

Digital Repository Universitas Jember

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR).

ISSN 2320-5407

Volume:- 07

Issue:-07



Journal homepage: <http://www.journalijar.com>

Journal DOI: [10.21474/IJAR01](https://doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01)

IJAR. Vol. 7 No. 7 (2019)

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Dr. Morse Florse, United Kingdom

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

Dr. Subha Ganguly, India

Dr. Hazim Jabbar Shah Ali, University of Baghdad, Abu-Ghraib, Iraq

Dr. Khalid Nabih Zaki Rashed, Egypt

Dr. Manzoor Khan Afridi, Pakistan

Seyyed Mahdi Javazadeh, Iran

Dr. Muataz A. Majeed, India

Dr Zakaria Fouad Fawzy Hassan, Egypt

Dr. Kanduri Venkata Lakshmi Narasimhacharyulu, India

Dr. Mohammad Ebrahim, Iran

Dr. Malihe Moeini, Iran

Dr. I. Anand Shaker, India

Dr. Magdy Shayboub, Egypt

Dr. Ramachandran Guruprasad, India

Dr. Alaa Kareem Niamah, Iraq

Dr. Abdul Aziz, Pakistan

Dr. Gamil Sayed Gamil Zeedan, Egypt

Dr. Retsy D. Tomaquin, Phillipines

Dr. Abdolkarim Afroozeh, Iran

ASSISTANT MEMBERS

Dr. Ashwani Kumar Dubey, India

Dr. Madhusudan Tiwari, India

Dr. Mintu Ram Meena, India

Dr. P. Malyadri, India

Mr. Fayziev Shokhrud Farmonovich, Uzbekistan

Dr. Ashish Tripathi, India

Dr. Uma Vasant Datar, India

Prof. Dr. Amer A. Taqa, Iraq

Asst. Prof. Dr. Nipa Sriwarom Ouppara, Thailand

Dr Monika Kamboj, India

Dr. Sameera G. Nath, India

Dr. Mrs. Shubhashree M.N., India

Dr. Vishnu K. Garande, India

Dr. Ali Badr Roomi, Iraq

Dr. Sangeeta Bajpai, India

Dr. Biswajit Das, India

Dr. Majnoony Tootakhane Ali, Iran

IJAR. Vol. 7 No. 7 (2019)

Dr. K. Velauthamurty, Sri Lanka
Dr. Muhammet Vefa Akpınar, Turkey
Dr. Sylvie Nibeza, Rwanda
Dr. Karaisas Petros, Greece
Dr. Jammi Ashok, East Africa
Dr. Dnyaneshwar Kantaram Jadhav, India
Dr. K. G. Padmasine, India
Dr. Sidhartha Sankar Kar, India
Prof. Dr Brijendra Pratap Mishra, India
Dr. Samer El-Sayed Mohamed El-Sayed Ismail, Egypt
Dr. S. Rajashekara, India
Asst. Prof. Dr. Praveen Kumar Sharma, India
Dr. Srinivasa Rao Sirugudu, India
Dr. Dilip Kumar Behara, India
Dr. Neda Nozari, Iran
Dr. Esosa Mark Iriowen, USA
Dr. Prawej Ansari, Bangladesh
Dr. Abdelmotalab Osman Mahmoud Dalil, Sudan
Dr. K. Ganesh Kumar, India
Dr. Satish Geeri, India
Katerina L. Kabakhidze, Moscow
Dr. Saif Ur Rehman, Pakistan
Ahmed Mohammed Jihad Al-Kubaisi, Iraq
Prof. Ignatius Topno, India
Dr. Amit Kumar Thakur, India
Dr. Esra Sipahi, Turkey
Dr. Umar Lawal Aliyu, Nigeria
Dr. Fatma Mohamed Elnabway Ward, Egypt
Dr. Ismaila Emahi, Ghana
Dr. Abdul Rabb, Pakistan
Dr. Hiba Riyadh Jameel Al-abodi, Iraq
Dr. Kachalla Mohammed, Nigeria
Dr. Mohammad Anamul Haque, Saudi Arabia
Dr. Kavitha Nachimuthu, Ethiopia
Dr. Kaan Bilge, Turkey
Dr. Kamran Yeganegi, Iran
Dr. Daniel Anthoni Sihasale, Indonesia
Dr. Moetaz Soubjaki, Lebanon
Prof. Dr. Wafai Zaki Azer Mikhail, Egypt
Dr. Aiat Hegazy, Egypt

