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## Preface

It is with my great pleasure and honor to organize the First International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Network Topology which is held from 25-26 November 2017 in the University of Jember, East Java, Indonesia and present a conference proceeding index by Scopus. It is the first international conference organized by CGANT Research Group University of Jember in cooperation with Indonesian Combinatorics Society (INACOBMS). The conference is held to welcome participants from many countries, with broad and diverse research interests of mathematics especially combinatorical study. The mission is to become an annual international forum in the future, where, civil society organization and representative, research students, academics and researchers, scholars, scientist, teachers and practitioners from all over the world could meet in and exchange an idea to share and to discuss theoretical and practical knowledge about mathematics and its applications. The aim of the first conference is to present and discuss the latest research that contributes to the sharing of new theoretical, methodological and empirical knowledge and a better understanding in the area mathematics, application of mathematics as well as mathematics education.

The themes of this conference are as follows: (1) Connection of distance to other graph properties, (2) Degree/diameter problem, (3) Distance-transitive and distance-regular graphs, (4) Metric dimension and related parameters, (5) Cages and eccentric graphs, (6) Cycles and factors in graphs, (7) Large graphs and digraphs, (8) Spectral Techniques in graph theory, (9) Ramsey numbers, (10) Dimensions of graphs, (11) Communication networks, (12) Coding theory, (13) Cryptography, (14) Rainbow connection, (15) Graph labelings and coloring, (16). Applications of graph theory

The topics are not limited to the above themes but they also include the mathematical application research of interest in general including mathematics education, such as:(1) Applied Mathematics and Modelling, (2) Applied Physics: Mathematical Physics, Biological Physics, Chemistry Physics,(3) Applied Engineering: Mathematical Engineering, Mechanical engineering, Informatics Engineering, Civil Engineering,(4) Statistics and Its Application,(5) Pure Mathematics (Analysis, Algebra and Geometry),(6) Mathematics Education, (7) Literacy of Mathematics,(8) The Use of ICT Based Media In Mathematics Teaching and Learning,(9) Technological, Pedagogical, Content Knowledge for Teaching Mathematics, (10) Students Higher Order Thinking Skill of Mathematics, (11) Contextual Teaching and Realistic Mathematics, (12) Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Approach, (13) Local Wisdom Based

Education: Ethnomathematics, (14) Showcase of Teaching and Learning of Mathematics, (16) The 21st Century Skills: The Integration of 4C Skill in Teaching Math.

The participants of this ICCGANT 2017 conference were 200 people consisting research students, academics and researchers, scholars, scientist, teachers and practitioners from many countries. The selected papers to be publish of Journal of Physics: Conference Series are 80 papers. On behalf of the organizing committee, finally we gratefully acknowledge the support from the University of Jember of this conference. We would also like to extend our thanks to all lovely participants who are joining this unforgettable and valuable event.

Prof. Drs. Dafik, M.Sc., Ph.D.
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#### Abstract

