results

Result details

Section

Open Access Article

#### Sexually-Driven Combinatorial Diversity in Native *Saccharomyces* Wine Yeasts

by Juan Quintero-Blanco, Eugenia Delodi, Andrés Garzón and Juan Jimenez

*Fermentation* 2022, 8(10), 569; <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8100569> - 21 Oct 2022

**Abstract** Natural diversity represents an inexhaustible source of yeasts for the diversification of wines and the improvement of their properties. In this study, we analysed the genetic diversity of autochthonous *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine yeasts in the Aljarafe of Seville, one of the warmest winemaking [...] Read more.  
(This article belongs to the Special Issue Wine Microbiology)

Show Figures

Open Access Article

#### Molecular Characterization of a Stable and Robust L-Asparaginase from *Pseudomonas* sp. PCH199: Evaluation of Cytotoxicity and Acrylamide Mitigation Potential

by Subhash Kumar, Sanyukta Damal, Vijeta Patil, Virender Kumar and Dharam Singh

*Fermentation* 2022, 8(10), 568; <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8100568> - 21 Oct 2022

**Abstract** L-asparaginase is an important industrial enzyme widely used to treat acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and to reduce acrylamide formation in food products. In the current study, a stable and robust L-asparaginase from *Pseudomonas* sp. PCH199, with a high affinity for L-asparagine, was cloned [...] Read more.  
(This article belongs to the Special Issue Microbial Enzymes in Biotechnology)

Show Figures

Open Access Review

#### Considerations When Brewing with Fruit Juices: A Review and Case Study Using Peaches

by Skylar R. Moreno, Savanna J. Curtis, Ali Sarkhoshi, Paul J. Sarnoski, Charles A. Sims, Eric Dreyer, Arthur B. Rudolph, Katherine A. Thompson-Witrick and Andrew J. MacIntosh

*Fermentation* 2022, 8(10), 567; <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8100567> - 21 Oct 2022

**Abstract** Beer is traditionally brewed using barley, hops, water, and yeast. Historically, fruit has been utilized in brewing operations as a source of carbohydrates, color, and/or flavor. This practice has been increasing in recent years due to economic [...] Read more

Back to Top

Order results

Result details

Section

Publication Date

Normal

All Sections

Show export options

Open Access Article

#### Dynamics of Microbiota in Three Backslopped Liquid Sourdoughs That Were Triggered with the Same Starter Strains

by Valentina Tolu, Cristina Fraumene, Angela Carboni, Antonio Loddo, Manuela Sanna, Simonetta Fois, Tonina Roggio and Pasquale Catzeddu

*Fermentation* 2022, 8(10), 571; <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8100571> - 21 Oct 2022

**Abstract** The preparation of sourdough may include the use of starter microorganisms to address the fermentation process toward specific conditions. The aim of this work was to study the dynamics of the microbial ecosystem in three liquid sourdoughs (SD1, SD2 and SD3) triggered with [...] Read more.  
(This article belongs to the Special Issue Development and Application of Starter Cultures)

Show Figures

Open Access Review

#### Methods for Intensifying Biogas Production from Waste: A Scientometric Review of Cavitation and Electrolysis Treatments

by Viktoriia Chubur, Dmytro Danylov, Yelizaveta Chernysh, Leonid Plyatsuk, Vladimir Shitepa, Niis HaneKlaus and Hynek Roubik

*Fermentation* 2022, 8(10), 570; <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8100570> - 21 Oct 2022

**Abstract** This article presents future trends in research using microbiological methods to intensify bioprocesses for biogas production. The pretreatment by combinations of physical and chemical methods, such as cavitation and electrolysis, is considered. The approach of the article involved reviewing the residual area on [...] Read more.  
(This article belongs to the Special Issue Advanced Research in Biomass and Waste Valorization)

Show Figures

Back to Top

- Journal statistics
- Journal History
- Journal Awards
- Editorial Office

#### Journal Browser

volume

issue

Go

- > Forthcoming issue
- > Current issue

Vol. 8 (2022)	Vol. 4 (2018)
Vol. 7 (2021)	Vol. 3 (2017)
Vol. 6 (2020)	Vol. 2 (2016)
Vol. 5 (2019)	Vol. 1 (2015)



Open Access Article



### Effect of Fermentation Parameters on the Anthocyanin Content, Sensory Properties, and Physicochemical Parameters of Potato Blueberry Yogurt

by Meidan Li, Zuyan He, Laping He, Cuiqin Li, Han Tao, Chun Ye, Lihua Liu, Xuefeng Zeng and Guangyan Ran

*Fermentation* 2022, 8(10), 489; <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8100489> - 27 Sep 2022

Viewed by 471

Abstract Fruit yogurt with a variety of nutrients and fruit flavors is becoming increasingly popular among consumers. This study was conducted to achieve the optimum fermentation process parameters in preparing potato blueberry yogurt with high nutritional value and good flavor and taste by using [...] Read more.

(This article belongs to the Section Fermentation for Food and Beverages)

► Show Figures

Open Access Article



### Production of Xylooligosaccharide from Cassava Pulp's Waste by Endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-Xylanase and Characterization of Its Prebiotic Effect by Fermentation of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*

by Anak Agung Istri Ratnadewi, Marena Thaita Rahma, Nurhayati Nurhayati, Agung Budi Santoso, Kartika Senjarini, Antje Labes and Muhammad Reza

*Fermentation* 2022, 8(10), 488; <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8100488> - 27 Sep 2022

Viewed by 484

Abstract This study explores the production of prebiotic xylooligosaccharide (XOS) from cassava pulp waste and its effectiveness for the growth of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (*L. acidophilus*). We successfully produced and characterized XOS from cassava pulp xylan using a *Bacillus* sp. endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase. The XOS [...] Read more.