IJAR. Vol. 7 No. 7 (2019)

Dr. Lamiaa Mageed Sayed Ibrahim, Egypt
Dr. Arvind Prasad Dwivedi, India
Dr. Mohammad Rafiqul Islam, Bangladesh
Dr. Masood Ayoub Kaloo, India
Dr. Tayseer Elamin Mohamed Elfaki, Sudan
Dr. Julie S. Berame, Phillipines
Dr. Warkaa M. Ali Al-Wattar, Iraq
Dr. Ibrahiem Abdul Razak Al-Ani, Iraq
Dr. Zafer Omer Ozdemir, Turkey
Dr. Abdulameer Kazem Farhood, Iraq
Dr. Alejandro Rodriguez Rodriguez, Mexico
Dr. Roslina Abdul Rahim, Malaysia
Prof. Antonio Jose de Jesus Evangelista, Brazil
Dr. Nihad Abdulateef Ali Kadhim, Iraq
Dr. Abubkr Ahmed Elhadi Abdelraheem, Sudan
Dr. Laxman Khanal, Nepal



IJAR

International Journal of Advanced Research

INHIBITORY EFFECT OF ALPHA LACTALBUMIN AND CASIENGLYCOMACROPEPTIDE ON MUTANS STREPTOCOCCI COUNT IN DENTAL PLAQUE (**Khaled Abd-Elmonem Sayed Masoud , Mohamed Sherif Mohamed Salah Eldin Farg, Osama Ibrahim Abd El-Salam El-Batawy and Shereef Samy Abd-El-Lateef**)

EFFECTIVENESS OF VIDEO ASSISTED TEACHING MODULE ON KNOWLEDGE REGARDING FIRST AID FOR SELECTED HOME ACCIDENTS AMONG MOTHERS OF UNDER FIVE CHILDREN IN SELECTED AGANWADIS OF SHIVRAJPUR, KANPUR (**Josy Jose, Minu S.R and Muhasin Muhammad**)

IMPACT OF WEATHER ON RICE PRODUCTION IN INDIA (**Saumya Verma**)

A STUDY OF OCULAR MANIFESTATIONS IN THERMAL AND ELECTRICAL BURNS (**N. Kasturi Bai, M. Satyanarayana Reddy, K. Revathy, Manjula Bai, B. Sai Geetha**)

THE IMPLEMENTATION OF PANTAWID PAMILYANG PILIPINO PROGRAM(4PS) AND ITS SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACT TO THE LIVING STANDARDS OF THE BENEFICIARIES IN TABUK CITY (**Raquel J. Dulliyao**)

PHILOSOPHICAL ANALYSIS FORMULTI-WORLDS INTERPRETATION OF QUANTUM MECHANICS (**J. Wang**)

TWAKASARATA: ACKNOWLEDGE THE POWER OF FIRST IMPRESSION (**Sunil P. Nikhate, Renuka B. Ikkar, Narendra D.Yadnik, Vijay V. Bhagat and Vaidehi V. Raole**)

NARRATIVE TRANSFORMATIONS, AS ONE OF THE MAIN INSTRUMENT FOR MODELING NARRATIVE DISCOURSE (**Yusupova Guzal Rashitovna**)

STUDY OF FACTORS LIKE SMOKING AND ITS ASSOCIATION WITH INFLAMMATION AND CARDIOVASCULAR RISKS IN PRE-DIABETIC AND DIABETIC PATIENTS (**Varsha chowdhry and Deepa thadani**)

TO STUDY THE DISTRIBUTION OF ABO BLOOD GROUP AND RH (D) FACTOR AMONG ETHNIC POPULATION OF LADAKH REGION IN THE AGE GROUP OF 15- 45: A CROSSECTIONAL STUDY (**Kaniz Fatima and Yangchen Dolma**)

HEREDITARY ANGIOEDEMA: AN UNUSUAL CAUSE OF VOMITING: A CASE REPORT (**S.Ghani, I.Errabih, N. Benzoubeir, F.Souidine and L. Ouazzani**)

