

BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity

Volume 22 - Number 6 - June 2021





Front cover: Elephas maximus sumatranus Temminck, 1847
(PHOTO: DENY SETYO WIBOWO)

Published monthly

PRINTED IN INDONESIA

ISSN: 1412-033X

E-ISSN: 2085-4722



9 771412 033771



9 772085 472775

BIODIVERSITAS

Journal of Biological Diversity
Volume 22 - Number 6 - June 2021

ISSN/E-ISSN:

1412-033X (printed edition), 2085-4722 (electronic)

EDITORIAL BOARD:

Abdel Fattah N.A. Rabou (Palestine), **Agnieszka B. Najda** (Poland), **Ajay Kumar Gautam** (India), **Alan J. Lymbery** (Australia), **Annisa** (Indonesia), **Bambang H. Saharjo** (Indonesia), **Daiane H. Nunes** (Brazil), **Darlina Md. Naim** (Malaysia), **Ghulam Hassan Dar** (India), **Hassan Pourbabaie** (Iran), **Joko R. Witono** (Indonesia), **Kartika Dewi** (Indonesia), **Katsuhiko Kondo** (Japan), **Kusumadewi Sri Yulita** (Indonesia), **Livia Wanntorp** (Sweden), **M. Jayakara Bhandary** (India), **Mahdi Reyahi-Khoram** (Iran), **Mahendra K. Rai** (India), **Mahesh K. Adhikari** (Nepal), **Maria Panitsa** (Greece), **Mochamad A. Soendjoto** (Indonesia), **Mohib Shah** (Pakistan), **Mohamed M.M. Najim** (Srilanka), **Nurhasanah** (Indonesia), **Praptiwi** (Indonesia), **Rasool B. Tareen** (Pakistan), **Seyed Aliakbar Hedayati** (Iran), **Seyed Mehdi Talebi** (Iran), **Shahabuddin** (Indonesia), **Shahir Shamsir** (Malaysia), **Shri Kant Tripathi** (India), **Subhash C. Santra** (India), **Sugeng Budiharta** (Indonesia), **Sugiyarto** (Indonesia), **Taufiq Purna Nugraha** (Indonesia), **Yosep S. Mau** (Indonesia)

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Sutarno

EDITORIAL MEMBERS:

English Editors: **Graham Eagleton** (grahameagleton@gmail.com), **Suranto** (surantouns@gmail.com); Technical Editor: **Solichatun** (solichatun_s@yahoo.com), **Artini Pangastuti** (pangastuti_tutut@yahoo.co.id); Distribution & Marketing: **Rita Rakhmawati** (oktia@yahoo.com); Webmaster: **Ari Pitoyo** (aripitoyo@yahoo.com)

MANAGING EDITORS:

Ahmad Dwi Setyawan (unsjournals@gmail.com)

PUBLISHER:

The Society for Indonesian Biodiversity

CO-PUBLISHER:

Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta

ADDRESS:

Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A Surakarta 57126. Tel. +62-271-7994097, Tel. & Fax.: +62-271-663375, email: editors@smujo.id

ONLINE:

biodiversitas.mipa.uns.ac.id; smujo.id/biodiv



Society for Indonesia
Biodiversity



Sebelas Maret University
Surakarta

Aims and Scope *Biodiversitas*, *Journal of Biological Diversity* or abbreviated as *Biodiversitas* encourages submission of manuscripts dealing with all biodiversity aspects of plants, animals and microbes at the level of the gene, species, and ecosystem as well as ethnobiology.

Article types The journal seeks original full-length research papers, reviews, and short communication. Manuscript of original research should be written in no more than 8,000 words (including tables and picture), or proportional with articles in this publication number. Review articles will be accommodated, while, short communication should be written at least 2,000 words, except for pre-study.

Submission The journal only accepts online submission, through open journal system (<https://smuju.id/biodiv/about/submissions>) or email to the editors at unsjournals@gmail.com. Submitted manuscripts should be the original works of the author(s). The manuscript must be accompanied by a cover letter containing the article title, the first name and last name of all the authors, a paragraph describing the claimed novelty of the findings versus current knowledge. Submission of a manuscript implies that the submitted work has not been published before (except as part of a thesis or report, or abstract); and is not being considered for publication elsewhere. When a manuscript written by a group, all authors should read and approve the final version of the submitted manuscript and its revision; and agree the submission of manuscripts for this journal. All authors should have made substantial contributions to the concept and design of the research, acquisition of the data and its analysis; drafting of the manuscript and correcting of the revision. All authors must be responsible for the quality, accuracy, and ethics of the work.

Ethics Author(s) must obedient to the law and/or ethics in treating the object of research and pay attention to the legality of material sources and intellectual property rights.

Copyright If and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the author(s) still hold the copyright and retain publishing rights without restrictions. Authors or others are allowed to multiply article as long as not for commercial purposes. For the new invention, authors are suggested to manage its patent before published.

Open access The journal is committed to free-open access that does not charge readers or their institutions for access. Readers are entitled to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles, as long as not for commercial purposes. The license type is CC-BY-NC-SA.

Acceptance The only articles written in English (U.S. English) are accepted for publication. Manuscripts will be reviewed by editors and invited reviewers (double blind review) according to their disciplines. Authors will generally be notified of acceptance, rejection, or need for revision within 1 to 2 months of receipt. The manuscript is rejected if the content does not in line with the journal scope, does not meet the standard quality, inappropriate format, complicated grammar, dishonesty (i.e. plagiarism, duplicate publications, fabrication of data, citations manipulation, etc.), or ignoring correspondence in three months. The primary criteria for publication are scientific quality and biodiversity significance. **Uncorrected proofs** will be sent to the corresponding author by email as *.doc* or *.docx* files for checking and correcting of typographical errors. To avoid delay in publication, corrected proofs should be returned in 7 days. The accepted papers will be published online in a chronological order at any time, but printed in the early of each month (12 times).

A charge Starting on January 1, 2019, publishing costs waiver is granted to authors of graduate students from **Least Developed Countries**, who first publish the manuscript in this journal. However, other authors are charged USD 250 (IDR 3,500,000). Additional charges may be billed for language editing, USD 75-150 (IDR 1,000,000-2,000,000).

Reprints The sample journal reprint is only available by special request. Additional copies may be purchased when ordering by sending back the uncorrected proofs by email.

Manuscript preparation Manuscript is typed on A4 (210x297 mm²) paper size, in a single column, single space, 10-point (10 pt) Times New Roman font. The margin text is 3 cm from the top, 2 cm from the bottom, and 1.8 cm from the left and right. Smaller lettering size can be applied in presenting table and figure (9 pt). Word processing program or additional software can be used, however, it must be PC compatible and Microsoft Word based (*.doc* or *.rtf*; not *.docx*). **Scientific names** of species (incl. subspecies, variety, etc.) should be written in italic, except for italic sentence. Scientific name (genera, species, author), and cultivar or strain should be mentioned completely for the first time mentioning it in the body text, especially for taxonomic manuscripts. Name of genera can be shortened after first mentioning, except generating confusion. Name of the author can be eliminated after first mentioning. For example, *Rhizopus oryzae* L. UICC 524, hereinafter can be written as *R. oryzae* UICC 524. Using trivial name should be avoided, otherwise generating confusion. **Biochemical and chemical nomenclature** should follow the order of the IUPAC - IUB. For DNA sequence, it is better used Courier New font. Symbols of standard chemical and abbreviation of chemistry name can be applied for common and clear used, for example, completely written butilic hydroxyl toluene (BHT) to be BHT hereinafter. **Metric measurement** use IS denomination, usage other system should follow the value of equivalent with the denomination of IS first mentioning. Abbreviations set of, like g, mg, mL, etc. do not follow by dot. Minus index (m⁻², L⁻¹, h⁻¹) suggested to be used, except in things like "per-plant" or "per-plot". **Equation of mathematics** does not always can be written

down in one column with text, in that case can be written separately. **Number** one to ten are expressed with words, except if it relates to measurement, while values above them written in number, except in early sentence. The fraction should be expressed in decimal. In the text, it should be used "%" rather than "percent". Avoid expressing ideas with complicated sentence and verbiage, and used efficient and effective sentence.