(This article belongs to the Special Issue Functional Foods and Probiotic Food Development: Trends, Concepts, and Products)

► Show Figures


Open Access Article



Back to Top




## Fermentation

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
Switzerland 	Agricultural and Biological Sciences └ Food Science └ Plant Science  Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology └ Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology (miscellaneous)	Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI)	<b>31</b>
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
Journals	23115637	2015-2021	<a href="#">Homepage</a> <a href="#">How to publish in this journal</a>
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
Journals	23115637	2015-2021	<a href="#">Homepage</a> <a href="#">How to publish in this journal</a> <a href="mailto:fermentation@mdpi.com">fermentation@mdpi.com</a>

### SCOPE

Fully comprehensive in its scope, the journal coverage includes: Fermentation process and product development, Strain improvement, Bioprocess and metabolic engineering, Scale up of fermentation processes, Downstream processing of fermentation products, Microbial physiology and metabolism, Applied genetics and molecular biotechnology, Genomics, proteomics, metabolomics and systems biology, Bioinformatics, Bioreactor design, monitoring, biosensors and instrumentation, Biosafety and biosecurity, Biopharmaceuticals and biotech drugs


 Join the conversation about this journal



### FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS

Rank	Journal Title	Country	Similarity
1	Food Technology and Biotechnology	HRV	63%
2	Applied Food Biotechnology	IRN	61%
3	Annals of Microbiology	DEU	55%
4	Journal of Food Science and Biotechnology	CHN	53%