PAST AND PRESENT OF THEORY OF JUSTICE: THINKING BEYOND CONTEMPORARY DISTRIBUTIVE MODEL (**Khurram and Akbar Chawdhary**)

BIOMEDICAL WASTE: CURRENT MANAGEMENT OPTIONS AND RECOMMENDATION FOR PROSTHODONTIST, ENDODONTIST AND RADIOLOGIST (**Bhaskar Agarwal, Kamleshwar Singh, Gaurav Singh, Srishti Goel Agarwal, Mohit Kumar and Ajay Kumar**)

REVIEW ARTICLE ON SIRA VEDHAN (VENA OF PUNCTURE) AS A ONE OF THE AYURVEDIC METHOD OF BLOOD LETTING (**Ajinkya suresh Gite, Mukund Dhule and Hemant D.Toshikhane**)

A STUDY OF URINARY TRACT INFECTION IN CHILDRENS UNDER FIVE YEARS IN KARBLA (**Muneer Al Tuama**)

DIABETES MELLITUS: CLASSIFICATION, EPIDEMIOLOGY, PHYSIOPATHOLOGY, IMMUNOLOGY, RISK FACTORS, PREVENTION AND NUTRITION (**Fagninou Nonsito Adnette, Tougan Polycarpe Ulbad, Nekoua Magloire, Fachina Ruffine, Koutinhoun G.B. and Yessoufou Akadiri**)

J. M. SYNGE AND THE IRISH DRAMATIC MOVEMENT (**Indrajit Kundu and Mirza Maqsood Baig**)

INFLUENCE OF VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE IN ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIVE BUILDINGS: A CASE STUDY OF ABUJA RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS (**Fatima Baba Ciroma, Aminu - Umar Wali Aisha and Stephen Zemo Audu**)

ADENOCARCINOMA OF VAGINA: A CASE REPORT AND REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE (**Hind Guerroum, Amal Rami, Mohamed Allaoui, Jihane habi, Meriem Kassimi, Nabil Chikhaoui and Mohamed Mahi**)

IN VITRO EVALUATION OF ANTHELMINTIC ACTIVITY OF ETHANOLIC EXTRACT OF LEAVES OF TOONA CILIATA M. ROEM ON INDIAN EARTHWORM (**Saumya Kanti Sinha, Mayanglambam Medhabati, Surjit Nongthombam, Rikrak Gabil Momin, Athira Muralidas and Paonam Shyamasakhi**)

LEAD POISONING IN CHILDREN: A DESCRIPTIVE STUDY OF HOSPITALIZED IRAQI CHILDREN WITH LEAD POISONING (**Azhar Muzhir Suhail and Mahjoob Al-Nidawi**)

PSYCHOSOCIAL ATMOSPHERE AND EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AMONG LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL IN THE MUNICIPALITY OF SARA, PROVINCE OF ILOILO PHILIPPINES (**Joey S. Dela Cruz**)

PERSONAL REFERENCES & PERSONAL AUTONOMY ADOLESCENTS TO GENDER CONCEPT RELATED TO EARLY CHILDHOOD MARRIAGE IN KABALUTAN ISLAND CENTRAL SULAWESI (**Jilyana, Suriah, Moh. Tahir Abdullah, Sudirman Nasir, Nurhaedar Jafar and Apik Indarty Moedjiono**)

VARIATION IN SOIL MACROFAUNA DIVERSITY IN THE DIFFERENT LAND USE TYPES IN NAMANYONYI SUB COUNTY, MBALE DISTRICT UGANDA (**Faruk Usman Maiyaki, Sarah Nachuha and Aliyu Abubakar Shehu**)

JYOTISHMATI: AN ARTAVAPRAVARTAKA (**Keerti Yadav, Vidya Ballal and Ravi Mundugaru**)

REENGAGEMENT OF THIRD GENDER CHILDREN THROUGH THEIR EDUCATION (**Preeti Kamble, Jahanara And Dipak Bose**)

DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF PLC NETWORK SYSTEM USING OPNET MODULATOR (**Raghad s. Majeed, Sahar h.ahmed and Ahmed h. Ahmed**)