Let all graphs be a connected and simple graph. A set $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ of veretx set of $G$, the $k$-vector ordered $r(v \mid W)=\left(d\left(x, w_{1}\right), d\left(x, w_{2}\right), \ldots, d\left(x, w_{k}\right)\right)$ of is a representation of $v$ with respect to $W$, for $d(x, w)$ is the distance between the vertices $x$ and $w$. The set $W$ is called a resolving set for $G$ if different vertices of $G$ have distinct representation. The metric dimension is the minimum cardinality of resolving set $W$, denoted by $\operatorname{dim}(G)$. Through analogue, the resolving set $W$ of $G$ is called non-isolated resolving set if there is no $\forall v \in W$ induced by non-isolated vertex. The non-isolated resolving number is the minimum cardinality of non-isolated resolving set $W$, denoted by $\operatorname{nr}(G)$. In our paper, we determine the non isolated resolving number of $k$-corona product graph.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, All graphs $G$ is a nontrivial and connected graph, for more detail definition of graph see [1, 2]. The concept of metric dimension was independently introduced by Slater [3] and Harrary and Melter [4]. In his paper, Slater called this concept as a locating set. Let $u, v$ be two vertices in $G$. The distance $d(u, v)$ is the length of a shortest path between two vertices $u$ and $v$ in connected graph $G$. For an ordered set $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{k}\right\}$ subset of vertex set $V(G)$. The representation $r(v \mid W)$ of $v$ with respect to $W$ is the ordered $k$-tuple $r(v \mid W)=\left(d\left(v, w_{1}\right), d\left(v, w_{2}\right), \ldots, d\left(v, w_{k}\right)\right)$. The set $W$ is called resolving set of $G$ if every vertices of $G$ have distinct representation respect to $W$, let $u$ and $v$ be two any vertices in $G$ if $r(u \mid W)=r(v \mid W)$ implies that $u=v$. Hence if $W$ is a resolving set of cardinality $k$ for a graph $G$, then the representation set $r(v \mid W), v \in V(G)$ consists of $|V(G)|$ distinct $k$-vector. A resolving set of minimum cardinality for a graph $G$ is called a minimum resolving set for $G$ and this cardinality is the metric dimension of $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{dim}(G)$. Saenpholphat and Zhang [7] introduced the concept of connected resolving set. A resolving set $W$ of graph $G$ is connected if each subgraph $\langle W\rangle$ induced by $W$ has no isolated vertices in $G$. The minimum cardinality of a non-isolated set in a graph $G$ is the non-isolated resolving number, denoted by $n r(G)$. For more detail notation of $n r(G)$ please see in Chitra and Arumugam [5].

Until today, Chartrand et.al.[6] determined the bounds of the metric dimensions for any connected graphs and determined the metric dimensions of some well known families of graphs
such as tree, path, and complete graph. Citra and Arumugam [5] studied resolving set without isolated vertices of some family graphs. Furthermore, Baca, et.al [8] showed the metric dimension of regular bipartite graphs. In recent years, this metric dimension has been studied widely (see [7], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13]). In the following, we present some known results and Yunika [14] studied the metric dimension with non-isolated resolving number of corona product of graphs. Alfarisi et al [16] studied non-isolated resolving number of graphs with pendant edges

The known results on metric dimension and local metric dimension of some particular classes of graphs and graph operation have been discovered Chitra and Arumugam [5], Dafik [15], Yunika [14] as follows.

Proposition 1.1 (Chitra and Arumugam [5]) Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$

- For $P_{n}, n \geq 2, n r\left(P_{n}\right)=2$.
- For $K_{n}, n \geq 3, n r\left(K_{n}\right)=n-1$.
- For $K_{m, n}, m, n \geq 2, n r\left(K_{m, n}\right)=m+n-2$.
- For friendship $G$ with $k$-triangles, $k \geq 2, n r(G)=k+1$.
- For $P_{n}+K_{1}, n \geq 2, n r\left(P_{n}+K_{1}\right)=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$.

Proposition 1.2 (Dafik [15]) Let $G$ and $H$ be a connected graph, then the metric dimensio with non-ioslated resolving number of $G+H$ is $\left\lfloor\frac{|V(G)|}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{|V(H)|}{2}\right\rfloor \leq n r(G+H) \leq\left\lceil\frac{|V(G)|}{2}\right\rceil+$ $\left\lceil\frac{|V(H)|}{2}\right\rceil+\max \{n r(G), n r(H)\}$

Proposition 1.3 (Yunika [14]) Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$