**Fermentation**

Food Science

Q1

best quartile

SJR 2021

0.72

powered by scimagojr.com

Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagojr.com">
```

**SCImago Graphica**

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new data visualization tool**.



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2022



## Article

# Production of Xylooligosaccharide from Cassava Pulp's Waste by Endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-Xylanase and Characterization of Its Prebiotic Effect by Fermentation of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*

Anak Agung Istri Ratnadewi <sup>1,2,\*</sup> , Marena Thalita Rahma <sup>1</sup>, Nurhayati Nurhayati <sup>2,3</sup>, Agung Budi Santoso <sup>1</sup>, Kartika Senjarini <sup>4</sup>, Antje Labes <sup>5</sup>  and Muhammad Reza <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Jember, Jalan Kalimantan 37, Jember 68121, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Graduate School of Biotechnology and PUI-BioTIn, University of Jember, Jember 68121, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup> Department of Agricultural Products Technology, Faculty of Agricultural, Technology University of Jember, Jalan Kalimantan 37, Jember 68121, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Jember, Jalan Kalimantan 37, Jember 68121, Indonesia

<sup>5</sup> Faculty of Energy und Biotechnology, Flensburg University of Applied Sciences, Kanzleistraße 91-93, 24943 Flensburg, Germany

\* Correspondence: istri\_dewi.fmipa@unej.ac.id



**Citation:** Ratnadewi, A.A.I.; Rahma, M.T.; Nurhayati, N.; Santoso, A.B.; Senjarini, K.; Labes, A.; Reza, M. Production of Xylooligosaccharide from Cassava Pulp's Waste by Endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-Xylanase and Characterization of Its Prebiotic Effect by Fermentation of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. *Fermentation* **2022**, *8*, 488. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8100488>

Academic Editors: Sobhy Ahmad El-Sohaimy and Senaka Ranadheera

Received: 23 July 2022

Accepted: 20 September 2022

Published: 27 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** This study explores the production of prebiotic xylooligosaccharide (XOS) from cassava pulp waste and its effectiveness for the growth of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (*L. acidophilus*). We successfully produced and characterized XOS from cassava pulp xylan using a *Bacillus* sp. endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase. The XOS was added to modify the MRS medium (MRSm) in various concentrations (0, 1, 3 and 5%) in which the *L. acidophilus* was inoculated. The growth of *L. acidophilus* was observed every 12 h for 2 days, and the fermentation products were analyzed for pH, sugar content, and short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) in terms of types and amount. The study showed that *L. acidophilus* grew well in MRSm. The optimum XOS concentration in MRSm was 5%, indicated by the highest growth of *L. acidophilus* ( $8.61 \log \text{CFU mL}^{-1}$ ). The profile of SCFA products is 14.42 mM acetic acid, 0.25 mM propionic acid, 0.13 mM isobutyric acid, 0.41 mM n-butyric acid, 0.02 mM n-valeric acid, 0.25 mM isovaleric acid, and 25.08 mM lactic acid.

**Keywords:** optimization of growth; enzyme production; media composition; xylooligosaccharide

## 1. Introduction

The adult human digestive tract contains more than 500 different bacterial species, which play an important role in colon function [1]. These beneficial bacteria can support and benefit the host's health by helping to digest complex carbohydrates and maintaining the balance between various types of intestinal bacteria [2–4], especially pathogens. They also produce vitamins, short chains of fatty acids (SCFA), and other nutrients for their host, providing up to 15% of total daily caloric intake. It has been reported that a balanced microflora is essential for bowel function, including resistance to infection by pathogens [5]. Prebiotics are defined as “undigested food ingredients that affect the host by stimulating selective growth and activity of one or a limited number of beneficial bacteria in the large intestine, thereby improving host healthiness” [6,7]. Many studies have confirmed that merging prebiotics with food is a good approach to altering colon microflora [7,8]. Accordingly, popular interest in human gut microbes has increased, followed by an interest in improving host health through dietary intervention influencing the gut microbiome, particularly using “non-digestible oligosaccharides” (NDOs) as prebiotics.

NDOs, by definition, belong to the broad category of dietary fiber, which is not digested or absorbed in the small intestine of humans, but might be completely or partially

fermented in the large intestine. Besides their prebiotic effects, NDOs are believed to relieve symptoms of diseases such as diabetes, arteriosclerosis, and colon cancer [9,10]. Many positive health effects are related to microbial fermentation of NDOs in the large intestine. The fermentation rate of NDOs depends on the nature of the substrate.

Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli, essential and beneficial microbes that inhabit the human gut, are common target species for food intervention studies [11]. Bacterial enzymes will ferment NDO to produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), including acetate, propionate, and butyrate. These molecules represent the major flow of carbon from the diet through the microbiome to the host and contribute to the acidification of the intestine [10]. Acidification influences bacterial species' balance, metabolic activity, and product formation. For example, acetate is mainly metabolized in human muscles, the kidneys, the heart, and the brain, while propionate is a possible gluconeogenic precursor suppressing cholesterol synthesis [12]. In addition, butyrate is known to have anti-proliferation and anti-angiogenic effects in colonocytes [13].