APPLICATION OF CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM THROUGH DMAIC MODEL IN OFFERING SAFE AND EFFECTIVE SERVICES IN CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY (**Anshika Gupta, J.K Sharma and Ramesh K Goyal**)

GENETIC DIVERGENCE FOR YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS IN MYANMAR LOCAL RICE (*Oryza sativa* L.) GERMPLASM (**Nyo Mar Htwe and Chan Nyein Thu**)

RESTORATIVE JUSTICE: THE RESTORABLE LEGAL FACT (**Oscar Daniel Franco Conforti**)

CREATING HARMONIOUS SCHOOL LEARNING ENVIRONMENT: WALDORF EDUCATION PERSPECTIVE (**Olena Mezentseva**)

SURVEY ON SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT IN PUBLIC HOSPITALS AND PRIVATE HOSPITALS IN THE CITY OF TANGIER (**Y. Ouzekhti Yettefti, S. Ajbar El Gueriri, H. El Cadi, A. Mouhssine And J. Brigui**)

A STUDY OF FINGERPRINTS IN RELATION TO GENDER :A STUDY DONE ON MEDICAL STUDENTS OF SKIMS MEDICAL COLLEGE SRINAGAR (**Rohul Afza And Nowsheeba Khurshid**)

REALISM IN SPACE-TIME THEORY (**J. Wang**)

HUMAN RESOURCE VALUE FRAMEWORK -A NEW DIMENSION IN PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL (**Smitha S and M S Ranga Raju**)

LES DETERMINANTS DU CHANGEMENT DE LAUDITEUR EXTERNE : CAS DES ENTREPRISES TUNISIENNES COTEES DETERMINANTS OF AUDITOR SWITCH: EVIDENCE FROM TUNISIA (**Soumaya Ayedi**)

PSYCHOLOGICAL BARRIERS TO LEARNING EFL LISTENING AND SPEAKING AT THE HSC LEVEL: A STUDY IN DHAKA DIVISION, BANGLADESH (**A.F.M. Moshir Rahman, Md. Khorshed Alam And Mohammad Mazibar Rahman**)

BILATERAL UNIPORTAL VIDEO-ASSISTED THORACOSCOPIC SURGERY SYMPATHECTOMY FOR MANAGING RAYNAUDS DISEASE (**Yasser Aljehani, Rahma ShahBahai, Alaa Turkistani**)

REDUCING ESCHERICHIA COLI CONTAMINATION ON DRINKING WATER STATIONS IN PASURUAN, EAST JAVA, INDONESIA (**Wiwik Winarningsih, Z.Fanani, Endah Setyowati and Jack Rubijoso**)

ANALYSIS OF DEVELOPING TECHNOLOGICAL PEDAGOGICAL AND CONTENT KNOWLEDGE OF SCIENCE TEACHERS: A REVIEW (**Lailatul Fitri Fauziah, Slamet Hariyadi, Erlia Narulita**)



Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com
**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
 ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)**

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/9367
 DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/9367>



RESEARCH ARTICLE

ANALYSIS OF DEVELOPING TECHNOLOGICAL PEDAGOGICAL AND CONTENT KNOWLEDGE OF SCIENCE TEACHERS: A REVIEW.

Lailatul Fitri Fauziah, Slamet Hariyadi, Erlia Narulita.

Department of Science Education, University of Jember, Jember, Indonesia 68121.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Received: 10 May 2019

Final Accepted: 12 June 2019

Published: July 2019

Key words:-

Technological, Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK), science teacher, qualified teachers.

Abstract

This article discusses the importance of *Technological, Pedagogical and Content Knowledge* (TPACK) in science learning in the 21st century. Technological Pedagogical Content and Knowledge (TPACK) is currently considered as an important knowledge for highly qualified teachers. TPACK describes the knowledge synthesized from each field of knowledge with a focus on how technology can be tailored to be faced with pedagogical needs to teach the right content in a particular context. Teachers' pedagogy thinking skills affect the basic knowledge of teachers needed to develop TPACK. The writing of this article was conducted through a systematic review of the articles relating to the Use of the Pedagogical Content and Knowledge (TPACK) problem in science teachers. Sorting articles used in this review was by reading abstract and content. The result of sorting, obtained 16 best articles. There were three phases in conducting qualitative data analysis, namely: (1) data reduction, (2) The data feed, and (3) the withdrawal of conclusions. The TPACK on contextual factors and pedagogical reasoning of the teacher affects the ability of teachers to enact their classes and what they learn in school. Teachers' pedagogy thinking skills affect the basic knowledge of teachers needed to develop TPACK. A teacher educator should be more stressed on how teachers integrate technology in their teaching practice rather than emphasizing what teachers integrate in teaching practice Their. In summary, it is important to integrate TPACK to improve the professionalism of science teachers.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2019.. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