- For $G \cong K_{1} \odot P_{n}, n \geq 2, n r(G)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$.
- For $G \cong K_{1} \odot K_{n}, n \geq 2, n r(G)=n$.
- For $G \cong K_{1} \odot C_{n}, n \geq 2$,

$$
n r(G)= \begin{cases}3, & \text { if } 3 \leq n \leq 4 \\ \left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1, & \text { if } n \geq 5, n \text { is odd } \\ \left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor, & \text { if } n \geq 5, n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Fruct and Harary [17] was introduced a type corona product of grpah. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ and $H$ (not necessarily connected) be a graph of order $m$. A graph $G$ corona product $H, G \odot H$, is defined as a graph obtained by taking one copy of $G$ and $|V(G)|$ copies of graph $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{n}$ of $H$ and connecting $i$-th vertex of $G$ to the vertices of $H_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. By definition of corona product, we can say that

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(G \odot H)=V(G) \cup \bigcup_{i \in V(G)} V\left(H_{i}\right) \\
E(G \odot H)=E(G) \cup \bigcup_{i \in V(G)}\left(E\left(H_{i}\right) \cup\left\{i u_{i} \mid u_{i} \in V\left(H_{i}\right)\right\}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

For any integer $k \geq 2$, we define the corona product of graph $G \odot_{k} H$ recursively of $G \odot H$ as $G \odot_{k} H=\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right) \odot H$. The graph $G \odot_{k} H$ is named as $k$-corona product or multicorona product of graph $G$ and $H$ for more detail definiton can be seen in [18]. Figure 1 is an ilustration of $k$-corona product graphs.


Figure 1. Example of $k$-corona product: (a) $P_{4} ;$ (b) $P_{2}$; (c) $P_{4} \odot P_{2}$; (d) $P_{4} \odot_{2} P_{2}$

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we find the metric dimension with non-isolated resolving set of $k$-corona product graphs. We determine the sharp lower bound and the exact value of $P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}, P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$, $K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}$ and $K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$

Lemma 2.1 Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $|V(G)| \geq 2$ and $H$ be a graph of order $|V(H)| \geq$ 2, then non-isolated resolving number of $G \odot_{k} H$ is $\operatorname{nr}\left(G \odot_{k} H\right) \geq\left|V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right)\right| n r\left(K_{1}+H\right)$.

Proof: The $k$-corona product of graph $G \odot_{k} H$ recursively of $G \odot H$ as $G \odot_{k} H=\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right) \odot H$. Given a resolving set $W^{\prime}$ of $G \odot_{k} H$ with $W^{\prime} \subset V(H) \neq \emptyset$ and resolving set $W^{\prime \prime}$ of $G \odot_{k} H$ with $W^{\prime} \subset V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right) \neq \emptyset$. It can be shown with use Lemma 2.6.2 (i). Based on definition of nonisolated resolving set that we get $W_{i}=W_{i}^{\prime} \cup W^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}$ for every $i \in V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right)$ with $W^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}=\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ so that $W_{i}=W_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left\{u_{i}\right\}$. In this section, we can assume $-W_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left\{u_{i}\right\} \mid=n r\left(K_{1}+H\right)$. Thus, we get resolving set $W=W_{1} \cup W_{2} \cup W_{3} \cup \ldots \cup W_{\left|V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right)\right|}$ or $W=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\left|V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right)\right|} W_{i}$, then we obtain the lower bound non-isolated resolving set $W$ of $G \odot_{k-1} H$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
|W| & \leq\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{\left|V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right)\right|}\left(W_{i}\right)\right| \\
& =\Sigma_{i=1}^{\left|V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right)\right|}\left|W_{i}\right| \\
& =\left|W_{1}\right|+\left|W_{2}\right|+\ldots+\left|W_{V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right) \mid}\right| \\
& =\underbrace{n r\left(K_{1}+H\right)+n r\left(K_{1}+H\right)+\ldots+n r\left(K_{1}+H\right)}_{\left|V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right)\right| \text { times }} \\
& =V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right) \mid n r\left(K_{1}+H\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, it is clearly that the lower bound non-isolated resolving number of $G \odot_{k} H$ is $n r\left(G \odot_{k}\right.$ $H) \geq\left|V\left(G \odot_{k-1} H\right)\right| n r\left(K_{1}+H\right)$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $P_{n}$ and $P_{m}$ be a connected graph of order $n, m \geq 2$, then non-isolated resolving number of $P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}$ is $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right)=(n m+n)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$.

