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#### Abstract

Buduan - Bondowoso link 216 is a provincial primary collector road that connects Probolinggo Regency with Bondowoso Regency which has a length of about 31 kilometers. Slope of the road that has a width of 6 to 7 meters is very varied because this road passes through the hills. This road also has many double bends which are small enough to bend the fingers because of the limited topography that is traversed. When passing a double bend in the incline or a derivative vehicle tends to reduce speed so that the impact on road pavement conditions due to the friction of the wheel with the pavement surface. On the other hand, the thickness and type of pavement are planned to be the same for each field condition so it is certain that the segment will suffer faster damage than the other segments. Researches related to road performance with various methods have been carried out including evaluation of road conditions and its handling by Hendrick S and his colleagues (2014) and Daryoto and his colleagues (2014). The purpose of writing this article is to look for relationships between terrain conditions and the level of road pavement damage that not many people do, assisted using the PCI method in classifying road damage and then PCI data is processed with Microsoft Excel using polynomial regression so that a graph appears to determine whether there is a relationship between terrain conditions with the degree of damage to the road pavement. From the results of the analysis obtained values for PCI and Slope of the length of the road obtained values $R^{2}=0.068$ and $\mathrm{Y}=1,7005 \mathrm{x}+79.40$ which shows that the PCI affects the slope of the road length is only $6.8 \%$ while $93.2 \%$ is influenced by other factors.


[^0]Then for PCI and radius get the value of $R^{2}=0.0243$ and $\mathrm{Y}=-0,002 x^{2}+0,2955 \mathrm{x}+82,271$ which shows PCI affects the radius of only $2.4 \%$ while $97.6 \%$ is influenced by another factor. Then for PCI and the degree of arcing get the value $R^{2}=0.0041$ and $\mathrm{Y}=-0.0278 \mathrm{x}+90.718$ which shows the PCI affects the degree of arcing is only $0.41 \%$ while $99.59 \%$ is influenced by other factors.
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## 1. Introduction

Buduan Street - Bondowoso link 216 is a provincial primary collector road which connects Probolinggo Regency with Bondowoso Regency which has a length of about 31 kilometers. This road can also be an alternative route for Probolinggo - Jember vehicles. Slope of the road that has a width of 6 to 7 meters is very varied because this road passes through the hills. This road also has many double bends which are small enough to bend the fingers because of the limited topography that is traversed. When passing a double bend in the incline or derivative area, vehicles tend to decrease their speed by braking. This braking affects the pavement conditions because the friction force of the vehicle wheels with pavement surface becomes larger so that the surface of the road under these terrain conditions experience greater friction than the road on flat terrain conditions. On the other hand, thickness and type of pavement are planned to be the same for each field condition. So it's likely that the road segment will experience damage faster than other segments.

## 2. Methods

First we look for the PCI value of each section of the road with the steps as below :

- Determine the level of damage according to the form (low, medium, high)
- Determine the damage density
- Determine the value of deduct value
- Calculate the total of deduct value (TDV)
- Determine the correct deduct value
- Calculate PCI using PCI $=100-\mathrm{CDV}$

After we get the PCI value of each segment then we use the PCI value to find the connection that will later be used in the polynomial regression and then we will get the connection graph as the result.

### 2.1. Flowchart research



Figure 1: Flowchart Research

## 3. Result and Discussion

### 3.1. Collecting damage data from the road

The road damage survey is carried out visually or directly on the field survey on the Arak-arak Wringin Street, Situbondo - Bondowoso Regency. Damage data collection using predetermined forms according to the Pavement Conditions Index (PCI) method. In the PCI method itself there are 19 type of damage, but from the survey results not all types of damage occur in the field. The survey was conducted by dividing locations into several segments, each segment has a different value or condition of damage accordingly guidelines of the [1] PCI method. Teh pavement condition rating starts from the condition failed until condition is perfect (excellent). Conduct a survey segmentation aims to facilitate researches for data retrieval and calculation of handling costs.