Title of the article should be written in compact, clear, and informative sentence, preferably not more than 20 words. Name of author(s) should be completely written. **Name and institution** address should also be completely written with street name and number (location), postal code, telephone number, facsimile number, and email address. Manuscript written by a group, author for correspondence along with address is required. First page of the manuscript is used for writing above information.

Abstract should not be more than 200 words. **Keywords** is about five words, covering scientific and local name (if any), research theme, and special methods which used; and sorted from A to Z. All important **abbreviations** must be defined at their first mention. **Running title** is about five words. **Introduction** is about 400-600 words, covering the background and aims of the research. **Materials and Methods** should emphasize on the procedures and data analysis. **Results and Discussion** should be written as a series of connecting sentences, however, for manuscript with long discussion should be divided into subtitles. Thorough discussion represents the causal effect mainly explains for why and how the results of the research were taken place, and do not only re-express the mentioned results in the form of sentences. **Concluding** sentence should be given at the end of the discussion. **Acknowledgments** are expressed in a brief; all sources of institutional, private and corporate financial support for the work must be fully acknowledged, and any potential conflicts of interest are noted.

Figures and Tables of maximum of three pages should be clearly presented. Title of a picture is written down below the picture, while title of a table is written above the table. Colored figures can only be accepted if the information in the manuscript can lose without those images; chart is preferred to use black and white images. Author could consign any picture or photo for the front cover, although it does not print in the manuscript. All images property of others should be mentioned source. **There is no appendix**, all data or data analysis are incorporated into Results and Discussions. For broad data, it can be displayed on the website as a supplement.

References Author-year citations are required. In the text give the authors name followed by the year of publication and arrange from oldest to newest and from A to Z. In citing an article written by two authors, both of them should be mentioned, however, for three and more authors only the first author is mentioned followed by et al., for example: Saharjo and Nurhayati (2006) or (Boonkerd 2003a, b, c; Sugiyarto 2004; El-Bana and Nijs 2005; Balagadde et al. 2008; Webb et al. 2008). Extent citation as shown with word "*cit*" should be avoided. Reference to unpublished data and personal communication should not appear in the list but should be cited in the text only (e.g., Rifai MA 2007, pers. com. (personal communication); Setyawan AD 2007, unpublished data). In the reference list, the references should be listed in an alphabetical order (better, if only 20 for research papers). Names of journals should be abbreviated. Always use the standard abbreviation of a journal's name according to the **ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations** (www.issn.org/2-22661-LTWA-online.php). The following examples are for guidance.

Journal:

Saharjo BH, Nurhayati AD. 2006. Domination and composition structure change at hemic peat natural regeneration following burning; a case study in Pelalawan, Riau Province. *Biodiversitas* 7: 154-158.

Book:

Rai MK, Carpinella C. 2006. Naturally Occurring Bioactive Compounds. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Chapter in book:

Webb CO, Cannon CH, Davies SJ. 2008. Ecological organization, biogeography, and the phylogenetic structure of rainforest tree communities. In: Carson W, Schnitzer S (eds) *Tropical Forest Community Ecology*. Wiley-Blackwell, New York.

Abstract:

Assaeed AM. 2007. Seed production and dispersal of *Rhazya stricta*. 50th annual symposium of the International Association for Vegetation Science, Swansea, UK, 23-27 July 2007.

Proceeding:

Alikodra HS. 2000. Biodiversity for development of local autonomous government. In: Setyawan AD, Sutarno (eds.) *Toward Mount Lawu National Park; Proceeding of National Seminary and Workshop on Biodiversity Conservation to Protect and Save Germplasm in Java Island*. Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, 17-20 July 2000. [Indonesian]

Thesis, Dissertation:

Sugiyarto. 2004. Soil Macro-invertebrates Diversity and Inter-Cropping Plants Productivity in Agroforestry System based on Sengon. [Dissertation]. Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. [Indonesian]

Information from internet:

Balagadde FK, Song H, Ozaki J, Collins CH, Barnet M, Arnold FH, Quake SR, You L. 2008. A synthetic *Escherichia coli* predator-prey ecosystem. *Mol Syst Biol* 4: 187. www.molecularsystemsbiology.com

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Cost-effective bacteria-based bionematicide formula to control root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne* spp. in tomato plants

IIS NUR ASYIAH^{1, *}, JEKTI PRIHATIN¹, AHDA DWI HASTUTI¹, SUGENG WINARSO²,
LENNY WIDJAYANTHI³, DWI NUGROHO⁴, KURNIAWAN FIRMANSYAH⁵,
ANKARDIANSYAH PANDU PRADANA⁶

¹Biology Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-331-330738, *email: iisnaza.fkip@unej.ac.id

²Soil Science Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

³Agricultural Extension Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

⁴Indonesian Coffee and Cocoa Research Institute. Jl. PB. Sudirman 90, Jember 68118, East Java, Indonesia

⁵Tiga Kreasi Bersama Limited Partnership (*Commanditaire Vennootschap*). Jl Sukowono No 144, Bondowoso 68263, East Java, Indonesia

⁶Plant Protection Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

Manuscript received: 20 April 2021. Revision accepted: 21 May 2021.

Abstract. *Asyiah IN, Prihatin J, Hastuti AD, Winarso S, Widjayanthi L, Nugroho D, Firmansyah K, Pradana AP. 2021. Cost-effective bacteria-based bionematicide formula to control root-knot nematode Meloidogyne spp. in tomato plants. Biodiversitas 22: 3256-3264.* The root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* spp. can infect and cause loss production in various horticultural plants, including tomatoes. In the previous study, we found 3 endophytic bacteria isolates and 1 rhizobacterium isolate that could control several plant-parasitic nematodes. In this study, we formulated these bionematicide isolates with cheap and environmentally friendly organic materials. The formula was fortified using several organic matters, vitamin sources, protein sources, and sugar sources. The research was conducted in an experimental land with a history of severe root-knot nematode infection. The analysis showed that there were 63.7 J2 *Meloidogyne* spp. per 100 ml of soil on the experimental land. The application was given at a time interval of 2 weeks at the concentration of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2%, with a dose of 100 ml per plant. As a negative control, the plant did not give any treatments, and as a positive control, the plant was given 5 g carbofuran per plant. The results revealed that treatment with 2% bionematicide formula concentration showed the best consistent result. This treatment increased canopy wet weight by 38.63% and root dry weight by 106.97% compared to negative control. The P4 treatment was also found effective to increase fruit weight by 33.61% and fruit diameter by 26.16% as compared to negative control. Increased plant growth in P4 treatment was closely related to the total of root-knot suppression and root damage intensity. In the P4 treatment, the total of root-knots and root damage intensities was 44.83% and 32.66%, respectively, compared to the negative control. This suppression also occurred in the nematode population and nematode eggs. In the P4 treatment, the total of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 in soil and root was lower by 60.74% and 66.24%, respectively, compared to the negative control. A similar phenomenon also occurred in the total of eggs, which was 79.40% lower than the total of eggs in the negative control. This study provides the latest information about a cost-effective bacteria-based bionematicide formula, which is effective in suppressing *Meloidogyne* spp. infection in tomato, and promotes the growth and development tomato plant.