Proof: Let $P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}$ be a corona product of path $P_{n}$ and $P_{m}$ with vertex set $V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2}\right.$ $\left.P_{m}\right)=\left\{x_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq\right.$ $\left.j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\}$ and edge set $E\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right)=$ $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+1} ; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i} x_{i, j_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i} x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq\right.$ $m\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}+1}, x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}+1}, x_{i, j_{2}} x_{i, j_{2}+1} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1\right\}$
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with the cardinality of vertex set $\left|V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right)\right|=n m^{2}+2 n m+n$ and the cardinality of edge set $\left|E\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right)\right|=2 n m^{2}+3 m n-n-1$.

For $n, m \geq 2$, based on Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 1.3 then we have $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right) \geq$ $\left|V\left(P_{n} \odot P_{m}\right)\right| n r\left(K_{1}+P_{m}\right)=(n m+n)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$. However, we can attain the sharpest lower bound. Furthermore, we prove that $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right) \leq(n m+n)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$. Choosing $W \subset V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right)$ with $W=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq\right.$ $j_{2} \leq m-1, j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ is odd $\}$ is a non-isolated resolving set of $P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}$ and the cardinality of non-isolated resolving set is $|W|=\left|\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\}\right|+\mid\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1, j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ is odd $\} \left\lvert\,=(n m+n)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)\right.$. Thus, the representation of vertices $v \in V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right)-W$ respecting to $W$ are as follows.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ for $m$ even respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, 1,1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{j_{2}}{2}-}, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots$,
$t_{m-j_{1}}, \underbrace{t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1$ and $j$ is even.
with $t_{l}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq m-j_{1}$ and $t_{l}^{\prime}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq m-j_{1}, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m ; t_{k}=k+1$, $1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1$ and $t_{k}^{\prime}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq m$.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, m}$ for $m$ even respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, m} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{m}{2}-1}, 1, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{m-j_{1}}$,
$\underbrace{t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1$.
with $t_{l}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq m-j_{1}$ and $t_{l}^{\prime}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq m-j_{1}, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m ; t_{k}=k+1$, $1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1$ and $t_{k}^{\prime}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq m$.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ for $m$ odd respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, 1,1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{j_{2}}{2}-}, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots$, $t_{m-j_{1}}, \underbrace{t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-2$ and $j$ is even.
with $t_{l}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq m-j_{1}$ and $t_{l}^{\prime}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq m-j_{1}, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m ; t_{k}=k+1$, $1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1$ and $t_{k}^{\prime}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq m$.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, m-1}$ and $x_{i, j_{1}, m}$ for $m$ odd respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, m-1} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-1}, 1, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{m-j_{1}}$,
$\underbrace{t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1$.
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, m} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{m-j_{1}}$,
$\underbrace{t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{m-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1$.
with $t_{l}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq m-j_{1}$ and $t_{l}^{\prime}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq m-j_{1}, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m ; t_{k}=k+1$, $1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1$ and $t_{k}^{\prime}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq m$.
where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
a=(t_{i-1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{2}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{4}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{4}}_{m\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{2}^{2}, \ldots, t_{1}^{2}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{t_{2}^{3}, \ldots, t_{1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{4}^{4}, \ldots, t_{1}^{4}}_{m\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}) \\
c=(t_{1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{1}^{2},}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{\left.t_{2}^{4}\right\rfloor}_{m\left\lfloor\ldots, t_{1}^{4}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{1}, \underbrace{2}_{m-i}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{2}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{3}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{3}}_{m-i}, \underbrace{4}_{m-i}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{4})
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $t_{l}^{1}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{2}=l+3,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{3}=l+3,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{4}=l+4$, $1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{k}^{1}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ; t_{k}^{2}=k+3,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ; t_{k}^{3}=k+3,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ;$ $t_{k}^{4}=k+4,1 \leq k \leq i-1$