Table 1: recapitulation of road damage for all road sections

| NO | STA | Extensive Damage (M2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 13 |  |
| 1 | $167+000-167+100$ | 50,3 | - | - | 6,9993 | - | - | - | - | 57,293 |
| 2 | 100-200 | 22,25 | - | - | 29,92 | - | - | - | - | 52,17 |
| 3 | 200-300 | - | - | - | 12,25 | - | - | - | - | 12,25 |
| 4 | 300-400 | - | - | - | 12,39 | - | - | - | - | 12,39 |
| 5 | 400-500 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | , |
| 6 | 500-600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7 | 600-700 | - | - | 5,6 | - | - | - | - | - | 5,6 |
| 8 | 700-800 | 75,6 | - | 2,24 | - | - | - | - | - | 77,84 |
| 9 | 800-900 | 8,75 | - | 4,95 | - | - | - | - | - | 13,7 |
| 10 | 900-168+000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,75 | 3,75 |
| 11 | $168+000-168+100$ | 32,5 | - | - | - | - | 1,5 | - |  | 34 |
| 12 | 100-200 | 12,3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12,3 |
| 13 | 200-300 | 17,1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17,1 |
| 14 | 300-400 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | 400-500 | - | - | 6 | 24,45 | - | - | - | - | 30,45 |
| 16 | 500-600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 17 | 600-700 | 32,55 | - | - | 32,55 | - | - | - | - | 65,1 |
| 18 | 700-800 | - | - | 4,95 | - | 0,-7 | - | - | - | 5,65 |
| 19 | 800-900 | - | - |  | 156,5 | - | - | - | - | 156,5 |
| 20 | 900-169+000 | - | - | - | 5,25 | - | - | - | - | 5,25 |
| 21 | 169+000-169+100 | - | - | - | 20,072 | - | - | - | 1 | 21,072 |
| 22 | 100-200 | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | 11 |
| 23 | 200-300 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 | 300-400 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25 | 400-500 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | 500-600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , |
| 27 | 600-700 | - | - | 2,45 | - | - | - | - | - | 2,45 |
| 28 | 700-800 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | , |
| 29 | 800-900 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | 900-170+000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31 | $170+000-170+100$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 32 | 100-200 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 33 | 200-300 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 56 | - | 57 |
| 34 | 300-400 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 35 | 400-500 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 36 | 500-600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 37 | 600-700 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |

Information :

1. Alligator Cracking
2. Longitudinal Cracking
3. Depression
4. Edge Cracking
5. Raveling
6. Patching

## 7. Pothole

13. Bump and Sag

From the data above we get the largest damage area value in the segment $(186+800-168+900)$ with an area value of $156 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. For kind damage that is often found in the field is elongate cracks by $29.4 \%$ of all damage that
occurs in the field. To recapitulate according to the type of damage namely alligator crack $23.5 \%$, depression $5.8 \%$, edge cracking $26.5 \%$, longitudinal cracking $29.4 \%$, patching $3 \%$, pothole $3 \%$, raveling $3 \%$, bump and sag $5.8 \%$ of the total damage.

### 3.2. Calculations using PCI method

- Calculate the value of density

Density (\%) $=\frac{A d}{A s} \times 100 \%$

Or,

Density (\%) $=\frac{L d}{A s} \times 100 \%$

Ad $\quad=$ The total area of the type damage per level of damage $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$

Ld $\quad=$ Total length of damage per damage level (m)

As $\quad=$ Total area of one road segment $\quad\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$

- Calculate the deduct value

The deduction value is obtained from the density curve according to the severity that obtained.

- Calculate the total deduct value

The total deduction value is the sum of the total subtraction values in each segment.

- Calculate the corrected deduct value

From the results of deduct value (DV) to get a CDV by entering a DV that is more than CDV curve by drawing a vertical line on the DV until it crosses the q line then drawn on the horizontal line.

- Calculate the pavement condition index

PCI $=100-C D V$

It can be concluded that the surface condition of road pavement with a percentage of $72.97 \%$ perfect condition, $18.91 \%$ very good, $8.11 \%$ good. From the data obtained there are some damage that occurred according to the type of damage is alligator cracks, depressions, edge cracks, longitudinal cracks, patching, pothole, raveling, and bump and sag.