In the 21st century, it demands the world of education to improve and produce quality human resources. The most important challenge in education this century is to discover and develop an efficient tool and can help in the process of teaching and learning. It can be achieved if supported by technology. Technology must be used in conjunction with education and teaching that cannot be separated from each other (Schmidt, et al., 2008). The technology used can support the implementation of classroom learning as a teacher's instructional cognitive tool, learning and learning process, and effective teaching. Effective teachers know not only the subject matter but are also able to create a learning environment that stimulates and implements pedagogical strategies, including strategy-rich technology to engage students while To help improve their performance (Sahin, 2011). Teachers and students are very important in new technologies that will be used both to collect, organize and evaluate information to solve a

Corresponding Author:-Lailatul Fitri Fauziah.

Address:-Department of Science Education, University of Jember, Jember, Indonesia 68121.

problem. In improving teacher learning potential and active students' involvement in science learning, students need not only the sophisticated learning process, but teachers also need to prepare to acquire teaching competencies by integrating technology into teaching practice in classrooms.

Studies conducted on technological integration have highlighted that technological knowledge should be considered along with the knowledge of content and knowledge of pedagogy. Teachers are not only required to have Pedagogic, Content, Knowledge (PCK) skills but also technological application. So that technology, pedagogic and content has become a part of teacher education program to prepare professional teachers. To prepare the competence of the 21st century science teacher, *Technological Pedagogical Content and Knowledge* (TPACK) is currently considered as an important knowledge for highly qualified teachers. TPACK is an interaction and communication among all three types of knowledge, there are a few interconnected components: technological knowledge, pedagogical, and content (field) (Koehler, et al., 2007). Technological knowledge refers to the knowledge of the standard technology and the high-end technologies used for educational and teaching purposes (Koehler, et. al., 2007). Pedagogical knowledge includes the development of teaching strategies, classroom management, lesson plans, and situations such as student assessments and the nature of mass targets (Hernandez, 2014). Content knowledge involves structures in related fields that connect cases and events, concepts, theories, processes, thoughts and opinions related to the field and organize them.

TPACK as a pedagogical technique that utilizes technology constructively to teach the content, and the knowledge of what makes the concept difficult or easy to learn how technology can help the problems faced by students; students' initial knowledge and epistemology theories, as well as knowledge of how technology can be used to build existing knowledge and to develop new epistemology or reinforce the old (Kocoglu, 2009), to realize development of science teachers' ability, teachers must be able to understand cognition and have different ways of learning. Teachers should also be able to understand the students' progress and various pedagogic concepts as well as they master the Learning materials and their alternate assessments that they use to measure student learning outcomes. Thus, teachers are able to place different language and cultural differences, learning styles, talents, and intelligence as the basis for implementing the various teaching strategies he chooses (Abidin, 2009).

Therefore, the author raises the problem regarding the ability of pedagogic technology and content knowledge (TPACK) on the development process of teachers especially for science teachers.

Research Methods:-

The writing of this article was conducted through a systematic review of the articles relating to the Use of the Pedagogical Content and Knowledge (TPACK) problem in science teachers. Sorting articles used in this review is by reading abstract and content. The result of sorting, obtained 16 best articles from national and international articles. There are three phases in conducting qualitative data analysis, namely: (1) data reduction, carried out with several stages namely: the electoral phase, simplification of the abstraction, review, and transformation of data obtained from the review of articles already exists, (2) The data feed, the data obtained does not contain things that are irrelevant to the research and (3) the withdrawal of conclusions, this stage to record the patterns, regularity, and linkage between section one with the other (Marsigit, 2012).