The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{2}}$ for $m$ even respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, 1,1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{j_{2}}{2}-}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{m}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1$ and $j$ is
even.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, m}$ for $m$ even respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, m} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{m}{2}}, 1, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1$.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{2}}$ for $m$ odd respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, 1,1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{j_{2}}{2}-}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{m}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-2$ and $j$ is
even.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, m-1}$ and $x_{i, m}$ for $m$ odd respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, m-1} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-1}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{m}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n$.
$r\left(x_{i, m} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{m}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n$.
where
$a=(t_{i-1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{2}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{4}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{4}}_{m\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{1}^{2}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{4}_{m\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}^{4})$
$c=(t_{1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{1}^{2}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{4}, \ldots, t_{1}^{4}}_{m\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{2}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{2}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{3}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{4}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{4}}_{m\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor})$
with $t_{l}^{1}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{2}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{3}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{4}=l+3$, $1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{k}^{1}=k+1,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ; t_{k}^{2}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ; t_{k}^{3}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ;$ $t_{k}^{4}=k+3,1 \leq k \leq i-1$

It is clearly that every vertices $v \in V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right)-W$ has the distinct representation respect to $W$. Furthermore, we need to shown that all vertices in non-isolated resolving set $W$ without isolated vertex. All vertices in vertex set $W=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq\right.$ $m\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1, j_{1}\right.$ and $j_{2}$ is odd $\}$ without isolated vertex by the edge set $\left\{x_{i} x_{i, j_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i} x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\}$ which all vertices in $W$ induces subgraph $P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}$ with pendant edges. Hence, $\langle W\rangle$ has no isolated vertices. So, the upper bound non-isolated resolving number of $P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}$ is $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right) \leq(n m+n)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$.

Hence, the lower bound non-isolated resolving number of $P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}$ is $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2}\right.$ $\left.P_{m}\right) \geq(n m+n)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$. It concludes that $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} P_{m}\right)=(n m+n)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $P_{n}$ and $K_{m}$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 3$, then non-isolated resolving number of $P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ is $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)=n m^{2}+n m$.
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Proof: Let $P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ be a be a corona product of path $P_{n}$ and complete graph $K_{m}$ with vertex set $V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)=\left\{x_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $\left.n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\}$ and edge set $E\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)=$ $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+1} ; 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i} x_{i, j_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i} x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq\right.$ $m\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}+r_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}+r_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} x_{i, j_{2}+r_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m, 1 \leq r_{1} \leq\right.$ $m-j_{1}$ and $\left.1 \leq r_{2} \leq m-j_{2}\right\}$ with the cardinality of vertex set $\left|V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)\right|=n m^{2}+2 n m+n$ and the cardinality of edge set $\left|E\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)\right|=2 n m^{2}+m n+n+m n\left(\frac{m^{2}-m}{2}\right)-1$.