NDO can be formed not only by acidic hydrolysis of polysaccharides resulting in non-specific oligosaccharides, but also by using enzymes to produce specific ones. For example, xylan can be hydrolyzed enzymatically by endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase to produce prebiotic xylooligosaccharide (XOS), including xylotriose (X3), xyloetraose (X4), xylopentaose (X5) and small amounts of xylose (X1) [14,15]. XOS has a degree of polymerization (DP) between 2 and 10, and is applicable to stimulate the growth of probiotic bacteria in the intestine, such as Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli [16–18]. Besides prebiotic effects, XOS stimulates antioxidant activity [19]. This is important because XOS supports the prevention of digestive diseases such as colorectal cancer, Crohn's disease, and ulcerative colitis [20–22]. Several studies have discovered the production of XOS from various biomass sources, such as corn hull, garlic bagasse, and cassava peel [16,23,24]. The potential market for XOS application mainly corresponds to ingredients for functional and healthy foods, such as combinations with milk, soft drinks, etc. [25]

The consumption of oligosaccharides in foods will maintain the symbiotic relationship between *Lactobacillus* sp. and the host [20,26,27] As such, the production of XOS by utilizing beneficial bacteria is currently under scrutiny in the academic community. In these trials, lactic acid bacteria, such as *Bifidobacterium* sp., and *Lactobacillus* sp., are commonly used. For example, Rycroft et al. [28] conducted research using fructooligosaccharide (FOS), Inulin, XOS, lactulose, isomalto-oligosaccharides (IMO), galactooligosaccharides (GOS), and soybean oligosaccharides (SOS) using several probiotics, one of which is *Lactobacillus* sp. Like other analogous probiotics, *Lactobacillus* can inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria, increase body immunity, and yield anticarcinogenic effects in the human intestine. For example, Ooi and Liong [29] reduced cholesterol levels by 22.6% using *L. acidophilus*. In addition, Probiotic *L. acidophilus* can inhibit the growth of *C. Albicans* in the mouth because it can produce short-chain fatty acids and bacteriocins [30]. On the other hand, the probiotic effect of xylooligosaccharide has been studied less compared to fructooligosaccharide (FOS) and galactooligosaccharides (GOS) [31].

Probiotic intervention shows great promise in supporting human health. Therefore, various methods have been employed to cultivate the production of specific probiotics. Here, we focus on providing the appropriate raw materials for the cultivation of these probiotics with a particular emphasis on exploring alternative ways to utilize agricultural wastes from the tapioca production industry in Indonesia. Like many other food production processes, this production results in tremendous waste: The production of 250–300 tons of tapioca results in approximately 280 tons of pulp and 1.6 tons of peel as by-products [32]. As such, this study explores how cassava pulp and peel can be utilized to stimulate probiotic growth.

Cassava pulp contains (*w/w%*) 36.6% cellulose, 21.3% hemicellulose, 17.3% lignin, 2.4% protein, and 7.0% ash [33]. Hemicellulose of cassava pulp is a good source of xylan, which is estimated to contain 6.23% of xylan from cassava pulp, using 10% NaOH extraction [15].

Cassava pulp xylan can be hydrolyzed enzymatically to produce prebiotic XOS. There are no detailed reports concerning the production of XOS from cassava pulp waste.

Previously, we isolated endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase from a *Bacillus* sp. isolated from the soil termite abdomen, potentially a hydrolytic enzyme for xylan [15,34]. In this research, we wanted to analyze the potential enzyme for cassava pulp, and XOS compounds obtained from cassava pulp were produced by enzymatic hydrolysis using endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase. Specifically, we tried to examine the feasibility of XOS cassava pulp as a prebiotic for the cultivation of the probiotic *L. acidophilus*. The growth of *L. acidophilus* was examined in various XOS concentrations added to the MRS modification medium. Observations also included pH, sugar content, and the profile of SCFA being produced.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Materials

Cassava pulp was obtained from a home industry of a cassava mill in the Jember area. The pulp was dried in an oven at 65 °C, ground, and sieved (200 mesh). The powder was extracted for its xylan content. Then, the xylan was stored in containers at room temperature. Endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase was produced by *Bacillus* sp. isolated from soil termites' abdomen and purified by Ni-NTA chromatography [34]. *L. acidophilus* was purchased commercially from Institut Pertanian Bogor (IPB), Indonesia. The protein content in crude enzyme was determined by the Bradford method [35] using BSA (bovine serum albumin) as a standard. At the same time, the Miller method measured the activity by determining reduction in sugars by DNS (dinitrosalicylic acid). The probiotics and media used were *L. acidophilus* (pure culture) and MRSB (Merck). All reagents and chemicals used in this study were of analytical grade (Merck, Sigma). The standard XOS, xylose (X1), xylobiose (X2), xylotriose (X3), xyloetraose (X4), and xylopentaose (X5), were obtained from Megazyme, Ireland. Silica Gel 60 F254 TLC plate was obtained from Merck, Germany. Dialysis tubes were obtained from Elkay.

### 2.2. Production of XOS from Cassava Pulp

Cassava pulp xylan solution 1.1% (*w/v*) was used as the substrate. Endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase enzyme was added at a ratio of 1:1. Endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase has a specific activity of 31.30 U/mg and total protein content of 0.454 mg. The mixture was then incubated at 40 °C for 16 h and then centrifuged at 7826  $\times$  g for 10 min at 4 °C. Negative control was prepared by the same method as described before by using an inactivated endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase (heated at 100 °C) [15].

### 2.3. Analysis of XOS by Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

TLC was conducted to analyze the obtained XOS composition qualitatively. Up to 4  $\mu$ L of XOS products were placed on the activated chromatoplate. The chromatoplate was developed in the mixture of n-butanol, acetic acid, and distilled water with a ratio of 2:1:1 (*v:v:v*) as the mobile phase. After running, spots of XOS were detected by naphthol and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in ethanol, followed by drying at 100 °C. Standard and negative control was also running in the same TLC plate.

On the other hand, HPLC analysis was carried out to observe XOS composition quantitatively. The HPLC column was the Waters Sugarpak carbohydrate column (6.5  $\times$  300 mm). The water flow rate in the mobile phase was 0.5 L/min. The standard XOS were xylose (X1), xylobiose (X2), xylotriosa (X3), xylopentaose (X4), and xylohexose (X5).