Results and Discussion:-

3.1 Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK)

Based on the review of the article that has been done explained that before Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) first started from the discovery of Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) which appeared in Shulman article in In 1986. Shulman states the combination of Pedagogical Knowledge and Content Knowledge is required to teach. According to Shulman, Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) of a teacher is essential to create useful learning for students. Speaking of Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK), there are two major parts that make up Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK), Content Knowledge (CK) and Pedagogical knowledge (PK). According to Shulman (1986), content knowledge includes knowledge of concepts, theories, ideas, skeletal thinking, proving methods and evidence. While pedagogical knowledge relates to ways and teaching processes that include knowledge of classroom management, assignment, learning and learning planning of students. Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) can be described as a link between the basic knowledge of content and pedagogy with all three required fields of context. Then Hurrel (2013) depicted the Shulman's idea as follows:




Figure 1:-Shulman’s (1986) domains of pedagogical content knowledge

From the picture above, it is seen that Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK) is a combination of Content knowledge and Pedagogical Knowledge that teachers apply in learning in the classroom by observing the existing context. Furthermore, when information and communication technology develops very rapidly and began to enter the school evenly, the children began to use information and communication technology in its daily life, it is time teachers implement information technology and communication in its learning. But integrating information and communication technologies into learning is a challenge that is not easily encountered. To answer the challenge, an important theoretical framework that arises today in the use of information technology and communication by the teacher is Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK).

The idea of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) appeared formally on the Education journal in 2003 and began to be crowded with the year 2005 which was originally abbreviated to TPCK but changed to TPACK to facilitate the pronunciation (Chai , et al., 2013). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) is a technological knowledge, content knowledge, and Pedagogical knowledge, explaining the interaction between every two knowledge and among all the knowledge.




Figure 2:-Kohler’s (2013) TPACK Framework

Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (Figure 2) describes the knowledge that is synthesized from every knowledge that has been outlined before focusing on how technology can be made with special to be faced with the needs of to teach the right content in a particular context. Every element of the field of knowledge illustrates the

need and importance of such aspects in teaching. But for effective teaching requires more than every part of it. For teachers with Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK), technological knowledge, pedagogy, and content are synthesized and used for the design of student learning experiences.

3.2 Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK) analysis on science teacher Development

TPACK is an effective teaching basis with technology. Simultaneously integrating knowledge about technology, pedagogy and content, teachers can bring TPACK at any time to their teaching environment. TPACK is able to foster the ability of science teachers in competency and transformation knowledge. TPACK is able to produce high quality science teachers, TPACK competence can be used as the main attribute for the future of science teachers because it can affect the practice (Srisawasdi, 2012). Several other studies have mentioned that TPACK is divided into TPACK's trust and TPACK level. TPACK trusts are used as predictors, while TPACK levels are used to form a case study. The results of the case study showed that there was a positive relationship between the TPACK trust and TPACK level. TPACK's belief systems are consistently associated with their TPACK levels in some respects. When epistemological beliefs are not considered, the relationship between other research variables demonstrates consistency with previous research findings relating to the relationship between self and the conception of teaching and learning (Gunes , 2016).

In another study, the introduction of the TPACK framework for science education, found results that 90% of pre-service teachers thinking unit experiments increased their understanding of the investigation process, 88% reported more confidence in their understanding About the concept of science and 94% of students report increased knowledge and their belief in WEB 2.0 tools in favor of scientific investigation in science. The TPACK Model provides a curriculum designer with a frame that leads to a combination of technology, pedagogy and content for knowledge. Pre-Service teachers are able to explore issues that exist beyond the narrow reach of science and into society, geography and history by using a science investigation process to frame their investigation (Sheffield, 2015). Guzey (2009) states that the use of TPACK has a positive impact on the various levels of teacher development. The TPACK on contextual factors and pedagogical reasoning of the teacher affects the ability of teachers to enact their classes and what they learn in school. Teachers ' pedagogy thinking skills affect the basic knowledge of teachers needed to develop TPACK. In this case it can be seen that there is a link between the development of TPACK teachers with pedagogical and their reasoning skills. Through pedagogical reasoning the teacher can reflect their pedagogical action. Teachers give reviews of class instruction closely related to their scientific conception, effective teaching of science and instructional strategies, teaching goals and student understanding.