For $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 3$, based on Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 1.3 then we have the lower bound non isolated resolving number of $P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ is $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right) \geq\left|V\left(P_{n} \odot K_{m}\right)\right| n r\left(K_{1}+K_{m}\right)=$ $(n m+n) m=n m^{2}+n m$. However, we can attain the sharpest lower bound. Furthermore, we prove that $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right) \leq n m^{2}+n m$. Choosing $W \subset V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)$ with $W=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq\right.$ $\left.i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1\right\}$ is a non-isolated resolving set of $P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ and the cardinality of non-isolated resolving set is $|W|=\mid\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq\right.$ $\left.i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\}\left|+\left|\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1\right\}\right|=n m^{2}+n m\right.$. Thus, the representation of vertices $v \in V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)-W$ respecting to $W$ are as follows.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, 2, \underbrace{\underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-1}, \ldots, 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-1}}_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{m}, \underbrace{2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-1}, \ldots, 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-1}}_{m-j_{1}}, 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m-1}, c) ; 1 \leq$
$i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, j_{2}=m$.
where
$a=(t_{i-1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{2}}_{m-1}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{4}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{4}}_{m(m-1)}, \ldots, t_{1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{1}^{2}}_{m-1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{4}, \ldots, t_{1}^{4}}_{m(m-1})$
$c=(t_{1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{1}^{2}}_{m-1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{4}, \ldots, t_{1}^{4}}_{m(m-1}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{2}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{2}}_{m-1}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{3}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{4}_{m(m-1)} \underbrace{4}_{m-i}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{4})$
with $t_{l}^{1}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{2}=l+3,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{3}=l+3,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{4}=l+4$, $1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{k}^{1}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ; t_{k}^{2}=k+3,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ; t_{k}^{3}=k+3,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ;$ $t_{k}^{4}=k+4,1 \leq k \leq i-1$

The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{2}}$ respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{m}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{m}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m(m-1)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, j_{2}=m$.
where
$a=(t_{i-1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{2}}_{m-1}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{i-1}^{4}, \ldots, t_{i-1}^{4}}_{m(m-1)}, \ldots, t_{1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{1}^{2}}_{m-1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{4}_{m(m-1}, \ldots, t_{1}^{4})$
$c=(t_{1}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{1}^{2}}_{m-1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{3}, \ldots, t_{1}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{4}, \ldots, t_{1}^{4}}_{m(m-1}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{1}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{2}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{2}}_{m-1}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{3}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{3}}_{m}, \underbrace{t_{n-i}^{4}, \ldots, t_{n-i}^{4}}_{m(m-1)})$
with $t_{l}^{1}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{2}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{3}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{l}^{4}=l+3$, $1 \leq l \leq n-i ; t_{k}^{1}=k+1,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ; t_{k}^{2}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ; t_{k}^{3}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq i-1 ;$ $t_{k}^{4}=k+3,1 \leq k \leq i-1$

It is clearly that every vertices $v \in V\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)-W$ has the distinct representation respect to $W$. Furthermore, we need to shown that all vertices in non-isolated resolving set $W$ without isolated vertex. All vertices in vertex set $W=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq\right.$ $m\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1\right\}$ without isolated vertex by the edge set $\left\{x_{i} x_{i, j_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i} x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\}$ which all vertices in $W$ induces subgraph $P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ with pendant edges. Hence, $\langle W\rangle$ has no isolated vertices. So, the upper bound non-isolated resolving number of $P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ is $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right) \leq n m^{2}+n m$.

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1008 (2018) 012040 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1008/1/012040

Hence, the lower bound non-isolated resolving number of $P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ is $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right) \geq n m^{2}+$ $n m$. It concludes that $n r\left(P_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)=n m^{2}+n m$

Theorem 2.3 Let $K_{m}$ and $P_{n}$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 3$, then non-isolated resolving number of $K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}$ is $n r\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)=(m n+m)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$.

Proof: Let $K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}$ be a be a corona product of complete graph $K_{m}$ and path $P_{n}$ with vertex set $V\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)=\left\{x_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $\left.n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\}$ and edge set $E\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)=$ $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+r} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq r \leq n-i\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i} x_{i, j_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i} x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq\right.$ $m\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}+1}, x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}+1}, x_{i, j_{2}} x_{i, j_{2}+1} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1\right\}$ with the cardinality of vertex set $\left|V\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)\right|=n m^{2}+2 n m+n$ and the cardinality of edge set $\left|E\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)\right|=2 n m^{2}+3 m n-2 n+\frac{n^{2}-n}{2}$.