### 2.4. MRS-Modified Media (MRS<sub>m</sub>) Production

MRS<sub>m</sub> was produced by mixing 1 g of tryptophan, 0.8 g of meat extract, 0.4 g of yeast extract, 0.2 g of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 g of Tween 80, 0.2 g of sodium acetate, 0.02 g of magnesium sulfate, 0.004 g of manganese sulfate, and 0.2 g of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Then, the obtained XOS was added to the media with different concentrations (0, 1, 3 and 5%).

### 2.5. Fermentation of *L. acidophilus*

Fermentation of *L. acidophilus* was carried out in MRS-modified media (MRSm) with various concentrations of cassava pulps XOS (0%, 1%, 3% and 5%, *v/v*). The culture was incubated at 37 °C, 150 rpm shaking for 2 days with sampling at 0, 12, 24, 36, and 48 h. Samples were analyzed for the population of *L. acidophilus*, pH, and sugar content. For the sugar content, as the total reducing sugar, measured by the Miller method, 1000 µL of the fermented sample was heated for 1 min. Then, it was centrifuged for 10 min (4 °C/7826 × *g*). Into 250 µL of the supernatant, 750 µL of Miller reagents were added. The mixture was heated for 15 min (100 °C), cooled for 20 min, and measured for the absorbance at 550 nm.

### 2.6. Calculation of Lactic Acid Bacterial Populations

A sample from fermentation (1 mL) was added to 9 mL of saline solution, followed by serial dilutions ( $10^{-1}$ – $10^{-8}$ ). Finally, 1 mL solution from the last two series of dilutions ( $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-8}$ ) was poured into MRSB and MRSm agar media and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Lactic acid bacterial colonies were calculated according to the Bacteriological Analytical Manual (BAM) 2001 standard based on FAO/WHO (Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization).

### 2.7. SCFA and Lactic Acid Analysis


SCFA profile and lactic acid were determined after 48 h of incubation. A total of 0.003 g of sulfur 5-salicylate dihydrate was added to the 1 mL sample. The mixture was centrifuged at  $11,269 \times g$  (7 °C for 5 min). The supernatant was analyzed for the SCFA profile by gas chromatography. Lactic acid levels were measured by titration using 0.1 N NaOH.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Production and Characterization of XOS from Cassava Pulp


XOS was produced through hydrolysis of cassava pulp xylan by endo-1,4-D-xylanase (31.3 U/mg) in an incubation condition of 40 °C, pH 5, and 24 h. Yield XOS was  $0.237\% \pm 0.002$  (*w/w*) from 1 g cassava pulp.

The product composition was analyzed by TLC (Figure 1). The resulting spots were identified based on the similarity of the position (*R<sub>f</sub>*) with standard XOS, confirming xylotriase, xylo-tetraose, and xylo-pentaose as enzymatic products and a lack of xylose and xylobiose.



**Figure 1.** TLC chromatogram of XOS after enzymatic hydrolysis of cassava pulp xylan by endo-1,4-D-xylanase (in lanes 5 and 6), xylo-tetraose being the major product. Standard XOS: X1 (lane 1); and mixtures X2–X5 (lane 2). The control from the inactive enzyme (lanes 3 and 4).

Quantitative analysis by HPLC (Figure 2) revealed the concentrations of XOS in hydrolysate to be 7.43, 89.80, 35.17 and 5591.15 ppm for xylobiose (X2), xylotriose (X3), xylotetraose (X4) and xylopentaose (X5), respectively.




**Figure 2.** HPLC chromatogram of XOS from enzymatic hydrolysis of cassava pulp xylan by endo-1.4-D-xylanase, X<sub>n</sub> indicates the xylose subunits in the oligomers.

Lanes 5 and 6 of TLC show a thicker spot between X4 and X5 than standard line 2. Further analysis by HPLC referring to this thicker spot is X5 as the most abundant product of hydrolyses. The hydrolysis products of corn cob xylan in alkaline conditions were xylobiose and xylotriose as the main components [35]. Yield of XOS was 30% (*w/v*).

### 3.2. Growth of *L. acidophilus*

Prebiotic properties of XOS are already present in its crude solution, which stimulates the growth of the intestinal microflora. Furthermore, in the human digestion system, XOS encourages the growth of beneficial bacteria, such as *Bifidobacterium* sp. and *Lactobacillus* sp. [20,23,36,37]. Thus, the benefits of XOS are protecting against infection via the inhibition of pathogenic bacterial growth, decreasing intestinal pH, producing nutrients, increasing mineral absorption, and other biological benefits, including antioxidants, anti-inflammatory, and anti-allergic effects [20,24]

The bacterial growth profile (Figure 3) shows the population of *L. acidophilus* in various concentrations of cassava pulp XOS (MRSm 0, 1, 3, 5%) during 48 h observation. In general, the growth of *L. acidophilus* in MRSm with the addition of cassava pulp XOS is better.




**Figure 3.** Growth Curve of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* in MRSB and MRSm media with different concentration of XOS as a function of incubation time.

Figure 3 shows that *L. acidophilus* increased from 7 log CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. The total population of bacterial colonies reported in the small intestine was 5–6 log CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> [38]. An increase of 8.61 log 10 CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> indicates that the added XOS cassava pulp was successfully used as a carbon source for *L. acidophilus*.

The growth profiles are affected well by the concentration of XOS in MRSm. *L. acidophilus* grows up in all media at the first 24 h and then decreases for the MRSm 0 and 1%. The bacteria in MRSm 3 and 5% still grow up until 36 h, and then decrease in different levels, in which the population in MRSm 5% is higher than that in 3%. The growth of *L. acidophilus* in MRSB increases in the first 12 h and is stagnant till the end of the 48 h observation. This is because MRSB media has sufficient glucose as a carbon source to support the growth of *L. acidophilus* within 48 h of observation time. Its fast growth in the first 12 h is due to the simpler glucose sugar than XOS. Meanwhile, the amount of sugar in MRSm in the form of XOS is limited to 24 h for 1% and 36 h for 3 and 5%. The amount of sugar in all mediums at every 12 h of observation is presented in Figure 3.