Table 2: recapitulation of overall PCI values

| NO | STA | Area of Damage | PCI Value | Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 167+000-167+100 | 57,293 | 60 | GOOD |
| 2 | 100-200 | 52,17 | 74 | VERY GOOD |
| 3 | 200-300 | 12,25 | 86 | PERFECT |
| 4 | 300-400 | 12,39 | 86 | PERFECT |
| 5 | 400-500 | 6,3 | 96 | PERFECT |
| 6 | 500-600 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 7 | 600-700 | 5,6 | 96 | PERFECT |
| 8 | 700-800 | 77,84 | 58 | GOOD |
| 9 | 800-900 | 13,7 | 74,5 | VERY GOOD |
| 10 | 900-168 +000 | 3,75 | 95 | PERFECT |
| 11 | $168+000-168+100$ | 25,75 | 79 | VERY GOOD |
| 12 | 100-200 | 12,3 | 85 | VERY GOOD |
| 13 | 200-300 | 17,1 | 70 | GOOD |
| 14 | 300-400 |  | 100 | PERFECT |
| 15 | 400-500 | 24,45 | 87 | PERFECT |
| 16 | 500-600 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 17 | 600-700 | 65,1 | 75 | VERY GOOD |
| 18 | 700-800 | 5,65 | 95,5 | PERFECT |
| 19 | 800-900 | 156,5 | 73 | VERY GOOD |
| 20 | 900-169+000 | 5,25 | 94 | PERFECT |
| 21 | 169+000-169+100 | 10,072 | 94,5 | PERFECT |
| 22 | 100-200 | 11 | 85 | VERY GOOD |
| 23 | 200-300 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 24 | 300-400 |  | 100 | PERFECT |
| 25 | 400-500 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 26 | 500-600 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 27 | 600-700 | 2,45 | 97,5 | PERFECT |
| 28 | 700-800 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 29 | 800-900 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 30 | 900-170+000 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 31 | 170+000-170+100 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 32 | 100-200 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 33 | 200-300 | 57 | 93 | PERFECT |
| 34 | 300-400 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 35 | 400-500 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 36 | 500-600 | - | 100 | PERFECT |
| 37 | 600-700 | - | 100 | PERFECT |

### 3.3. Slope on the road

From the data obtained there are some slopes of the bend in Wringin street - Bondowoso Regency which can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3: slope on the road

| No | Bend | Stationing (STA) | Slope \% | Radius (R) | Degree curve (D) | of PCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bend 1 | STA $166+600-166+700$ | 10 | 25,56 | $78^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 2 | Bend 2 | STA $167+100-167+200$ | 9 | 55,77 | $47^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 3 | Bend 3 | STA $167+200-166+300$ | 5 | 25,2 | $37^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 4 | Bend 4 | STA $167+500-167+600$ | 4 | 13,99 | $142^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 5 | Bend 5 | STA $167+700-167+800$ | 6 | 27,94 | $63^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 6 | Bend 6 | STA $167+900-168+000$ | 7 | 23,11 | $40^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 7 | Bend 7 | STA $168+100-168+200$ | 5 | 60,54 | $66^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 8 | Bend 8 | STA $168+200-168+300$ | 7 | 27,16 | $104^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 9 | Bend 9 | STA $168+300-168+400$ | 5 | 19,82 | $61^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 10 | Bend 10 | STA $168+400-168+500$ | 9 | 25,49 | $42^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 11 | Bend 11 | STA $168+400-168+500$ | 3 | 16,77 | $50^{\circ}$ | 48 |
| 12 | Bend 12 | STA $168+500-168+600$ | 6 | 23,52 | $33^{\circ}$ | 74 |
| 13 | Bend 13 | STA $168+600-168+700$ | 5 | 12,76 | $92^{\circ}$ | 86 |
| 14 | Bend 14 | STA $168+600-168+700$ | 5 | 11,7 | $90^{\circ}$ | 86 |
| 15 | Bend 15 | STA $168+700-168+800$ | 10 | 28,48 | $68^{\circ}$ | 96 |
| 16 | Bend 16 | STA $168+800-168+900$ | 3 | 21,65 | $33^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 17 | Bend 17 | STA $168+900-169+000$ | 7 | 52,74 | $19^{\circ}$ | 96 |
| 18 | Bend 18 | STA $169+100-169+200$ | 7 | 45,71 | $47^{\circ}$ | 58 |
| 19 | Bend 19 | STA $169+200-169+300$ | 7 | 22,38 | $66^{\circ}$ | 74,5 |
| 20 | Bend 20 | STA $169+200-169+300$ | 7 | 50,98 | $28^{\circ}$ | 95 |
| 21 | Bend 21 | STA $169+400-169+500$ | 4 | 15,33 | $103^{\circ}$ | 79 |
| 22 | Bend 22 | STA $169+400-169+500$ | 5 | 13,34 | $109^{\circ}$ | 85 |
| 23 | Bend 23 | STA $169+400-169+500$ | 3 | 19,02 | $42^{\circ}$ | 70 |
| 24 | Bend 24 | STA $169+500-169+600$ | 4 | 24,46 | $29^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 25 | Bend 25 | STA $169+600-169+700$ | 3 | 54,34 | $51^{\circ}$ | 87 |
| 26 | Bend 26 | STA $170+000-170+100$ | 3 | 46,74 | $34^{\circ}$ | 100 |
| 27 | Bend 27 | STA $170+200-170+300$ | 8 | 35,05 | $134^{\circ}$ | 75 |
| 28 | Bend 28 | STA $170+200-170+301$ | 3 | 33,62 | $67^{\circ}$ | 95,5 |
| 29 | Bend 29 | STA $170+500-170+600$ | 3 | 39,61 | $63^{\circ}$ | 73 |