Keywords: *Bacillus*, bionematicide, endophyte, field, *Pseudomonas dimunita*, rhizobacteria, tomato

INTRODUCTION

The root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* spp., is known to be a cosmopolite nematode that infects more than 2000 plant species (Subedi et al. 2020). In Indonesia, the root-knot nematodes have been reported to infect food, spice, plantation, and horticulture plants in various regions. Kurniawati et al. (2020) reported that *M. incognita* was found in celery plants in Bogor District, West Java, Indonesia. Moreover, Igensius and Syaifudin (2019) reported that *M. javanica* was identified to infect tomato plants in Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia. Tomato plants infected with *Meloidogyne* spp. showed a symptom of knots occurrence in the root. Knots are formed due to the physiological disruption in plants induced by root-knot nematode (Philbrick et al. 2020). The root that is the feeding site of *Meloidogyne* spp. can modify its cell, thereby increasing the cell size. The enlarged size is then

called the giant cell (Olmo et al. 2019). The occurrence of plant root-knot infected with *Meloidogyne* spp. causes the disruption of nutrient and water absorption systems from the soil. This phenomenon causes disrupted plant growth, followed by other symptoms, such as withering, stunting, and decreased yield (Collett et al. 2021). The yield loss due to the root-knot nematode infection is reported to vary depending on the nematode species that infected the host (Kayani and Mukhtar 2018). Different species and host varieties infected with *Meloidogyne* spp. can provide different yield losses. Mukhtar (2018) reported that the yield loss due to *Meloidogyne* spp. infection in tomato plant reaches up to 40%, while the severe infection leads to higher yield loss. The root-knot nematode infection can even cause total yield loss or mortality in host plants if it is followed by secondary infection, such as fungi or pathogenic bacteria (Beyan et al. 2019). Kumar et al. (2017) reported that the *Meloidogyne* spp. and *Fusarium*

oxysporum infections in tomato plants cause host mortality. A similar condition was also reported by Furusawa et al. (2019), who stated that the *Meloidogyne* spp. and *Ralstonia solanacearum* infections in tomatoes cause a total yield loss.

Various attempts have been made to control the root-knot nematodes in tomato plants. Nevertheless, *Meloidogyne* spp. infection in tomato land, mainly in conventional land owned by the farmer, has still become a problem that cannot be handled completely. Further. It is necessary to discover cheap, applicable, effective, and efficient solutions to overcome this problem. An effort that can be done is to develop a bionematicide formula with the active materials of antagonistic bacteria (Viljoen et al. 2019; Mosahaneh et al. 2021). In previous study, we found 8 bacterial isolates including 6 endophytic bacteria and 2 rhizobacteria. These bacteria were reported to be capable of controlling coffee plant root-lesion nematodes either in single-use (Asyiah et al. 2015; Asyiah et al. 2018) or in consortium form (Asyiah et al. 2020). The characterization results showed that the isolates produced protease and chitinase extracellular enzymes. In another way, eight isolates were also able to fix nitrogen and dissolve phosphate (Asyiah et al. 2015; Asyiah et al. 2018). Endophytic bacteria are defined as bacteria whose life cycle is partially or entirely exists in plant tissue without causing symptoms for their host plant (Latha et al. 2019). Meanwhile, rhizobacteria are bacteria that live in the plant rooting region (Goswami and Suresh 2020). Both endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria are reported to have a mutualism symbiosis with their host plant (Singh 2018). The host plant provides nutrients and niche for bacteria, while endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria produce secondary metabolites to protect the plant (Oleńska et al. 2020). Protease enzyme produced by bacteria has a vital role in controlling the root-knot nematodes. Protease can lyse the nematode body surface and cause mortality in nematodes (De Souza Gouveia et al. 2017). Moreover, chitinase enzyme also has a similar mechanism to that of protease because chitinase enzyme can cause mortality in nematodes (Soliman et al. 2019). The capability of endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria in nitrogen fixation and phosphate dissolution is also promoted growth in tomato plants. Yavarian et al. (2021) reported that nitrogen fixating bacteria in tomatoes effectively increased plant growth. In a separate report, Zhang et al. (2017) reported that phosphate dissolving bacteria from *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Bacillus* sp. genera could also promote tomato plant growth either in the greenhouse or in field.

The bacteria discovered are required to be formulated to simplify the application in the field by farmers, increase the preservation period, and to maintain their effectiveness. The endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria formulation can be done in liquid form or in compost-enriched bacteria (Patel et al. 2021). Soumare et al. (2020) reported that the essential condition in antagonistic bacteria formulation was sufficient nutrient availability during the preservation process and formula capability to maintain the viability of the bacterial cells during the preservation period. In general, bacteria will provide a higher preservation

capability in liquid formulation. The availability of abundant water can be additional nutritious material and a cell protectant that is more abundant and evenly. Moreover, a formula in liquid form is also reported to be more sustainable against temperature stress during the preservation period or distribution process (Fasusi et al. 2021). In a separate report, Chaudhary et al. (2020) stated that the antagonistic bacteria formula should fulfill several criteria, such as (i) providing a suitable micro-environment for microbes; (ii) having physical and chemical characteristics to support during the preservation period; (iii) organizable pH carrying media; (iv) stable during the preservation period; (v) allowing rapid and controlled release of bacteria; (vi) economical and environmentally friendly. The carrying materials that may be used in the antagonistic bacteria formulation are peat, coal, clays, lignite, inorganic soil, charcoal, composts, plant waste materials, and other organic materials (Çakmakçı 2019). The effectiveness of bacteria formula as bio nematicides have been reported by several researchers. Pradana (2016) reported that compost-enriched endophytic bacteria were effective in controlling the *Meloidogyne* spp. root-knot nematode in the tomato plants. Nagachandrabose (2018) also reported that the liquid formula composed of molasses mixture and antagonistic bacteria effectively controlled root-knot nematodes in carrot plants. In this study, we formulated endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria that were previously isolated, identified, and characterized using liquid carrying material fortified with the organic materials. The formula was then tested for its effectiveness in controlling the *Meloidogyne* spp. in tomato field.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and research period

The study was performed from October 2020 to January 2021 in tomato infected root-knot nematodes land owned by the farmers in Sumber Ketempah Village, Jember District, East Java, Indonesia.

Bacterial isolates

The bacterial isolates used were: 3 endophytic bacteria and 1 rhizobacteria belong to two genera, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* (Table 1). All bacteria were identified and characterized in the previous study. Each isolate was tested for its compatibility, finding that all isolates were compatible to be combined in a consortium (Asyiah et al. 2015; Asyiah et al. 2018).

Table 1. Bacterial isolates used in the study

Isolate code	Bacterial species	Status	Reference
SK07	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Endophyte	(Asyiah et al. 2015; 2018)
SK14	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Endophyte	
KB14	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Endophyte	
PD01	<i>Pseudomonas dimunita</i>	Rhizobacteria	

Table 2. Physical characteristics of the experimental land

Fraction	Diameter (μm)	Percentage (%)	Total percentage (%)
Sand	>1000	18.65	62.1
	500-1000	6.25	
	200-500	19.36	
	100-200	12.44	
	50-100	5.40	
Dust	20-50	6.35	26.83
	10-20	3.81	
	2-10	16.67	
Clay	0.05-2	3.20	11.07
	0-0.05	7.87	

Cost-effective bionematicide formulation

The bacterial consortium suspension was produced using Bean Sprout Extract Broth (BSEB). 200 g of bean sprout was boiled in 1000 ml of aquadest to make BSEB broth. The suspension was then filtered by separating the bean sprout from its extract. The bean sprout extract was mixed with 20 g sugar and sterilized with an autoclave (Imi et al. 2019).

One Ose from each isolate was cultured in 250 ml Bean Sprout Extract Broth (BSEB) in Erlenmeyer flask for 48 hours at 30°C and rotated at 300 rpm. The total of Erlenmeyer flask used was 30 flasks, therefore obtained 7500 ml bacterial consortium suspension.

As carrying materials, wet cow manure, vitamins, amino acids, and sugar were used with the detailed composition, which was the confidential trading condition of *Tiga Kreasi Bersama Limited Partnership (Commanditaire Vennootschap)*, Indonesia. All materials were then mixed with 1000 L water equipped with a filtered air pump to prevent anaerobic conditions. The 7500 ml bacterial consortium suspension was then mixed with 1000 L carrying materials. After mixing, the bacterial consortium was then incubated in the following formula for 30 days before being used. The suspension formed after 30 days of incubation was then called a bionematicide.

Experimental land condition

The land used for the experiment was analyzed for its physical, chemical, and biological characteristics. The texture of 10 fractions was observed on the initial experiment using the pipetting and soil fraction percentage calculation methods. Based on the fraction percentage analysis, physical characteristics of soil is presented in Table 2.

The chemical characteristics of soil observed in the initial experiment were organic-C, total N, available P, available K, and pH H₂O levels. The chemical characteristics of soil are presented in Table 3.

Furthermore, biological characteristic of soil was observed by the population of root-knot nematode J2. From 20 sampling points, it was recorded that 63.7 of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 root-knot nematode averagely existed per 100 ml soil in the land used for the experiment.