For $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 3$, based on Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 1.3 then we have $n r\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right) \geq$ $\left|V\left(K_{m} \odot P_{n}\right)\right| n r\left(K_{1}+P_{n}\right)=(n m+m)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$. However, we can attain the sharpest lower bound. Furthermore, we prove that $n r\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right) \leq(n m+m)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$. Choosing $W \subset V\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)$ with $W=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq\right.$ $j_{2} \leq m-1, j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ is odd $\}$ is a non-isolated resolving set of $K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}$ and the cardinality of non-isolated resolving set is $|W|=\left|\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\}\right|+\mid\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1, j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ is odd $\} \left\lvert\,=(n m+m)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)\right.$. Thus, the representation of vertices $v \in V\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)-W$ respecting to $W$ are as follows.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ for $n$ even respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, 1,1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{j_{2}}{2}-}, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots$, $t_{n-j_{1}}, \underbrace{t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n 1 \leq j_{2} \leq n-1$ and $j$ is even.
with $t_{l}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq n-j_{1}$ and $t_{l}^{\prime}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-j_{1}, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n ; t_{k}=k+1,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1$ and $t_{k}^{\prime}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq n$.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, n}$ for $n$ even respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, n} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, 1, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{n-j_{1}}$,
$\underbrace{t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq n-1$.
with $t_{l}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq n-j_{1}$ and $t_{l}^{\prime}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-j_{1}, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n ; t_{k}=k+1,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1$ and $t_{k}^{\prime}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq n$.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ for $n$ odd respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime} \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, 1,1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{j_{2}}{2}-}, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots$,
$t_{n-j_{1}}, \underbrace{t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n 1 \leq j_{2} \leq n-2$ and $j$ is even.
with $t_{l}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq n-j_{1}$ and $t_{l}^{\prime}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-j_{1}, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n ; t_{k}=k+1,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1$ and $t_{k}^{\prime}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq n$.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, n-1}$ and $x_{i, j_{1}, n}$ for $n$ odd respect to $W$ is $r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, m-1} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-1}, 1, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{n-j_{1}}$,
$\underbrace{t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq n-1$.

# $r\left(x_{i, j_{1}, n} \mid W\right)=(a, t_{j_{1}-1}, \underbrace{t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{j_{1}-1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, t_{1}, \underbrace{t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{1}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \ldots, t_{n-j_{1}}$, $\underbrace{t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n-j_{1}}^{\prime}}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} 2, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq n-1$. 

with $t_{l}=l+1,1 \leq l \leq n-j_{1}$ and $t_{l}^{\prime}=l+2,1 \leq l \leq n-j_{1}, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n ; t_{k}=k+1,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1$ and $t_{k}^{\prime}=k+2,1 \leq k \leq j_{1}-1,1 \leq j_{1} \leq n$.
where


The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{2}}$ for $n$ even respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, 1,1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{n\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq n-1$ and $j_{2}$
is even.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, n}$ for $n$ even respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, n} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{n}{2}}, 1, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq n-1$.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{2}}$ for $m$ odd respect to $W$ is $r\left(x_{i, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\frac{j_{2}}{2}-1}, 1,1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-\frac{j_{2}}{2}-}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{n\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq n-2$ and $j_{2}$ is
even.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, n-1}$ and $x_{i, n}$ for $n$ odd respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, n-1} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-1}, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{n\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m$.
$r\left(x_{i, n} \mid W\right)=(a, 1, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{n}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{n\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq m$.
where


It is clearly that every vertices $v \in V\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)-W$ has the distinct representation respect to $W$. Furthermore, we need to shown that all vertices in non-isolated resolving set $W$ without isolated vertex. All vertices in vertex set $W=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq\right.$ $m\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1, j_{1}\right.$ and $j_{2}$ is odd $\}$ without isolated vertex by the edge set $\left\{x_{i} x_{i, j_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i} x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\}$ which all vertices in $W$ induces subgraph $K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}$ with pendant edges. Hence, $\langle W\rangle$ has no isolated vertices. So, the upper bound non-isolated resolving number of $K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}$ is $n r\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right) \leq(n m+m)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$.