### 3.4. Multiple regression analysis

Multiple regression analysis is a method for predicting the value of the influence of two or more independent variables on one dependent variable. Easier to prove whether there is a relationship between two variables or more than two independent variables $\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{X} 3, \ldots . \mathrm{Xn}$ with respect to one dependent variable Y . General equation of regression analysis :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\beta_{X}+\varepsilon \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where :

Y = Dependent variable
$\beta=$ Parameter
$\mathrm{X}=$ Independent Variable

E = Error

According to Draper and Smith [2] the relationship between one dependent variable and one or more independent variables can be expressed in multiple linear regression. The relationship can be stated in general as follows :
$Y i={ }_{0}+\beta_{i} X_{i 1}+\beta_{2} X_{i 2}+\ldots+\beta_{k} X_{i k}+\varepsilon_{i}$

Where :
$Y i$ : dependent variable for observation $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.
$\beta_{0}, 1, \ldots, \beta_{k} \quad$ : parameter
$X_{i 1,2, \ldots, X_{i k}} \quad$ : independent variable
$\varepsilon_{i}:$ the rest $(\varepsilon)$ for observation -i

### 3.5. The relationship between PCI values, Road slope, Radius, and Degree of Curvature

Referring to Table 3 about the slope of the curve (bend) obtained the relationship between PCI with slope , PCI with radius, and PCI with degree of curve, each of which have $R^{2}$ values of their own. From Table 3 the graph of the relationship between each component is then made, to graph the relationship between PCI and slope using Excel software. The graph can be seen in Figure 2.


Figure 2: Relationship between PCI and Slope

From Figure 2 it is explained that the relationship between PCI and slope is obtained $R^{2}$ value of 0.068 with the type of polynomial regression. This value indicates that PCI affects the road slope of $6.8 \%$ while $93.2 \%$ is influenced by other factors.


Figure 3: Relationship between PCI and Radius

From Figure 3 it is explained that the relationship between PCI and radius is obtained $R^{2}$ value of 0.0243 with the type of polynomial regression. This value indicates that PCI affects the radius of $2.4 \%$ while $97.6 \%$ is influenced by other factors.


Figure 4: Relationship between PCI and Degree of Curve

From Figure 4 it is explained that the relationship between PCI and Degree of Curve is obtained $R^{2}$ value of 0.0041 with the type of polynomial regression. This value indicates that PCI affects the Degree of Curve $0.41 \%$ while $99.59 \%$ is influenced by other factors. The following results of the relationship between PCI with slope, PCI with radius, and PCI with degree of curve can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4: recapitulation of the equation and the connection model of PCI, slope, radius, and degree of curve

| No | connection | Determination <br> coefficient <br> $\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{2}\right)$ | equation | Type of regression |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0,068 | $\mathrm{Y}=1,7005 \mathrm{x}+79,40$ | Polynomial Regression |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | PCI with Slope | 0,0243 | $\mathrm{Y}=-0,002 x^{2}+0,2955 \mathrm{x}+82,271$ | Polynomial Regression |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | PCI with Radius | $\mathrm{Y}=-0,0278 \mathrm{x}+90,718$ | Polynomial Regression |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | PCI with Degree of Curve | 0,0041 |  |  |

## 4. Conclusion

From the calculation results and regression analysis of PCI relationship, radius, degree of curvature, and slope lengthwise on the Wringin street - Bondowoso Regency, it is concluded that for PCI and slope lengthening the road gets a value of $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}=0.068$ and $\mathrm{Y}=1,7005 \mathrm{x}+79,40$ using the polynomial regression model. This value shows that PCI affects the length of slope only $6.8 \%$ while $93.2 \%$ is influenced by other factors. For PCI and radius get the value $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}=0.0243$ and $\mathrm{Y}=-0.002 \mathbf{x}^{2}+0.2955 \mathrm{x}+82.271$ by using the polynomial regression model. This value indicates that the PCI affects the radius of only $2.4 \%$ while $97.6 \%$ is influenced by other factors. Then for PCI and the degree of arcs get values $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}=0.0041$ and $\mathrm{Y}=-0.0278 \mathrm{x}+90.718$ using the polynomial regression model. This value shows that PCI affects the degree of arcing of only $0.41 \%$ while $99.59 \%$ is influenced by other factors. So the relationship between PCI, radius, degree of arcing, and longitudinal slope there is no relationship if juxtaposed with the value of the PCI itself.
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