Field experiment

The SL 283 tomato variety was used in the experiment. This variety was mainly planted by farmers in Jember District, East Java, Indonesia, and was reported to be susceptible to the root-knot nematode infection. The tomato seeds with 4 true leaves were taken into the land and planted on beds covered with plastic mulch. Planting was performed in a randomized design with 6 treatments and 5 replications. Each replication contained 16 experimental plants, therefore, total 480 whole tomatoes were planted in the experiment. Carbofuran active compound-based nematicide was used as positive control and no nematicide materials were used in negative control (Liu et al. 2020). In detail, the treatments used in this study are presented in Table 4.

The K+ treatment was only applied once on the initial planting, while the P1, P2, P3, and P4 treatments were applied once in 2 weeks for 3 months. The dose applied for P1 to P4 treatments was 100 ml per plant. Moreover, tomato plants in all treatments were fertilizer based on the dose recommended for tomato plant fertilization. After being planted, the tomato plants were maintained for 3 months until bearing fruit.

Variables observed were plant height, canopy wet weight, root wet weight, canopy dry weight, root dry weight, total of knots in roots, root damage intensity, total of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 in soil and root, total of *Meloidogyne* spp. eggs, weight per tomato fruit, and total of fruits per plant. All variables were observed in the final study. The root damage intensity was calculated based on the root damage scale according to Zeck scale (Giné and Sorribas 2017). Furthermore, the total of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 was calculated by extracting all nematodes with white head-tray method in soil and condensation method in the root (Bell and Watson 2001). The extracted nematodes were then calculated their population and the occurrence percentage of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2. The calculation result was then used to convert the total of J2 in soil and in the root. In addition, the extraction of nematode eggs from roots was performed using 2% sodium hypochlorite following the protocols described by Kayani and Mukhtar (2018).

Table 3. Chemical characteristics of soil in experimental land

Characteristics	Value
Organic-C	0.89 g 100 g ⁻¹
Nitrogen	0.1 g 100 g ⁻¹
C/N Ratio	9
P ₂ O ₅	56 mg 1000 g ⁻¹
Morgan K ₂ O	529 ppm
pH H ₂ O	6.4

Table 4. Treatments used in field experiment

Treatment code	Note
K-	Without additional materials
K+	5 g carbofuran per plant on the initial planting
P1	0.5% bionematicide formula
P2	1% bionematicide formula
P3	1.5% bionematicide formula
P4	2% bionematicide formula

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), if there was a difference, the analysis was continued using a continuous test following the Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) method with 95% degree of confidence. The analysis was performed using the *DSAASTAT version 1.101* program (Munif et al. 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Tomato plant growth

The result exhibited that tomato plants treated with various concentrations of cost-effective bacteria-based bionematicides, showed varied growth. Plants treated with the bionematicide formula showed 5.66% to 6.58% higher plant height compared to K-, which was statistically insignificant. In the canopy wet weight variable, P4 treatment showed the best performance. The average weight of wet canopy in P4 treatment was 101.9 g, which was 38.63% higher than that of K-. Statistically, only P4 treatment showed a significant difference compared to the K- and other treatments. The observation of canopy dry weight variable showed that there was an insignificant difference among treatments. Although the canopy dry weight treated with the bionematicide formulas notified the value of 8.66% to 45.11% higher than the K-, and had statistically insignificant differences.

Furthermore, in root wet weight, all bionematicide formulas had insignificant differences. Although the bionematicide formula application generally increases the root wet weight, these treatments were statistically insignificant. The root wet weight showed an insignificant

performance, the application of bionematicide formula could significantly increase the root dry weight. The root dry weight of P1, P2, P3, and P4 treatments were 2.26 g, 2.26 g, 2.488 g and 2.67 g, respectively. Whereas in K- and K+ treatment the average root dry weight was 1.29 g and 1.39 g, respectively. The higher root dry weight was noted as 75.19% each in (P1 and P2 treatments) and 92.24% in P3 treatment and 106.97% in P4 treatment than K-. The average data of tomato plant growth in various treatments are presented in Table 5.

Tomato plant production

Tomato production in plants treated with the various concentrations of bionematicide formula showed different results. The K+, P1, P2, and P3 treatments were insignificantly different from K-treatment in the fruit weight variable. Plants treated with the P4 treatment showed significantly different fruit weight than K- and K+ treatments. The average fruit weight in plants treated with the P4 treatment was 34.54 g, which indicates a difference of 33.61% compared to K-.

The fruit diameter variable also had a similar pattern to the fruit weight. Plants with K+, P1, P2, and P3 treatments were insignificantly different from K-. In P4 treated plants, the average diameter of fruit was 4.34 cm, which was 26.16% higher than K-. The P4 treatment was the only treatment that obtained a significantly different value compared to K-.

All treatments showed significant difference in total fruit per plant. In P1 to P4 treatments, the total fruit difference was 3.57% to 31.25% higher than the K- that had statistically insignificant difference. Moreover, the average fruit weight, fruit diameter, and total of fruit per plant is presented in Table 6.

Table 5. Average of tomato plant growth

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Canopy wet weight (g)	Canopy dry weight (g)	Root wet weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)
K-	61.80 ^a ± 4.29	73.50 ^a ± 11.83	7.27 ^a ± 0.67	30.50 ^a ± 10.44	1.29 ^a ± 0.53
K+	62.63 ^a ± 9.63	73.22 ^a ± 14.39	7.26 ^a ± 2.02	30.64 ^a ± 3.51	1.39 ^a ± 0.31
P1	65.30 ^a ± 14.74	73.58 ^a ± 10.11	7.90 ^a ± 1.72	30.01 ^a ± 5.71	2.26 ^b ± 0.67
P2	65.75 ^a ± 11.34	73.64 ^a ± 12.43	8.81 ^a ± 1.50	33.84 ^a ± 8.05	2.26 ^b ± 0.59
P3	65.42 ^a ± 7.59	73.77 ^a ± 9.04	10.40 ^a ± 3.47	33.33 ^a ± 6.93	2.48 ^b ± 0.55
P4	65.87 ^a ± 13.60	101.90 ^b ± 30.59	10.55 ^a ± 4.57	36.57 ^a ± 1.61	2.67 ^b ± 0.85

Note: Numbers in the column followed by the same letter were insignificantly different at the p-value of 0.05 (Duncan Multiple Range Test).

Table 6. Average of tomato plant production

Treatments	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Total of fruits per plant
K-	25.85 ^a ± 2.57	3.44 ^a ± 0.32	22.40 ^a ± 4.04
K+	25.84 ^a ± 4.11	3.55 ^a ± 0.49	22.80 ^a ± 4.97
P1	27.31 ^a ± 3.65	3.77 ^{ab} ± 0.30	23.20 ^a ± 3.96
P2	27.51 ^a ± 1.89	3.83 ^{ab} ± 0.49	25.00 ^a ± 5.83
P3	29.21 ^{ab} ± 7.60	3.83 ^{ab} ± 0.41	26.40 ^a ± 5.37
P4	34.54 ^b ± 0.92	4.34 ^b ± 0.38	29.40 ^a ± 5.37

Note: Numbers in the column followed by the same letter were insignificantly different at p-value of 0.05 (Duncan Multiple Range Test).

Nematode infection and population

The application of bionematicide formula in tomato plants showed various responses in the pathological variable. The bionematicide formula application with 2% concentration (P4) suppressed the total of root-knots significantly. In P1 to P3 treatments, averages of root-knots decreased from 14.30% to 39.72% compared to K-, had a statistically insignificant difference. In the P4 treatment, the average of root-knots was 61.36, recorded 44.83% lower than K-treatment.

A similar pattern was also shown in the root damage intensity variable. In root damage intensity, K+, P1, P2, and P3 treatments had insignificant difference compared to K-. The bionematicide formula treatment with 0.5% to 1.5% doses exhibited lower damage intensity values than K-and statistically insignificant. In P4 treatment, the average root damage intensity value was 2.02, and 32.66% lower than K-. The P4 treatment became the only treatment that obtained a significant difference to K-in the root damage intensity value. The average of the total root-knots and root damage intensity in each treatment is presented in Table 7.