Other findings also indicate that most of the TPACK is associated with the disclosure of practical problems. However, when further investigation is conducted, the orientation of the existing teachers appears more prominent in the conversations in the learning process. In addition, when dealing with TPACK or PCK, most design talks reflect the reflection of concern. Pedagogy is aimed not as a single domain of knowledge, but rather relates to two other domains, so practical implications are discussed for how TPACK is able to support teachers during collaborative design.

TPACK will produce effective ICT innovations, so the composition of the design team needs to be carefully considered. Teachers also need to develop competencies, facilitate and discuss the design so that contextual concerns can be turned into opportunities to support a pedagogic increase. These results indicate that in order to improve TPACK co-construction, the teachers need to be consciously for deeper discussions about pedagogical. However, factors such as class level and ICT competence of students need to be conducted further examination. The results showed that even with the time prescribed for lesson planning, primary teachers 1 could still approach pedagogical innovations through administration lenses.

Based on data analysis in the field indicating the presence of TPACK instruments that have been filled by the participants, participants identify and divide the concept of material into simple content into a more meaningful form of representation (Chamichael, et al., 2010). Participants use methods of learning discussions, information, introductions, and assignments in the classroom. In that method, participants use projector, whiteboard, markers, laptops, and CD players to support the process of discussions that students are doing by displaying student-generated PowerPoint and some video impressions that play using CD player to support the conception of content in students. During the discussion, students are guided by teachers on difficult materials, so that they can explain themselves about the phenomenon of the phenomena contained in the content by using their PowerPoint impressions with video

support to deepen the concept of material Students. Participants use the learning method of discussion so that students can dig out their own knowledge and discover the concepts themselves. In addition, participants also facilitated students using impressions that students and videos have made to help realize the difficulties found by the students. Based on the TPACK components that have been outlined, the ability of the participant's TPACK is included in the degree category as the participants can identify the difficulties of the content and are able to identify the teaching methods according to technology. However, participants cannot explain how to use technology to change content.

TPACK instruments that have been filled by the teachers show that the skills of SCIENCE teachers in the planning of TPACK on the concept of material is taught mostly in the form of presentations and class discussions. This is due to the 2013 curriculum which demands that students be able to find concepts in the material. In addition, the supporting technology in the presentation and class discussion process is just a set of projector to show the PowerPoint created by the group of students, although there are some teachers who use video and animation for support in the process His studies. In the process of learning, SCIENCE teachers only act as facilitators in the classroom. If any material is deemed difficult, the teacher will begin directing students to find its difficulties and provide a perception of difficult content so that students feel easy and eventually overcome the difficulties in the concept independently.

Based on the analysis of TPACK instruments that have been filled by the teachers, the ability of science teachers in the implementation of TPACK components in the class belongs to the category of level of perception (*Perception Level-Pn*). At this level of perception, the teacher already has a perception towards the harmonization of TPACK components because through presentation and discussion, the teacher is able to identify the difficulties of the content so that it can transform it into a simple form of content with Support of the appropriate use of technology, which is a set of projector, video, and animated impressions. This shows that science teachers can identify content difficulties and are able to identify the teaching methods that are appropriate to the technology. But teachers, cannot explain how to use technology to change the content teachers will provide to students, so that teacher knowledge is only centered on the use of projector, video, and animated impressions in the teaching process that teachers do in class.

Based on the explanation above, with Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) affects the teacher. It remembers the relationship between technology, pedagogy, and inherent content. Therefore, teachers face major challenges in shifting technological changes, pedagogy, subject matter and current class contexts. Teachers should have become more active in designing the curriculum. In addition to the impact on teachers, Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) also affects teacher educators. Among the various learning approaches, a teacher educator should be more stressed on how teachers integrate technology in their teaching practice rather than emphasizing what teachers integrate in teaching practice Their. The approaches that can be done include learning technology by design and learning technology by activity types. The development of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) should begin with a variety of simple technologies known then gradually improved to the more sophisticated ones.

3.3 Factors affecting Science Teacher's TPACK capability

One of the factors that affects the ability of TPACK is the many learning experiences that teachers obtain through seminars held by the Government in order to improve the quality of teachers. So that the duration of teaching experience is not directly proportional to the increase of TPACK capability. This can be influenced by many factors, such as the busyness of the senior teachers, causing senior teachers to not be able to do their time to learn new things, especially the technological advances in Support the teaching process in the classroom. Therefore, most of the senior teachers are still implementing many conventional methods of learning. In contrast, teachers with a 11-15-year teaching experience can still perform transformations in its class using technology. This is because the busyness of teachers who have a teaching experience of 11-15 years, not as much as the busyness that is owned by teachers who have experience of teaching 16 years or more.