Hence, the lower bound non-isolated resolving number of $K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}$ is $n r\left(K_{m} \odot_{2}\right.$
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$\left.P_{n}\right) \geq(n m+m)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$. It concludes that $n r\left(K_{m} \odot_{2} P_{n}\right)=(n m+m)\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$

Theorem 2.4 Let $K_{n}$ and $K_{m}$ be a connected graph of order $n, m \geq 3$, then non-isolated resolving number of $K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ is $n r\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)=n m^{2}+n m$.

Proof: Let $K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ be a be a corona product of complete graph $K_{n}$ and $K_{m}$ with vertex set $V\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)=\left\{x_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $\left.n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\}$ and edge set $E\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)=$ $\left\{x_{i} x_{i+r} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq r \leq n-i\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i} x_{i, j_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i} x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq\right.$ $m\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}+r_{1},}, x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}+r_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} x_{i, j_{2}+r_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m, 1 \leq r_{1} \leq\right.$ $m-j_{1}$ and $\left.1 \leq r_{2} \leq m-j_{2}\right\}$ with the cardinality of vertex set $\left|V\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)\right|=n m^{2}+2 n m+n$ and the cardinality of edge set $\left|E\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)\right|=2 n m^{2}+m n+m n\left(\frac{m^{2}-m}{2}\right)+\frac{n^{2}-n}{2}$.

For $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 3$, based on Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 1.3 then we have $n r\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right) \geq$ $\left|V\left(K_{n} \odot K_{m}\right)\right| n r\left(K_{1}+K_{m}\right)=(n m+n) m=n m^{2}+n m$. However, we can attain the sharpest lower bound. Furthermore, we prove that $n r\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right) \leq n m^{2}+n m$. Choosing $W \subset V\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)$ with $W=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq\right.$ $m-1\}$ is a non-isolated resolving set of $K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ and the cardinality of non-isolated resolving set is $|W|=\left|\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m\right\}\right|+\mid\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq\right.$ $\left.j_{2} \leq m-1\right\} \mid=n m^{2}+n m$. Thus, the representation of vertices $v \in V\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)-W$ respecting to $W$ are as follows.
The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}$ respect to $W$ is

$i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, j_{2}=m$.
where


The vertex representation of $x_{i, j_{2}}$ respect to $W$ is
$r\left(x_{i, j_{2}} \mid W\right)=(a, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{m}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{m}, \underbrace{3, \ldots, 3}_{m(m-1)}, c) ; 1 \leq i \leq n, j_{2}=m$.
where


It is clearly that every vertices $v \in V\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)-W$ has the distinct representation respect to $W$. Furthermore, we need to shown that all vertices in non-isolated resolving set $W$ without isolated vertex. All vertices in vertex set $W=\left\{x_{i}, x_{i, j_{1}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq\right.$ $\left.j_{1} \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m-1,1 \leq j_{2} \leq m-1\right\}$ without isolated vertex by the edge set $\left\{x_{i} x_{i, j_{1}}, x_{i, j_{1}} x_{i, j_{1}, j_{2}}, x_{i} x_{i, j_{2}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_{1} \leq m, 1 \leq j_{2} \leq m\right\}$
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which all vertices in $W$ induces subgraph $K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ with pendant edges. Hence, $\langle W\rangle$ has no isolated vertex. So, the upper bound non-isolated resolving number of $K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ is $n r\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right) \leq n m^{2}+n m$. Hence, the lower bound non-isolated resolving number of $K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}$ is $n r\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right) \geq n m^{2}+n m$. It concludes that $n r\left(K_{n} \odot_{2} K_{m}\right)=n m^{2}+n m$

## 3. Conclusion

The results show that the non-isolated resolving number attain the best lower bound. There are some open problem as follows

Open Problem 1 Find the non-isolated resolving number of $G \odot_{k} H$ with $k \geq 3$ for $G, H$ are connected graph except path $P_{n}$ and complete graph $K_{n}$.
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