In the total of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 in soil, P3 and P4 treatments significantly decrease J2 population in soil compared to K-. The K+, P1, and P2 treatments showed an insignificant difference compared to K-. In P3 treatment, the average population of *Meloidogyne* spp. in soil was 23.94, while in P4 treatment it was 21.42. Compared to K- (54.56), the total of nematodes was found to be lower in P3 and P4 56.12% and 60.74%, respectively.

In the total of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 observation in the root, K+ and P1 treatments were insignificantly from K-.

Treatments that were significantly different from the K- (31.11) were the P2 (24.33), P3 (17.17), and P4 (10.50). In P2, P3, and P4 treatments, the average of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 population in plant root was lower 22.14%, 44.80%, and 66.24% than K-, respectively. Moreover, results of total *Meloidogyne* spp. eggs per 5 g tomato root showed that K+ and P1 treatments were insignificantly different from K-. The P2 treatment was significantly different from K-, but insignificantly different from K+. Treatments that showed a significantly different result from K-and K+ were P3 and P4. If compared to K-(141.67), the average of nematode eggs in P3 (28.67) and P4 (29.17) treatments were lower at 79.76% and 79.40%. Furthermore, data related to the average total of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 in soil and in the root, and the average total of *Meloidogyne* spp. eggs in each treatment are presented in Figure 1.

Table 7. Total of knots and root damage intensity in various treatments

Treatments	Total of root-knots	Root damage intensity
K-	111.22 ^b ± 15.21	3.00 ^b ± 0.63
K+	112.12 ^b ± 30.22	2.93 ^b ± 0.86
P1	95.31 ^{ab} ± 33.06	2.74 ^{ab} ± 0.91
P2	96.47 ^{ab} ± 54.10	2.45 ^{ab} ± 0.61
P3	67.04 ^{ab} ± 17.42	2.26 ^{ab} ± 0.84
P4	61.63 ^a ± 15.86	2.02 ^a ± 0.64

Note: Numbers in the column followed by the same letter were insignificantly different at p-value of 0.05 (Duncan Multiple Range Test).




Figure 1. Average of (A) J2 *Meloidogyne* spp. in soil; (B) *Meloidogyne* spp. in root; and (C) *Meloidogyne* spp. eggs in root

Discussion

Plant growth is commonly affected by internal and external factors. One of the external factors that mainly contribute to plant growth is nutrient availability (Davidson and Gu 2012). The plant height, canopy dry weight, and root wet weight variables showed an insignificant result. This phenomenon is thought to be due to the influence of chemical fertilizers application. The application of chemical fertilizers causes the plant's nutritional needs to be met so that the influence of bacteria in increasing plant growth is not well expressed (Timsina 2018). The synthetic chemical fertilizer provides nutrients that the plants could use directly (Kopittke et al. 2019). Nevertheless, treatments in the present study recorded a significant increase in canopy wet weight and root dry weight. This increase was due to the physiological activity of bacteria in the bionematicide formula (Mehmood et al. 2018).

Tariq et al. (2017) reported that rhizobacteria had dual roles as plant protector agent and plant growth promoter. In a separate study, Afzal et al. (2019) also reported that endophytic bacteria could induce plant growth. Both rhizobacteria and endophytic bacteria had similar mechanisms in promoting plant growth. Both were reported to produce Indole-acetic acid (IAA), or commonly known as auxin. The auxin hormone plays an essential role in plant growth (Li et al. 2016). Kunkel and Harper (2018) reported that auxin phytohormone could induce root growth, regulate cell enlargement, promote plant cell elongation, increase apical dominance and xylem differentiation. Auxin is primarily found in seed embryos and meristematic tissue that grow actively, such as plant sprouts, root tips, and twig/leaf tip (Casanova-Sáez et al. 2021). In a separate study, Wagi and Ahmed (2019) reported that bacteria from the *Bacillus* sp. group could produce auxin and promote plant growth. Besides, bacteria from *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Serratia* sp. groups were also reported to produce IAA (Kudoyarova et al. 2017). The IAA production by bacteria was reported in various numbers, depending on the bacteria types and their environment (Çakmakçı et al. 2020).

In this study, the application of bionematicide formula with the active ingredients of rhizobacteria and endophytic bacteria was also able to enhance the results. The fruit weight and diameter in plants treated with P4 treatment showed the best and significantly different results than other treatments. Liu et al. (2017) reported that the biological agent from the bacteria group had several mechanisms to increase plant growth. *Bacillus* sp. and *Pseudomonas* sp. were reported as bacteria with good nitrogen fixation capability (Santoyo et al. 2016). Nitrogen is an essential nutrient element required by plants for growth and development (Leghari et al. 2016). Also, the biological agent can release P bound with Al and Fe in soil. In some cases, P occurs in soil but cannot be utilized by plants due to binding to other elements (Redel et al. 2016). The biological agent was reported to produce a phosphatase enzyme that could release P bound from other elements (Vejan et al. 2016; Divjot et al. 2021). P element is one of the essential nutrient elements that contribute to flowering and fruit formation in plants. Plants lacking P element were

reported to have reduced fruit production, or the fruit production was far from the genetic potential (Kapoor et al. 2004). Increased tomato production in plants treated with bionematicide formula was closely related to the infection level in the root. Plants treated with bionematicide formula application at 2% dose showed a lower total of root-knots and root damage intensity than the control plant. Berendsen et al. (2012) reported that the health rooting system was a critical success in plant production. A similar condition was also reported by Munif et al. (2019), who stated that tomato plants with health rooting systems showed 32% to 78% higher results than the plant infected by *Meloidogyne* spp. The nutrient element and water absorptions in healthy plant roots allow the plant to have better metabolism, producing more fruits (Na et al. 2017).

The suppression of total root-knots and root damage intensity in plants is closely related to the suppression of the nematode population in soil and roots. The present investigation revealed that the rhizosphere and plant roots treated with bionematicide formula resulted in a lower total of nematodes in soil and root than the control plants. Several studies reported that suppression of total nematodes in soil and root was closely related to the physiological activity of bacteria (Tran et al. 2019). Rhizobacteria and endophytic bacteria can directly or indirectly suppress the nematode population. Directly, both bacteria can produce extracellular enzymes, such as protease and chitinase (Wiratno et al. 2019). Chitinase is an enzyme that catalyzes chitin hydrolytic degradation as a linear polymer composed of β -1,4-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) monomers that are widely distributed in nature. This enzyme was reported to be capable of lysing the outer nematode structure composed of chitin (Jha and Modi 2018). Chitinase is involved in inducing plant resistance against plant pathogen attack. The chitinase enzyme activity in suppressing the plant-parasitic nematodes has been reported by Gupta et al. (2017) and Kassab et al. (2017). Besides chitinase, another enzyme that contributes to suppress *Meloidogyne* spp. population in the soil is protease. Protease enzyme was reported to be capable of degrading root-knot nematode cuticle and eggs that are composed of proteins (De Souza Gouveia et al. 2017; Gomes et al. 2019). Safni et al. (2018) reported that bacteria with the capability of producing chitinase and protease enzymes could *in vitro* lyse *Meloidogyne* spp. nematode stylet.

Liu et al. (2020) stated that bacteria from *Bacillus* genus effectively suppressed root-knot nematodes in soil in the greenhouse experiment. Nishantha et al. (2018) reported that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* effectively suppressed total of root-knots and root damage intensity due to *Meloidogyne* spp. infection. Another study reported that the biological agent from rhizobacteria and endophytic bacteria groups could suppress the total of nematode eggs in tomato plant rooting system (Mardhiana et al. 2017; Viljoen et al. 2019).

This study concluded that the application of cost-effective bacteria-based bionematicide formula is effective at 2% concentration and 100 ml per plant dose on once in 2 weeks interval, which can suppress the population of root-

knot nematode in soil and root and total of root-knot nematode eggs. This suppression depends on the total of knots formed and root damage intensity in tomato plants. Decreased pathological variables are correlated with the increased growth, as presented from the increased canopy wet weight, root dry weight, fruit weight, and fruit diameter.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education, Indonesian Ministry of Finance (*LPDP Kemenkeu RI*) through the *RISPRO LPDP* grant scheme with the contract number of PRJ-38/LPDP/2020.