### 3.3. Total Reducing Sugar

This study examined the potential of XOS as a prebiotic in *L. acidophilus* growth in various MRSm. XOS is metabolically oxidized as a carbon source to generate energy for bacterial growth. XOS content can be determined by total reducing sugar measurement. Figure 4 shows the total reducing sugar content in MRSm media with different concentrations of XOS as a function of incubation time. At an incubation time of 0 h, MRSm with a higher concentration of XOS has a higher total reducing sugar content. The total reducing sugar content in MRSm 0 and 1% significantly decreased at the first 12 h and remained constant for the rest of the incubation. Meanwhile, for MRSm 3 and 5%, the total reducing sugar content also significantly decreases in the first 12 h, gradually decreases from 12 to 36 h, and remains constant after 36 h of incubation.



**Figure 4.** Total reducing sugar content in MRSm media with different concentration of XOS as a function of incubation time.

### 3.4. Short-Chain Fatty Acids (SCFA)


Various SCFA were produced during XOS fermentation by *L. acidophilus* [37,39]. Table 1 shows the SCFA amount produced after 48 h fermentation time of XOS by *L. acidophilus* in MRSm 0 and 5%. The observed SCFA were lactic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, isobutyric acid, n-butyric acid, isovaleric acid, and n-valeric acid, as revealed by gas chromatography. The total amount of SCFA produced in MRSm 5% was higher than of MRSm 0%. However, the amount of each SCFA for those media varied. A shorter chain of SCFA (e.g., acetic acid and propionic acid) was produced more in MRSm 0%. In contrast, a longer chain of SCFA (e.g., n-butyric acid, isovaleric acid, and lactic acid) was produced more in MRSm 5%.

**Table 1.** Type and content of SCFA after fermentation of *L. acidophilus* with addition of XOS (5%) as carbon source compared to medium containing glucose (0%).

Types of Short Chain Fatty Acids	Content (mM)	
	0%	5%
Acetic acid	43.1	14.42
Propionic acid	0.63	0.25
Isobutyric acid	0.22	0.13
n-butyric acid	0.18	0.41
Isovaleric acid	0.17	0.25
n-valeric acid	0.03	0.02
Lactic acid	25.08	57.42
<b>Total</b>	<b>69.41</b>	<b>72.9</b>

Figure 5 shows the pH profile during the fermentation process. In general, the pH value of all media decreases as the fermentation occurs. The reduction of pH is due to the SCFA production from bacterial fermentation as a function of incubation time [37]. The pH value also decreases as the concentration of XOS in media increases, which is in good agreement with the total amount of SCFA (Table 1). We observed an anomaly at MRSm 0%, where the pH increased after 24 h of incubation. It is due to the production of ammonia from meat extract. The main products of Lactobacilli carbohydrate fermentation are acetic acid and lactic acid with pKa 4.8 and 3.8, respectively [36]. The pH profiles obtained in

this fermentation were slightly higher than the study of corncob XOS fermentation by *L. acidophilus*. Chapla et al. [40] reported that the pH after 24 h of XOS fermentation of corncobs by *L. acidophilus* reached  $5.9 \pm 0.09$ .



**Figure 5.** pH profile of MRSB and several MRSm as a function of incubation time.

Aachary and Prapulla [25] found that fermentation by *L. acidophilus* increased butyric acid and total volatile fatty acids. In this study, the number of n-butyric acid in 5% was higher than 0%. This was also observed in the total amount of SCFA. Propionic acid and butyric acid produced from fermentation can prevent colon cancer [28]. In addition, SCFA can protect the digestive system against diseases, such as colorectal cancer, Chron's disease, and ulcerative colitis [22]. Lactic acid produced during fermentation is an intermediate product and then converted to acetic acid, propionate, and butyrate [24].

#### 4. Discussion

The differences in the growth profiles (Figure 3) are likely due to the content and composition of XOS. MRSB has simpler sugar (glucose), while cassava pulp XOS has a high X5 content. A high glucose concentration in MRSB boosted the growth during the first 12 h, while the group with the lower growth rate had bacteria digesting XOS to produce X1. The insignificant bacteria growth in MRSm 0 and 1% after 24 h can be explained by a lack of xylose to support the further development of bacteria. However, MRSm 3 and 5% cases are still increasing with similar populations of MRSB. The decline of growth after 24 and 36 h indicates that the XOS as bacterial food is nearly finished, while in MRSB, there is still enough substance to support till 48 h. The bacteria in MRSB reached maximum growth and become stagnant after 12 h because of other conditions beyond the carbon sources. The bacterial growth profile showed that cassava pulp XOS had an increased prolonging effect for *L. acidophilus* growth. As *L. acidophilus* is a human probiotic bacterium, we can provisionally conclude that XOS from enzymatically hydrolyzed cassava pulp xylan has a prebiotic effect. The higher concentration of XOS added, the better the impact on the growth.

These results show that commercial XOS can be used as a bacterial growth medium. *Lactobacillus* sp. growth was measured to be lower when compared to the growth of probiotic strains of *Bifidobacteria*, *Clostridia*, *Bacteroides*, and *Streptococci*. *Lactobacillus* growth in commercial XOS media reached 8.93 log CF/gram feces [28]. Bengal gram husk XOS and Wheat bran XOS were utilized effectively by all the microorganisms, except *L. plantarum*



NDRI strain 184 [41]. The growth of *L. acidophilus* with the addition of XOS cassava pulp (5%) reached 8.61 log CFU/mL.

We believe that the significant decrease in the total reducing sugar content (Figure 4) is likely due to the simple XOS (X2–X4), which was easily digested and consumed by bacteria during the first 12 h. After 12 h, this simple XOS depleted, and bacteria began to consume the higher XOS, i.e., X5. It is also confirmed by HPLC results (Figure 2) that the obtained XOS mainly consists of X5. This profile also aligns with the growth curve of bacteria in Figure 3, where the bacteria significantly grow in the first 12 h and then remain constant. It indicates that the main metabolism pathway in both media was different.

The variation of the obtained SCFA amount in different media (Table 1) is due to the other XOS type consumed by *L. acidophilus* leading to different produced SCFA. For example, in MRSm 5%, the high amount of X5 consumed by *L. acidophilus* produces a longer chain of SCFA and vice versa. This SCFA is known to have a supporting effect on the digestive system. For example, n-butyric acid could increase the metabolic efficiency process in the epithelium of the large intestine and prevent colon cancer [42]. This is because SCFA is absorbed by intestinal epithelial cells and used as an energy source. Rycroft et al. [28] reported that commercial XOS fermentation for 24 h produced SCFA in the form of lactic acid (17.91 mM), acetic acid (26.70 mM), propionic acid (7.21 mM), and butyric acid (1.75 mM). This indicated that SCFA produced from fermented XOS cassava pulp (Table 1) has slightly lower yields but has the same composition.

