Finding the Truth Behind The Language

Muji[1]

[1]Universitas of Jember, Jember, Indonesia

Email: mujifkipunej@gmail.com [*]

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Finding the truth of the behavior expressed through language is not easy. It is due to the meaningless expression that the speaker tends to use. It often appears irrelevant as the first intention was A, but the meaning then referred to B, C, D or even E. Both spoken and written languages sometimes do not reflect the actions being done as in debate, interview, advertisement, and poster, the content does not match what the speaker tried to deliver. In other words, the meaning expressed or written is uncertain. Through the phenomenological research, it is found to be a problematic language. Through the act of understanding the meaning and its connection to people in certain situations, they could try to express a variety of language. Through the observation, interview and documentation, the data was found as (1) "Although this movie was produced based on a request, G30S / PKI betrayal film is not a cheap work. The film has a structured dramatism that combined the documentary and theatrical aspects. "(2)" Unrevealing the G30S / PKI Film Controversy in 1965, and 3 Eternal Facts "(3)" Which means that every person is able to talk about the 1965 incident and to make their own version of the story" said Seno. The word "order", "controversy" and "version" indicated as formal markers that those statements pointed to the G30S / PKI film which is doubted in term of its value (thematic domain {Spradley's term}). The data analysis in which strengthened by the language functions can be used to hide certain behaviors that someone did. In this context the search for the ultimate truth hidden behind the language is not sufficiently supported by oral, written, and drawing / photograph, but it is important to have people who were as the perpetrators, witnesses, or victims' family. This statement is also considered as a criticism and suggestion to avoid the bias appearedin the language.

INTRODUCTION

The use of both written and spoken language sometimes indicates a problem. The expressed language has no clear and straightforward meaning, but it refers to the different meanings. The word "dirugikan" in the iNewsteve show which discussed about G30S / PKI Controversy on Thursday night, September, 28th, 2017, both speakers (the one who harms others and the one who suffers) used the word "dirugikan" in delivering their arguments. However, their intentions were different, the first speaker said that he was ostracized by the people around whereas the ither one said that he was being abandoned by his family. The word "dirugikan" was used to express the argument that neither was wrong and nothing was correct, actually it was less precise and meaningless. How could it happen? After the death of their parents, they were treated differently because they harm people around, the community discriminated them since they did not like seeing them doing such wrong things. On the other hand, the disadvantaged person was considered lucky as he received an award from the government and society. The expression used (the word "dirugikan") was ideally not worthy of being charged to the child's family who was left behind by the victim (either the murderer or the murdered ones). It should be applied to the perpetrators at the time the accidents happened. Uniquely, such actions will be certainly kept by their future generations as our mind records a million memories that are difficult to erase. For instance, the history of Ken Arok with a *Keris* made by Empu Gadring killed seven generations. Fortunately, the one who accused for killing was not Ken Arok, but Kebo Ijo who was not guilty at all. It was because of the *Keris* he always hold everywhere, he was accused for killing. The solution of this problem should have seen from who often holds and carried *Keris*. This incident was referred to the use of language as it would be more complicated and difficult. Based on our law, anyone can not be accused only if there is no evidence to confirm that they are guilty. This behavior was then assessed as prevalent, since the ability to detect the cases did not exist at that time. If this act is cultivated now it would be detrimental to accused another person in trouble. This context emphasizes the importance of honest, fair, and wise attitude and behavior according to KUHP (kebenaran hukum agama dan hukum negara).

The use of language to prove the truth that is able to perfectly solve the problem does not exist yet. One of the examples is a message sent through +6285295194, it was revealed as "Surat Keputusan Resmi" which was *"Berkat Isi Ulang Pulsa M-kios No. Anda M'dapat Hadiah Ke-4 Edisi-2017 Dengan No Pin (ij76k79) Cek Pin Di:www.gebyarisiulang17.tk"*. This message could be bring the dissapointment to the recipients as they feel happy in hope they are going to get something. This case often arises everywhere, but it can be handled well. This action is classified as a crime since it is spread widely. The lack of supervision of the language produced contains lies which causes people got a call/message with disgraceful acts. Uniquely, its inappropriate language is mostly used by the society.