REFERENCES

- Afzal I, Shinwari ZK, Sikandar S, Shahzad S. 2019. Plant beneficial endophytic bacteria: mechanisms, diversity, host range and genetic determinants. *Microbiol Res* 221: 36-49. DOI: 10.1016/j.micres.2019.02.001.
- Asyiah I, Wiryadiputra S, Fauzi I, Harni R. 2015. Populasi *Pratylenchus coffeae* (Z) dan pertumbuhan bibit kopi Arabika akibat inokulasi *Pseudomonas diminuta* L. dan *Bacillus subtilis* (C.). *Pelita Perkebunan* 31 (1): 30-40. DOI: 10.22302/iccri.jur.pelitaperkebunan.v31i1.77. [Indonesian]
- Asyiah IN, Mudakir I, Hoesain M, Pradana AP, Djunaidy A, Sari RF. 2020. Consortium of endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria effectively suppresses the population of *Pratylenchus coffeae* and promotes the growth of Robusta coffee. *Biodiversitas* 21 (10): 4702-4708. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d211032.
- Asyiah IN, Soekarto S, Hoesain M, Iqbal M, Hindersah R, Narulita E, Mudakir I. 2018. The endophytic bacteria isolation as biological control agent of *Pratylenchus coffeae*. *Asian J Microbiol Biotechnol Environ Sci* 20 (1): 165-171.
- Bell N, Watson R. 2001. Optimising the whitehead and hemming tray method to extract plant-parasitic and other nematodes from two soils under pasture. *Nematol* 3 (2): 179-185. DOI: 10.1163/156854101750236312.
- Berendsen RL, Pieterse CM, Bakker PA. 2012. The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. *Trends Plant Sci* 17 (8): 478-486. DOI: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001.
- Beyan A, Seid A, Shifa H. 2019. Response of tomato genotypes to *Meloidogyne javanica* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* co-infestation under glasshouse conditions. *Pak J Nematol* 37: 63-82. DOI: 10.18681/pjn.v37.i01.p63-82.
- Çakmakçı R. 2019. A review of biological fertilizers current use, new approaches, and future perspectives. *Int J Innov Sci Eng Technol* 5 (7): 83-92.
- Çakmakçı R, Mosber G, Milton AH, Alaturk F, Ali B. 2020. The effect of auxin and auxin-producing bacteria on the growth, essential oil yield, and composition in medicinal and aromatic plants. *Cur Microbiol* 77 (4): 564-577. DOI: 10.1007/s00284-020-01917-4.
- Casanova-Sáez R, Mateo-Bonmatí E, Ljung K. 2021. Auxin metabolism in plants. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 13 (3): a039867. DOI: 10.1101/cshperspect.a039867.
- Chaudhary T, Dixit M, Gera R, Shukla AK, Prakash A, Gupta G, Shukla P. 2020. Techniques for improving formulations of bioinoculants. *3 Biotech* 10 (5): 1-9. DOI: 10.1007/s13205-020-02182-9.
- Collett RL, Marais M, Daneel M, Rashidifard M, Fourie H. 2021. *Meloidogyne enterolobii*, a threat to crop production with particular reference to sub-Saharan Africa: an extensive, critical and updated review. *Nematol* 23 (3): 247-285. DOI: 10.1163/15685411-bja10076.
- Davidson D, Gu FX. 2012. Materials for sustained and controlled release of nutrients and molecules to support plant growth. *J Agri Food Chem* 60 (4): 870-876. DOI: 10.1021/jf204092h.
- de Souza Gouveia A, de Freitas Soares FE, Morgan T, Sufiate BL, Tavares GP, Braga FR, Monteiro TSA, Genièr HLA, de Freitas LG, de Queiroz JH. 2017. Enhanced production of *Monacrosporium thumasi* protease and destruction action on root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne javanica* eggs. *Rhizosphere* 3: 13-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.rhisph.2016.12.001.
- Divjot K, Rana KL, Tanvir K, Yadav N, Yadav AN, Kumar M, Kumar V, Dhaliwal HS, Saxena AK. 2021. Biodiversity, current developments and potential biotechnological applications of phosphorus-solubilizing and-mobilizing microbes: a review. *Pedosphere* 31 (1): 43-75. DOI: 10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60057-1.
- Fasusi OA, Cruz C, Babalola OO. 2021. Agricultural sustainability: microbial biofertilizers in rhizosphere management. *Agriculture* 11 (2): 163. DOI: 10.3390/agriculture11020163.
- Furusawa A, Uehara T, Ikeda K, Sakai H, Tateishi Y, Sakai M, Nakaho K. 2019. *Ralstonia solanacearum* colonization of tomato roots infected by *Meloidogyne incognita*. *J Phytopathol* 167 (6): 338-343. DOI: 10.1111/jph.12804.
- Giné A, Sorribas FJ. 2017. Effect of plant resistance and BioAct WG (*Purpureocillium lilacinum* strain 251) on *Meloidogyne incognita* in a tomato-cucumber rotation in a greenhouse. *Pest Manag Sci* 73 (5): 880-887. DOI: 10.1002/ps.4357.
- Gomes E, Soares F, Souza D, Lima L, Sufiate B, Ferreira T, Queiroz J. 2019. Role of *Synadenium grantii* latex proteases in nematocidal activity on *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Panagrellus redivivus*. *Braz J Biol* 79 (4): 665-668. DOI: 10.1590/1519-6984.188129.
- Goswami M, Suresh D. 2020. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria—alleviators of abiotic stresses in soil: a review. *Pedosphere* 30 (1): 40-61. DOI: 10.1016/S1002-0160(19)60839-8.
- Gupta R, Singh A, Ajayakumar P, Pandey R. 2017. Chitinolytic microbes confer *Meloidogyne incognita* resistance and augment secondary metabolites in *Bacopa monnieri* (L.) Pennell. *Arch Phytopathol Pflanzenschutz* 50 (3-4): 178-196. DOI: 10.1080/03235408.2017.1285522.
- Igensius B, Syaifudin EA. 2019. Identifikasi spesies nematoda *Meloidogyne* sp pada tanaman tomat (*Solanum lycopersicum* L) dan seledri (*Apium graveolens* L) di Samarinda. *Jurnal Agroekoteknologi Tropika* Lembab 1 (2): 136-143. DOI: 10.35941/jat1.1.2.2019.1980.136-143. [Indonesian]
- Ilimi M, Putri L, Muhamad A, Cholishoh A, Ardiansyah S. 2019. Use of mung bean sprout (tauge) as alternative fungal growth medium. *J Phys: Conf Ser* 1241 (1): 012015. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/1241/1/012015.
- Jha S, Modi H. 2018. Statistical optimization of chitinase production by *Streptomyces rubiginosus* SP24 and efficacy of purified chitinase to control root-knot nematode infection in *Vigna radiata* under controlled conditions. *Chem Biol Technol Agric* 5 (1): 1-13. DOI: 10.1186/s40538-018-0133-0.
- Kapoor R, Giri B, Mukerji KG. 2004. Improved growth and essential oil yield and quality in *Foeniculum vulgare* mill on mycorrhizal inoculation supplemented with P-fertilizer. *Bioresour Technol* 93 (3): 307-311. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2003.10.028.
- Kassab S, Eissa M, Badr U, Ismail A, Abdel Razik A, Soliman G. 2017. Nematicidal effect of a wild type of *Serratia marcescens* and its mutants against *Meloidogyne incognita* juveniles. *Egypt J Agronematol* 16 (2): 95-114. DOI: 10.21608/ejag.2017.56204.
- Kayani MZ, Mukhtar T. 2018. Reproductivity of *Meloidogyne incognita* on fifteen Cucumber cultivars. *Pak J Zool* 50 (4). DOI: 10.17582/journal.pjz/2018.50.5.1717.1722.
- Kopitke PM, Lombi E, Wang P, Schjoerring JK, Husted S. 2019. Nanomaterials as fertilizers for improving plant mineral nutrition and environmental outcomes. *Environ Sci Nano* 6 (12): 3513-3524. DOI: 10.1039/C9EN00971J.
- Kudoyarova GR, Vysotskaya LB, Arkhipova TN, Kuzmina LY, Galimsyanova NF, Sidorova LV, Gabbasova IM, Melentiev AI, Veselov SY. 2017. Effect of auxin producing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on mobility of soil phosphorus, growth rate, and P acquisition by wheat plants. *Acta Physiol Plant* 39 (11): 1-8. DOI: 10.1007/s11738-017-2556-9.
- Kumar N, Bhatt J, Sharma RL. 2017. Interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* on Tomato. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci* 6: 1770-1776. DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2017.608.209.
- Kunkel BN, Harper CP. 2018. The roles of auxin during interactions between bacterial plant pathogens and their hosts. *J Exp Bot* 69 (2): 245-254. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erx447.
- Kurniawati F, Nursipa NT, Munif A. 2020. Nematoda parasit pada seledri (*Apium graveolens* L.) dan pengendaliannya menggunakan bakteri