## 5. Conclusions

From the experiment results, we conclude that XOS obtained from enzymatic hydrolyses of Cassava pulp xylan by endo- $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase has a prebiotic effect due to its effect on probiotic *L. acidophilus* growth. The addition of 5% XOS to the medium increased the growth of *L. acidophilus* to 8.61 log CFU/mL after 36 h incubation. This value is similar to the change in MRSB media. Total reduced sugar levels support the growth profile explanation. Furthermore, the addition of XOS also affected the profile of SCFA produced by bacteria, in which the lactic acid was dominant in a medium with XOS of 5%. In contrast, acetic acid was predominant without XOS.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.A.I.R. and A.B.S.; Methodology, M.T.R. and N.N.; Validation, A.L. and K.S.; Format Analysis, A.A.I.R. and M.R.; Investigation, A.A.I.R.; Writing—original draft, A.A.I.R., A.L. and M.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Direktorat Riset dan Penmgabdian Masyarakat Kementerian Riset dan Teknologi/Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional The Republic of Indonesia through Program Fundamental Research 2021 grant number 023/E5/PG.02.00.PT/2022.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not Applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not Applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not Applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Direktorat Riset dan Pengabdian Masyarakat Kementerian Riset dan Teknologi/Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional The Republic of Indonesia through Program Fundamental Research 2021 and DAAD program “Sustainable International Teaching and Research in Bioengineering and Biotechnology” in Flensburg University of Applied Science for facility research.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Guarner, F.; Malagelada, J.-R. Gut flora in health and disease. *Lancet* **2003**, *361*, 512–519. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Fijan, S. Microorganisms with Claimed Probiotic Properties: An Overview of Recent Literature. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2014**, *11*, 4745–4767. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Kraehenbuhl, J.P.; Corbett, M. Keeping the Gut Microflora at Bay. *Science* **2004**, *303*, 1624–1625. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Guinane, C.M.; Cotter, P.D. Role of the gut microbiota in health and chronic gastrointestinal disease: Understanding a hidden metabolic organ. *Ther. Adv. Gastroenterol.* **2013**, *6*, 295–308. [[CrossRef](#)]

5. Berg, R.D. The indigenous gastrointestinal microflora. *Trends Microbiol.* **1996**, *4*, 430–435. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Gibson, G.R.; Probert, H.M.; Loo JVan Rastall, R.A.; Roberfroid, M.B. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: Updating the concept of prebiotics. *Nutr. Res. Rev.* **2004**, *17*, 259–275. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Gibson, G.R.; Roberfroid, M.B. Dietary Modulation of the Human Colonic Microbiota: Introducing the Concept of Prebiotics. *J. Nutr.* **1995**, *125*, 1401–1412. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Klessen, B.; Sykura, B.; Zunft, H.-J.; Blaut, M. Effects of inulin and lactose on fecal microflora, microbial activity, and bowel habit in elderly constipated. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1997**, *65*, 1397–1402. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Hsu, C.-K.; Liao, J.-W.; Chung, Y.-C.; Hsieh, C.-P.; Chan, Y.-C. Xylooligosaccharides and Fructooligosaccharides Affect the Intestinal Microbiota and Precancerous Colonic Lesion Development in Rats. *J. Nutr.* **2004**, *134*, 1523–1528. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Swennen, K.; Courtin, C.M.; Delcour, J.A. Non-digestible oligosaccharides with prebiotic properties. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2006**, *46*, 459–471. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Laparra, J.M.; Sanz, Y. Interactions of gut microbiota with functional food components and nutraceuticals. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2010**, *61*, 219–225. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Gibson, G.R. Dietary Modulation of the Human Gut Microflora Using the Prebiotics Oligofructose and Inulin. *J. Nutr.* **1999**, *129*, 1438S–1441S. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Mai, V.; Morris, J.G. Colonic Bacterial Flora: Changing Understandings in the Molecular Age. *J. Nutr.* **2004**, *134*, 459–464. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Ratnadewi, A.A.I.; Masruroh, H.; Suwardiyanto; Santoso, A.B. Application of coffee peel waste as raw material for Xylooligosaccharide Production. *Coffe Sci.* **2019**, *14*, 446–454. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Ratnadewi, A.A.I.; Santoso, A.B.; Sulistyaningsih, E.; Handayani, W. Application of Cassava Peel and Waste as Raw Materials for Xylooligosaccharide Production Using Endoxylanase from *Bacillus subtilis* of Soil Termite Abdomen. *Procedia Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 31–38. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Hafidah, A.H.; Sulistyaningsih, E.; Handayani, W.; Ratnadewi, A.A.I. Prebiotic Potential of Xylooligosaccharides Derived from Cassava Dregs in Balb/c Mice Colon. *Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci.* **2018**, *41*, 1021–1031.
17. Li, Z.; Summanen, P.H.; Komoriya, T.; Finegold, S.M. In Vitro study of the prebiotic xylooligosaccharide (XOS) on the growth of *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2015**, *66*, 919–922. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Ratnadewi, A.A.I.; Zain, M.H.A.; Kusuma, A.A.N.N.; Handayani, W.; Nugraha, A.S.; Siswoyo, T.A. Lactobacillus casei fermentation towards xylooligosaccharide (XOS) obtained from coffee peel enzymatic hydrolysate. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *23*, 101446. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Lasrado, L.D.; Gudipati, M. Antioxidant property of synbiotic combination of *Lactobacillus* sp. and wheat bran xylooligosaccharides. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *52*, 4551–4557. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Buruiană, C.T.; Vizireanu, C. Prebiotic xylooligosaccharides from lignocellulosic materials: Production, purification and applications—An overview. *Ann. Univ. Dunarea De Jos Galati* **2014**, *38*, 18–31.