Conclusion:-

The most important challenge in education in the 21st century is to find and develop an efficient tool and can assist in the teaching and learning process. It can be achieved if supported by technology. The technology used can support the implementation of classroom learning as a teacher's instructional cognitive tool, learning and learning process, and effective teaching. Effective teachers know not only the subject matter but are also able to create a learning environment that stimulates and implements pedagogical strategies, including strategy-rich technology to

engage students while to help improve their performance. Thus, technology, pedagogic and content has become a part of teacher education program to prepare professional teachers. Technological Pedagogical Content and Knowledge (TPACK) is currently considered as an important knowledge for highly qualified teachers. TPACK describes the knowledge synthesized from each field of knowledge with a focus on how technology can be tailored to be faced with pedagogical needs to teach the right content in a particular context.

References:-

1. Abidin, Y. 2009. *Quality Teacher and Learning*. Bandung: Rizqi Press.
2. Carmichael, A., Chini, J.J., Robello, N.S., Puntambekar, S. 2010. Comparing Student Learning in Mechanics Using Simulation and Hand-on Activities. *Physics Education Research Conference*. Vol 1289 pp: 89-92.
3. Chai, C. -S., Koh, J. H. -L., & Tsai, C. -C. 2013. A Review of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge. *Educational Technology & Society*. Vol. 16 (2), 31–51.
4. Gunes, Erhan and Bahciva, Eralp. 2016. A Multiple Case Study of Preservice Science Teachers' TPACK: Embedded in a Comprehensive Belief System. *International Journal Of Environmental & Science Education*. Vol 11 (15) pp: 8040-8054.
5. Guzey, S. Selcen and Gillian, H. Roehrig. 2009. Teaching Science with Technology: Case Studies of Science Teachers' Development of Technology, Pedagogy, and Content Knowledge. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*. Vol 9(1) pp: 25-45.
6. Hernandez, Yosua. 2014. Analysis of *Technology Pedagogy Content Knowledge (TPCK)* Skill of Biological Teachers of the Pekanbaru High School. *Journal of Biogenesis*. Vol. 11 (11).
7. Hurrell, D. P. 2013. What Teachers Need to Know to Teach Mathematics: An Argument for a Reconceptualised Model. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education Volume 38, Issue 11, Page 54-64*.
8. Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., & Yahya, K. 2007. Tracing the development of teacher knowledge in a design seminar: Integrating content, pedagogy and technology. *Computers & Education*, 49(3), 740-762.
9. Koehler, M. J., Mishra, P., Ackaoglu, M., & Rosenberg, J. M. 2013. *The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge Framework for Teachers and Teacher Educators*. Commonwealth Educational Media Centre for Asia.
10. Kocoglu, Z. 2009. Exploring The Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge of Pre-service Teachers in Language Education. *Procedia Social and Science* [Online], Vol. 1 (2734-2737). DOI: 10 . 1016 / j . sbspro . 2009 . 01 . 485.
11. Marsigit, M. A. 2012. *Research Study (Internasional Journal Review) Mathematics Education*. Yogyakarta: Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
12. Sahin, I. 2011. Development of Survey of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). *The Turkish Online Journal of Technological Education*. 10: 97-105.
13. Schmidt, D., Baran Sahin, E., Thompson, A., & Seymour, J. 2008. Developing effective technological pedagogical and content knowledge (TPACK) in PreK-6 teachers. *Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 5313-5317). Chesapeake, VA: AACE.
14. Shulman, L. S. 1986. Those who understand, knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher* Vol. 15, No, 2, Page 4-14.
15. Sheffield, Rachel., Eva, Dobozy., David, Gibson., Jim, Mullaney and Chris Campbell. 2015. Teacher education students using TPACK in science: a case study. *Educational Media International*. ISSN: 0952-3987.
16. Srisawasdi, N. 2012. *The Role of TPACK in Physics Classroom: Case Study of Preservice Physics Teachers*. Thailand. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*.