- endofit secara in vitro. *Agrovigor* 13 (1): 70-81. DOI: 10.21107/agrovigor.v13i1.6304. [Indonesian]
- Latha P, Karthikeyan M, Rajeswari E. 2019. Endophytic bacteria: prospects and applications for the plant disease management. In *Plant Health Under Biotic Stress*, Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-13-6040-41.
- Laghari SJ, Wahocho NA, Laghari GM, HafeezLaghari A, MustafaBhabhan G, HussainTalpur K, Bhutto TA, Wahocho SA, Lashari AA. 2016. Role of nitrogen for plant growth and development: a review. *Adv Environ Biol* 10 (9): 209-219.
- Li S-B, Xie Z-Z, Hu C-G, Zhang J-Z. 2016. A review of auxin response factors (ARFs) in plants. *Front Plant Sci* 7: 47. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00047.
- Liu G, Lin X, Xu S, Liu G, Liu F, Mu W. 2020. Screening, identification and application of soil bacteria with nematicidal activity against root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) on tomato. *Pest Manag Sci* 76 (6): 2217-2224. DOI: 10.1002/ps.5759.
- Liu H, Carvalhais LC, Crawford M, Singh E, Dennis PG, Pieterse CM, Schenk PM. 2017. Inner plant values: diversity, colonization and benefits from endophytic bacteria. *Front Microbiol* 8: 2552. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02552.
- Mardhiana M, Pradana AP, Adiwena M, Santoso D, Wijaya R, Murtlaksono A. 2017. Use of endophytic bacteria from roots of *Cyperus rotundus* for biocontrol of *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Biodiversitas* 18 (4): 1308-1315. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d180404.
- Mehmood U, Inam-ul-Haq M, Saeed M, Altaf A, Azam F, Hayat S. 2018. A brief review on plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): a key role in plant growth promotion. *Plant Prot* 2 (2): 77-82.
- Mosahaneh L, Charehngani H, Abdollahi M, Rezaei R. 2021. Biological control agents in the management of different initial population densities of *Meloidogyne javanica* in tomato. *Acta Phytopathol Entomol Hung* 55 (2): 151-159. DOI: 10.1556/038.55.2020.016.
- Mukhtar T. 2018. Management of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, in tomato with two *Trichoderma* species. *Pak J Zool* 50 (4): 1589-1592. DOI: 10.17582/journal.pjz/2018.50.4.sc15.
- Munif A, Supramana S, Herliyana EN, Pradana AP. 2019. Endophytic bacterial consortium originated from forestry plant roots and their nematicidal activity against *Meloidogyne incognita* infestation in greenhouse. *Acta Univ Agric et Silvica Mendelianae Brun* 67 (5): 1171-1182. DOI: 10.11118/actaun201967051171.
- Na J, Hui X, Li W-j, Wang X-y, Qian L, Liu S-s, Pei L, Zhao J-l, Heng J. 2017. Field evaluation of *Streptomyces rubrogriseus* HDZ-9-47 for biocontrol of *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato. *J Integr Agric* 16 (6): 1347-1357. DOI: 10.1016/S2095-3119(16)61553-8.
- Nagachandrabose S. 2018. Liquid bioformulations for the management of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne hapla* that infects carrot. *Crop Prot* 114: 155-161. DOI: 10.1016/j.cropro.2018.08.022.
- Nishantha K, Jayasiri H, Herath H, Nugaliyadde M, Dissanayake M, De Costa DM. 2018. Antagonistic effect of eight Sri Lankan isolates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on *Meloidogyne incognita* in tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum*. *Int J Environ Agric Biotech* 3 (6): 1980-1986. DOI: 10.22161/ijeab/3.6.4.
- Oleńska E, Małek W, Wójcik M, Swiecicka I, Thijs S, Vangronsveld J. 2020. Beneficial features of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving plant growth and health in challenging conditions: a methodical review. *Sci Total Environ* 734: 140682. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140682.
- Olmo R, Cabrera J, Fenoll C, Escobar C. 2019. A role for ALF4 during gall and giant cell development in the biotic interaction between *Arabidopsis* and *Meloidogyne* spp. *Physiol Plant* 165 (1): 17-28. DOI: 10.1111/ppl.12734.
- Patel JS, Kumar G, Bajpai R, Teli B, Rashid M, Sarma BK. 2021. PGPR formulations and application in the management of pulse crop health. In *Biofertilizers*. Elsevier. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-821667-5.00012-9.
- Philbrick AN, Adhikari TB, Louws FJ, Gorny AM. 2020. *Meloidogyne enterolobii*, a major threat to tomato production: current status and future prospects for its management. *Front Plant Sci* 11 :606395. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2020.606395.
- Pradana A. 2016. Konsorsium bakteri endofit sebagai agens biokontrol nematoda puru akar *Meloidogyne incognita* pada tomat. [Thesis]. IPB University, Bogor. [Indonesian]
- Redel Y, Cartes P, Demanet R, Velásquez G, Poblete-Grant P, Bol R, Mora M. 2016. Assessment of phosphorus status influenced by Al and Fe compounds in volcanic grassland soils. *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 16 (2): 490-506. DOI: 10.4067/S0718-95162016005000041.
- Safni I, Lubis K, Tantawi AR, Murthi S. 2018. Isolation and characterization of rhizobacteria for biological control of root-knot nematodes in Indonesia. *J ISSAAS* 24: 67-81.
- Santoyo G, Moreno-Hagelsieb G, del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda M, Glick BR. 2016. Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. *Microbiol Res* 183: 92-99. DOI: 10.1016/j.micres.2015.11.008.
- Singh I. 2018. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and their various mechanisms for plant growth enhancement in stressful conditions: a review. *Eur J Biol Res* 8 (4): 191-213. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1455995.
- Soliman GM, Ameen HH, Abdel-Aziz SM, El-Sayed GM. 2019. In vitro evaluation of some isolated bacteria against the plant parasite nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Bull Natl Res Cent* 43 (1): 1-7. DOI: 10.1186/s42269-019-0200-0.
- Soumare A, Boubekri K, Lyamlouli K, Hafidi M, Ouhdouch Y, Kouisni L. 2020. From isolation of phosphate solubilizing microbes to their formulation and use as biofertilizers: status and needs. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 7: 425. DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2019.00425.
- Subedi S, Thapa B, Shrestha J. 2020. Root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) and its management: a review. *J Agric Nat Resour* 3 (2): 21-31. DOI: 10.3126/janr.v3i2.32298.
- Tariq N, Noman M, Ahmed T, Hameed A, Manzoor N, Zafar M. 2017. Antagonistic features displayed by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): a review. *J Plant Sci Phytopathol* 1: 38-43. DOI: 10.29328/journal.jpss.1001004.
- Timsina J. 2018. Can organic sources of nutrients increase crop yields to meet global food demand?. *Agronomy* 8 (10): 214. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy8100214.
- Tran TPH, Wang S-L, Nguyen VB, Tran DM, Nguyen DS, Nguyen AD. 2019. Study of novel endophytic bacteria for biocontrol of black pepper root-knot nematodes in the central highlands of Vietnam. *Agronomy* 9 (11): 714. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy9110714.
- Vejan P, Abdullah R, Khadiran T, Ismail S, Nasrulhaq Boyce A. 2016. Role of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in agricultural sustainability—a review. *Molecules* 21 (5): 573. DOI: 10.3390/molecules21050573.
- Viljoen JJ, Labuschagne N, Fourie H, Sikora RA. 2019. Biological control of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomatoes and carrots by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Trop Plant Pathol* 44 (3): 284-291. DOI: 10.1007/s40858-019-00283-2.
- Wagi S, Ahmed A. 2019. *Bacillus* spp.: potent microfactories of bacterial IAA. *PeerJ* 7: e7258. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7258.
- Wiratno W, Syakir M, Sucipto I, Pradana AP. 2019. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from roots of *Piper nigrum* and their activities against *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Biodiversitas* 20 (3): 682-687. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d200310.
- Yavarian S, Jafari P, Akbari N, Feizabadi MM. 2021. Selective screening and characterization of plant growth-promoting bacteria for growth enhancement of tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum*. *Iranian J Microbiol* 13 (1): 121-129. DOI: 10.18502/ijm.v13i1.5502.
- Zhang J, Wang P, Fang L, Zhang Q-A, Yan C, Chen J. 2017. Isolation and characterization of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria from mushroom residues and their effect on tomato plant growth promotion. *Polish J Microbiol* 66 (1): 57-65. DOI: 10.5604/17331331.1234993.