The use of language in news often surprises everyone, they often found an uncertain news that is not true and not good in the era of globalization. It has been written in the nation's history that the Indonesian people have known for harmonious intercultural relations since the ancestors occupied the Indonesian archipelago hundreds of centuries ago, in contrast to the previous conditions, our primitive side popped up on the surface as several modern intellectuals, scholars, politicians, businessmen and lawyers do exist. It could be getting worse as political, business, ethnic and social

cultural conflicts do exist. (https://www.kompasiana.com/shella_sabriani/konflik-bahasa-yangjadi-di-indonesia papua_5529373d6ea834d3158b458d accessed Tuesday 3 -10- 2017).

Long time ago there was an action that questioned the language expressed by a certain person had the intention of tarnishing, harm, and slander. This phenomenon was sponsored by the scholars, clerics, politicians, businessmen and lawyers with their modern insight. However, they were asked to make clarrification about it, but in fact they were difficult to admit. It has been written in certain religion to watch what you are saying though most of the people do not seem to obey the scripture. A simple example of writing analysis is "Peringatan Merokok Membunuhmu" on the roadside poster. Not all of the language users are willing and able to think critically once they read the warning. Their mind is generally heading to something profitable. This answer is true, but they are not honest to tell what kind of profit they are desired the most.

Difficult to find the formula and do not know the arrival of destiny, targeted goals are often not exactly filled the expectations. That is how the formula of language works, formulating the language which is not referred to the regular and consistent order, but it refers to the certainty of fact and reality. "Peringatan Merokok Membunuhmu" emphazises that everyone would die directly after taking a cigarette. In general, death must happen, but we do not exactlly the time it will occur. That is why "Peringatan Merokok Membunuhmu" needs to be analyzed through the various thoughts. This meaning highly depends on who responds to it. There are several different opinions, because it is known to finish smoking does not immediately cause us to die like what the words itself. The facts and reality make the spoken and written language are often neglected. This statement indirectly reminds anyone to have meaningful language, if not, the language will not be heard by the listener and read by the reader. This context raises the question of how to determine the appropriateness of the language used in communication in the community. A person is required to be able to reason critically, creatively, and innovatively. Because, this kind of reasoning power can bring up the behavior of language whose contents can be justified with the truth. No need to wonder if thieves who stole a motorcycle answered "No" when they are being asked "Did you steal my motorcycle?". He definitely did it on purpose as he did not want to be in a jail. Most of their answers are generally contradictory if each individual is beaing accused for the deeds (eg thieves, pickpockets, robbers, and all those who act badly).

According to the above context, the prediction was cited in the following song as follows:

> *Amenangi zaman edan*
> *Ewuh aya ing pambudi*
> *Melu edan ora tahan*
> *Yen tan melu anglakoni*
> *Boya keduman milik*
> *Kaliren wekasanipun*
> *Ndilalah kersaning Allah*
> *Begja begjaning kang lali*
> *Luwih begja kang eling lan waspada*

"Kadung Sinom" above brings meanings as follows:

Menyaksikan zaman edan

> **Tidaklah mudah untuk dimengerti**
> **Ikut edan tidak sampai hati**
> **Bila tidak ikut**
> **Tidak kebagian harta**
> **Akhirnya kelaparan**
> **Namun kehendak Tuhan**
> **Seberapapun keberuntungan orang yang lupa**
> **Masih untung (bahagia) orang yang (ingat) sadar dan waspada**

(http://www.kompasiana.com/inuwicaksana/amenangi-jaman-edan-ranggawarsita_55001e52a333115b7350fad7, diakses Selasa 3 -10- 2017).

The poetry of the Kraton Surakarta is familiar to Indonesia people. Sinom's Song illustrates the "social, economic, political and cultural" prediction – followed by moral values, ethics, morality, and human values - occurred in a social, cultural and macro-political environment that attempts to identify the value system as well as to build the community. It becomes a kind of system and behavioral patterns that affect the destruction of the credibility of human values and humanity. As a human with his urban mentality which is apart from the colonial system towards an independency, freedom and democracy apparently fall into such a nadir situation. The transitional nadir system is in tension between the destruction of the "mentality of society" and the desire to establish an ideal system that is not perceived yet. Through the verse above, Ranggawarsita clearly pointed the severe moral damage caused by, corruption, collusion and nepotism. The poem is a prophecy, it has not happened yet, but it will happen someday in the future. "Kidung Sinom" was spread as a trusted clu that a language has an effect which affects our reality.