21. Fiorini, D.; Boarelli, M.C.; Gabbianelli, R.; Ballini, R.; Pacetti, D. A quantitative headspace–solid-phase microextraction–gas chromatography–flame ionization detector method to analyze short chain free fatty acids in rat feces. *Anal. Biochem.* **2016**, *508*, 12–14. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Lim, Y.M.; Barnes, M.B.; Gras, S.L.; McSweeney, C.; Lockett, T.; Augustin, M.A.; Gooley, P.R. Esterification of high amylose starch with short chain fatty acids modulates degradation by *Bifidobacterium* spp. *J. Funct. Foods* **2014**, *6*, 137–146. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Moura, P.; Barata, R.; Carvalheiro, F.; Gírio, F.; Loureiro-Dias, M.C.; Esteves, M.P. In Vitro fermentation of xylo-oligosaccharides from corn cobs autohydrolysis by *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* strains. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *40*, 963–972. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Samanta, A.K.; Jayapal, N.; Jayaram, C.; Roy, S.; Kolte, A.P.; Senani, S.; Sridhar, M. Xylooligosaccharides as prebiotics from agricultural by-products: Production and applications. *Bioact. Carbohydr. Diet. Fibre* **2015**, *5*, 62–71. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Aachary, A.A.; Prapulla, S.G. Xylooligosaccharides (XOS) as an Emerging Prebiotic: Microbial Synthesis, Utilization, Structural Characterization, Bioactive Properties, and Applications. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2011**, *10*, 2–16. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Sims, I.M.; Ryan, J.L.J.; Kim, S.H. In Vitro fermentation of prebiotic oligosaccharides by *Bifidobacterium lactis* HN019 and *Lactobacillus* spp. *Anaerobe* **2014**, *25*, 11–17. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Wang, J.; Sun, B.; Cao, Y.; Wang, C. In Vitro fermentation of xylooligosaccharides from wheat bran insoluble dietary fiber by *Bifidobacteria*. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2010**, *82*, 419–423. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Rycroft, C.E.; Jones, M.R.; Gibson, G.R.; Rastall, R.A. A comparative in vitro evaluation of the fermentation properties of prebiotic oligosaccharides. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2001**, *91*, 878–887. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Ooi, L.G.; Liong, M.T. Cholesterol-lowering effects of probiotics and prebiotics: A review of in Vivo and in Vitro Findings. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2010**, *11*, 2499–2522. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Pertami, S.D.; Pancasiyanuar, M.; Irasari, S.A.; Rahardjo, M.B.; Wasilah, W. Lactobacillus acidophilus Probiotic Inhibits the Growth of *Candida albicans*. *J. Dent. Indones.* **2013**, *20*, 64–67. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Delzenne, N.M.; Kok, N. Effects of fructans-type prebiotics on lipid metabolism. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2001**, *73*, 456–458. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Pandey, A.; Soccol, C.R.; Nigam, P.; Soccol, V.T.; Vandenberghe, L.P.S.; Mohan, R. Biotechnological potential of agro-industrial residues. II: Cassava bagasse. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2000**, *74*, 81–87. [[CrossRef](#)]

33. Amenaghawon, N.A.; Ogbeide, S.E.; Okieimen, C.O. Application of statistical experimental design for the optimisation of dilute sulphuric acid hydrolysis of Cassava Bagasse. *Acta Polytech. Hung.* **2014**, *11*, 239–250.
34. Safitri, E.; Hanifah; Previta; Sudarko; Puspaningsih, N.N.T.; Ratnadewi, A.A.I. Cloning, purification, and characterization of recombinant endo-  $\beta$ -1,4-D-xylanase of *Bacillus* sp. From soil termite abdomen. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *31*, 101877. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Carvalho, A.F.A.; de Oliva Neto, P.; da Silva, D.F.; Pastore, G.M. Xylo-oligosaccharides from lignocellulosic materials: Chemical structure, health benefits and production by chemical and enzymatic hydrolysis. *Food Res. Int.* **2013**, *51*, 75–85. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. McLaughlin, H.P.; Motherway, M.O.C.; Lakshminarayanan, B.; Stanton, C.; Paul Ross, R.; Brulc, J.; Menon, R.; O'Toole, P.W.; van Sinderen, D. Carbohydrate catabolic diversity of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli of human origin. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2015**, *203*, 109–121. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Guan, Y.; Zhou, H.; Wang, Z. Effects of xylooligosaccharide on growth performance, activities of digestive enzymes, and intestinal microflora of juvenile *Pelodiscus sinensis*. *Front. Agric. China* **2011**, *5*, 612–617. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Kedia, G.; Vázquez, J.A.; Pandiella, S.S. Enzymatic digestion and in vitro fermentation of oat fractions by human lactobacillus strains. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **2008**, *43*, 355–361. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Reddy, S.S.; Krishnan, C. Production of high-pure xylooligosaccharides from sugarcane bagasse using crude  $\beta$ -xylosidase-free xylanase of *Bacillus subtilis* KCX006 and their bifidogenic function. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *65*, 237–245. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Chapla, D.; Pandit, P.; Shah, A. Production of xylooligosaccharides from corncob xylan by fungal xylanase and their utilization by probiotics. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2012**, *115*, 215–221. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Madhukumar, M.S.; Muralikrishna, G. Fermentation of xylo-oligosaccharides obtained from wheat bran and Bengal gram husk by lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *49*, 745–752. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Hinnebusch, B.F.; Meng, S.; Wu, J.T.; Archer, S.Y.; Hodin, R.A. The Effects of Short-Chain Fatty Acids on Human Colon Cancer Cell Phenotype Are Associated with Histone Hyperacetylation. *J. Nutr.* **2002**, *132*, 1012–1017. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]