Cost-effective bacteria-based bionematicide formula to control the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne* spp. on tomato plants



PDF

Issue

Vol. 22 No. 6 (2021)

Section

Articles

IIS NUR ASYIAH

Biology Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

JEKTI PRIHATIN

Biology Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

AHDA DWI HASTUTI

Biology Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

SUGENG WINARSO

Soil Science Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

LENNY WIDJAYANTHI

Agricultural Extension Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

DWI NUGROHO

Indonesian Coffee and Cocoa Research Institute. Jl. PB. Sudirman 90, Jember 68118, East Java, Indonesia

KURNIAWAN FIRMANSYAH

Tiga Kreasi Bersama Limited Partnership (Commanditaire Vennootschap), Jl Sukowono No 144, Bondowoso 68263, East Java, Indonesia

ANKARDIANSYAH PANDU PRADANA

Plant Protection Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Jember. Jl. Kalimantan No. 37, Jember 68121, East Java, Indonesia

Abstract

Abstract. Asyiah IN, Prihatin J, Hastuti AD, Winarso S, Widjayanthi L, Nugroho D, Firmansyah K, Pradana AP. 2021. Cost-effective bacteria-based bionematicide formula to control root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne* spp. in tomato plants. *Biodiversitas* 22: 3256-3264.

The root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne* spp. can infect and cause loss production in various horticultural plants, including tomatoes. In the previous study, we found 3 endophytic bacteria isolates and 1 rhizobacterium isolate that could control several plant-parasitic nematodes. In this study, we formulated these bionematicide isolates with cheap and environmentally friendly organic materials. The formula was fortified using several organic matters, vitamin sources, protein sources, and sugar sources. The research was conducted in an experimental land with a history of severe root-knot nematode infection. The analysis showed that there were 63.7 J2 *Meloidogyne* spp. per 100 ml of soil on the experimental land. The application was given at a time interval of 2 weeks at the concentration of 0.5%, 1%, 1.5%, and 2%, with a dose of 100 ml per plant. As a negative control, the plant did not give any treatments, and as a positive control, the plant was given 5 g carbofuran per plant. The results revealed that treatment with 2% bionematicide formula concentration showed the best consistent result. This treatment increased canopy wet weight by 38.63% and root dry weight by 106.97% compared to negative control. The P4 treatment was also found effective to increase fruit weight by 33.61% and fruit diameter by 26.16% as compared to negative control. Increased plant growth in P4 treatment was closely related to the total of root-knot suppression and root damage intensity. In the P4 treatment, the total of root-knots and root damage intensities was 44.83% and 32.66%, respectively, compared to the negative control. This suppression also occurred in the nematode population and nematode eggs. In the P4 treatment, the total of *Meloidogyne* spp. J2 in soil and root was lower by 60.74% and 66.24%, respectively, compared to the negative control. A similar phenomenon also occurred in the total of eggs, which was 79.40% lower than the total of eggs in the negative control. This study provides the latest information about a cost-effective bacteria-based bionematicide formula, which is effective in suppressing *Meloidogyne* spp. infection in tomato, and promotes the growth and development tomato plant.

Information

For Readers

For Authors

For Librarians

Journals List

Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity

Nusantara Bioscience

Prosiding Seminar Nasional Masyarakat Biodiversitas Indonesia

Asian Journal of Agriculture

Asian Journal of Ethnobiology

Asian Journal of Forestry

Asian Journal of Natural Product Biochemistry

Asian Journal of Tropical Biotechnology

International Journal of Bonorowo Wetlands

Cell Biology and Development

Asia Pacific Journal of Ocean Life

International Journal of Tropical Drylands

Reviewers List

Reviewers

Visitor Statistics

Statistics



Most read articles by the same author(s)

- MARDHIANA MARDHIANA, ANKARDIANSYAH PANDU PRADANA, MUH ADIWENA, DWI SANTOSO, RIZZA WIJAYA, ADITYA MURTIKASONO, Use of endophytic bacteria from roots of *Cyperus rotundus* for biocontrol of *Meloidogyne incognita*, *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*: Vol. 18 No. 4 (2017)
- WIRATNO WIRATNO, MUHAMMAD SYAKIR, IRWANTO SUCIPTO, ANKARDIANSYAH PANDU PRADANA, Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from roots of *Piper nigrum* and their activities against *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Meloidogyne incognita*, *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*: Vol. 20 No. 3 (2019)
- IIS NUR ASYIAH, IMAM MUDAKIR, MOHAMMAD HOESAIN, ANKARDIANSYAH PANDU PRADANA, ACHMAD DJUNAIDY, RIZA FAHLEVIA SARI, Consortium of endophytic bacteria and rhizobacteria effectively suppresses the population of *Pratylenchus coffeae* and promotes the growth of Robusta coffee, *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*: Vol. 21 No. 10 (2020)
- MOHAMMAD HOESAIN, SIGIT PRASTOWO, SUHARTO, ANKARDIANSYAH PANDU PRADANA, IIS NUR ASYIAH, FARIZ KUSTIAWAN ALFARIZY, MUH. ADIWENA, Combination of plant growth-promoting bacteria and botanical pesticide increases organic red rice yield and reduces the *Leptocorisa acuta* population, *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*: Vol. 22 No. 4 (2021)
- Abdul Munif, Muhammad Nursalim, Ankardiansyah Pandu Pradana, The potential of endophytic bacteria isolated from *Tagetes* sp. to control *Meloidogyne* spp. infection on tomato plants, *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*: Vol. 22 No. 6 (2021)



Write With Confidence

Real-time suggestions whenever you write. Try Grammarly today


Grammarly

Learn More

Biodiversitas

COUNTRY

Indonesia




SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

- Agricultural and Biological Sciences
 - Animal Science and Zoology
 - Plant Science
- Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
 - Molecular Biology

PUBLISHER

Biology department, Sebelas Maret University Surakarta



H-INDEX

14

PUBLICATION TYPE

Journals

ISSN

1412033X, 20854722

COVERAGE


2014-2020

INFORMATION


- Homepage
- How to publish in this journal
- grahameagleton@gmail.com

SCOPE

"Biodiversitas, Journal of Biological Diversity" or Biodiversitas encourages submission of manuscripts dealing with all biodiversity aspects of plants, animals and microbes at the level of gene, species, and ecosystem.

 Join the conversation about this journal

Quartiles





Blockchain Explained

Blockchain Explained. See the potential of an emerging technology.


CuriosityStream

[Sign Up >](#)

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS

options

1 Diversity CHE 41% similarity	2 PeerJ USA 39% similarity	3 Biologia (Poland) DEU 37% similarity	4 Tropical Life Sciences Research MYS 33% similarity	5 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences India IND 32% similarity
--	--	--	--	--



Biodiversitas

Animal Science and Zoology

Q3 best quartile


SJR 2020 0.26

powered by scimagojr.com

Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagojr.com" >
```



Hello Mommy

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2021

Loading comments...

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on @ScimagoJR

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2020. Data Source: Scopus®

EST MODUS IN REBUS

Horatio (Galina 1.1.105)