METHODOLOGY

This research revealed the truth behind the language by using two ways, namely (i) the truth was discovered through critical and rational thinking, addressing problems by analyzing it based on the experience and knowledge to get a solution, and (ii) the truth was seeked through the scientific method, which is based on the belief that there is an action behind every reaction, whereas every visible phenomenon can be sought for its scientific explanation of its logic and coherence (Wallace, Walter L. in Lailil Kodar: 1994). Related to the context of this activity, this research chose qualitative research design, while the research type was chosen phenomenologically. This study revealed that the real world can be observed by our senses, so that everyone can feel concepts or terms clearly and firmly. The ata were collected by using observation, interview, documents, and Focus Group Discussion (FGD). FGD is a technique in which the teacher assigns the students to work in group consisting of 5 to 10 people (Hermansyah, 2009: 232-432). The data then were analyzed by using the Taxonomic Analysis Technique (Taksonomic Analysis). It is used for analyzing the data focusing on certain domains that are very useful to describe the phenomenon or problem as the target of this research, also it is reinforced by Content Analysis technique (Content Anlaysis) that records symbol or message systematically, then given an interpretation directly. Content analysis is used to analyze all forms of communication, such as news in newspapers, radio news, television advertisements, and all other documentation materials (Abdu Sulaiman in http://abdusulaiman.blogspot.co.id/2015/12/ assortment-technique-analysis-data.html, on Monday, November 13th, 2017).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Language can be interpreted as a symbol, which is arbitrary, a difficult language to understand. Through this research, language users are found using the same language, the meaning and intention of the language are almost in the same content. However, the results of the attitude between one speaker to another may vary. This kind of language activity is found in a talk show to uphold the truth and justice. In E-KTP case, the justice enforcers tried to seek the sake of truth of this case.. One side keeps its client from being ensnared by the law, on the other hand he maintains that the defendant should be punished as there is strong evidence that the made a mistake. The interview was full of accusing and refusing each other. The accusation was based on section X, whereas the refusal was based on section Y. On the day when the trial was being hold, the defendant was not there. Their absence was acceptable due to the important events, abroad visitation, and there must be permission from the President, being judged by people, and any other acceptable excuses. In essence, the language user can do anything. It causes the resolution of the 'case' issues that emphasizes how difficult it is to find an answer. As the defendants are always looking for for arguments to cover the problem they did. To get an argument to cover this deficiency can come from yourself, but it can also be by paying for 'a witness'. When looking at how these people struggle and survive to make the argument true, the language that becomes their ultimate weapon to find solutions. Generally the language they express is collected as hard and difficult as possible. In short, it should be answered yes, but there was only no. Explanations are made twisted, the objective is hard to understand. The examples of different sanctions are different but the content is the same, the duration of the sentence is different, the final decision is irrational (eg section 54a and 54b of *KUHP*).

Based on the above findings, the important issue that needs to be addressed is why people use a language that is difficult to understand.The language used by people certainly has some purpose to express ideas and feelings to others. Our mind will construct what our language must be like. When language is constrained as a thing, the situation can not be understood clearly. The more the language users learn, the better they will understand the language correctly. For example, when we are given specific characteristics of a particular person, such as pickpockets, thieves, robbers, corruptors, and slanderers, the first thing we have to do is to observe the behavioral culture and character of the person being jugded. The courage is limited in our mind. It proved the truth of the language content is not based on the formula, but on facts and reality.

The language users are considered less able to maintain their feelings. Many language users are lack of calculations, especially when they are experiencing the unstable emotions. Most of the language users use the language without knowing the context. Because, their feelings stimulate the mind to tell them that they are able to speak whatever they want instead of constructing the context first. As the result, the other person is considered as a lower user and he is above them all. This context is the reason in emergencing negative words, writings, and images. For example, Ustadz Riziq questioned how Palu Arit is on a cash to set a power to persuade the masses to believe in what they know. Among a few alternatives answers, there were only several that can be put: (i) He attempted to overthrow one of the governor candidates (ii) he spread the hatred againts other religions, (iii) he attempted to enforce the unity, iv) He showed harsh and violent culture, (v) He bring the society to the untollerated, disrespectful and dishonest character(vi) He feel as the most powerful, righteous, and honest person, (viii)

He did not want any critics pointed to him as he also likes criticizing someone else (ix) He wants to gain attention, and (x) and he values himself as the most holy person. This answer is analyzed from the observation at the very beginning of the to lighten the people's senses that it really happened. although this case was not as potential as the other Ustadz controversy, but we can solve it immediately. As the example, the words "poros tengah", "pribumi", "jokowi PKI", "papa minta saham" and any gossips are the hottest news at that time.

The uncontrollable facts and reality is actually a source of how a negative thing comes to us. The big impact which can be felt is how the relationships between our nations cracked through the different religion, ethnic, and cultural background that is due to the brittle cooperation, gotong royong, and harmony in certain religion, 'Islam' believes that the Almighty Allah orders us to keep out our mouth of saying something bad, but it has always been ignored. Before the cultural and character education was socialized by Mendikbud, there were 18 types of behavior had been taught every educational institutions. However, as it was suddenly erased, the people were lack of trust.

Imitating is the nature that every human has. Every human being is sensitive in imitating the existence of something. For instance, when someone will promote themselves to become a public official, they can take a variety of ways, such as doing *blusukan*, give something, making promises and do something interesting, such as visiting either the old or poor people, carrying the children and other ways that gain the sympathy from the people to vote for himself. All of these actions appear to be senseless and are useless when they are not followed by the use of a language for supporting the candidates itself. This context opens up new idea to bring up the singer, actress/actor, and expert to contribute to the success of the the candidates. In Soeharto era, the General Election song as written below is very popular, even in every educational institution, its students are required to be able to sing this song. Here's the lyrics of the song,

> ***Pemilihan umum* telah memanggil kita**
> **S'luruh rakyat menyambut *gembira***
> **Hak *demokrasi Pancasila***
> **Hikmah Indonesia merdeka**
> **Pilihlah *wakilmu* yang *dapat dipercaya***
> **Pengemban Ampera *yang setia***
> **Di bawah *Undang-Undang Dasar Empat Lima***
> **Kita menuju ke Pemilihan Umum**

This song can then be said to be a mandatory song. the contents of the purpose of this song is not defective. However, if the facts and realities of the election do not reflect its lyrics, the action expressed in the song will be different. Anarchical action arises, because the promise written in the song is denied and is a ploy to deceive the 'tricks'. Therefore, the contents of the song lyrics are changed into the following,

> ***Pemilihan umum* telah memanggil kita**
> **S'luruh rakyat menyambut (tidak) *gembira***
> **Hak *demokrasi* (bukan) *Pancasila***
> **Hikmah Indonesia merdeka**
> **Pilihlah *wakilmu* yang (tidak) *dapat dipercaya***

Pengemban Ampera *yang (tidak) setia*
Di bawah *Undang-Undang Dasar Empat Lima (yang lain)*
Kita menuju ke Pemilihan Umum

The changing of the lyrics of this song was related to the elections. The presidential election should be held in five years, but during that year, the candidates stayed the same, then it was supported by corruption, collusion, and nepotism. That was how the meaning of this song changed. This is a brief explanation of how important the imitating is, but what is being imitated should lead to prosperity of all people, not for a particular group, while putting others to trouble.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion of this research, the writer has conculed several things (1) how to determine the truth behind the language (i) the analysis of oral, written, and cultural expressions of behavior and characters based on its fact and reality. If appropriate, their intention was put forward. However, if it does not correspond to the facts and reality, it is wrong to say. (ii) Try to make use of our senses in seeking what the most dominant expression is expressed, no matter it is delivered through the eye movement, mouth, hands, shoulders, feet, tone, tempo, volume, and speech duration. It shows the positivity, but it also stores a negative purpose. (iii) Any critics on clarity, firmness of the spoken and written expression of the cultural behavior was shown in our mind, since it becomes a requirement of what is being expressed.(2) Do not easily follow imitations, it is considered as a defect.

It is hard to describe how to find and determine the truth behind the language. It seems that the spoken, written, and cultural expressions of the behaviors and characters are reassuring. Given the unbound tongue, language users are advised to behave in any form and its contents which should reflect an culture of the language itself. That is, between the spoken or written language must be in accordance with the facts and reality that is done.

REFERENCES

http://abdusulaiman.blogspot.co.id/2015/12/macam-macam-teknik-analisis-data.html

Hermansyah, H. 2009. *Penelitian Kualitatif*, *Seni Memahami Fenomena Sosial*. Yogyakarta: Greentea Publishing

Lailil Kodar. 1994. *Metoda logika Ilmu sosial*.1994. Jakarta : Bumi Aksara

https://www.kompasiana.com/shella_sabriani/konflik-bahasa-yang-terjadi-di-indonesia-papua_5529373d6ea834d3158b458d

http://www.kompasiana.com/inuwicaksana/amenangi-jaman-edan-ranggawarsita_55001e52a333115b7350fad7file:///C:/Users/USer/Downloads/Desain%20Penelitian%20Kualitatif.html Copied and Published by *Eureka Pendidikan*