PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

The analysis of problem-based learning implementation and its effect on students creative innovative skills in solving rainbow antimagic coloring based on cognitive style

To cite this article: Z L Al Jabbar et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1538 012089

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>On the rainbow antimagic coloring of</u> vertex amalgamation of graphs J C Joedo, Dafik, A I Kristiana et al.
- <u>The local antimagic total vertex coloring of</u> <u>graphs with homogeneous pendant vertex</u> Elsa Yuli Kurniawati, Ika Hesti Agustin, Dafik et al.
- <u>On the super edge local antimagic total</u> <u>labeling of related ladder graph</u> E Y Kurniawati, I H Agustin, Dafik et al.



ECS Membership = Connection

ECS membership connects you to the electrochemical community:

- Facilitate your research and discovery through ECS meetings which convene scientists from around the world;
- Access professional support through your lifetime career:
- Open up mentorship opportunities across the stages of your career;
- Build relationships that nurture partnership, teamwork—and success!

Join ECS!





The analysis of problem-based learning implementation and its effect on students creative innovative skills in solving rainbow antimagic coloring based on cognitive style

Z L Al Jabbar^{1,2}, Dafik^{1,2}, A F Hadi³, Y Wangguway^{1,2} and B Sulistiyono^{1,2}

¹CEREBEL University of Jember, Indonesia

²Department of Mathematics Education Post Graduate, University of Jember, Indonesia

³Department of Mathematics and Science, University of Jember, Indonesia

Email: zuhris1994@gmail.com

Abstract. This study aims to determine the application of problem based learning and its effect on students' creative innovative skills in solving rainbow antimagic coloring based on cognitive style. This study used mixed method which combination of qualitative and quantitative research method. This study used research subjects of 41 students in the experiment class and 32 students in the control class who were students in 3rd semester. Qualitative method is applied to analysis students' creative innovative skills which used data from test result and phase portraits results while quantitative method is used to statistical analysis. There were differences between control and experiment class when it was given a treatment. In control class used conventional learning while in experiment class, we used problem based learning. The statistical result indicates that the sig (2-tailed) significance of the independent sample t-test in post-test was 0.000 or $\alpha \le 0.05$. it can be concluded that there is an effect of used problem based learning in creative innovative skills on solving rainbow antimagic coloring.

1. Introduction

Learning mathematics is not only about formulas that must be memorized by students but also helps them to be able to solve mathematical problems in everyday life. The problems in mathematics of higher education are in the distribution of courses, which include calculus, geometry, statistics, discrete mathematics, graph theory, and various other subjects. If there are problems, then a solution is needed, and the solution requires thinking ability to do it. There are various kinds of thinking skills which include critical thinking skills, creative thinking abilities, higher-order thinking skills, and so on.

Creative thinking is a mental activity to find "new ideas" under the objectives, by generating ideas, synthesizing those ideas and implementing them [11]. Furthermore, [12] defines creative thinking as a mental activity that someone uses to construct new ideas fluently and flexibly. [8] argues that innovative thinking is a process that gives solutions or ideas outside the shared knowledge frame (conservative frame), both in terms of the knowledge of individuals who think and from the dominant knowledge in their environment.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

1538 (2020) 012089 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012089

Aspects	Indicators	Number
High productivity	Write down more than one method/strategy	1A
	Write down only one method/strategy	1B
	Using the method/strategy correctly	2A
High elasticity	Using a strategy but there are errors in the calculation	2B
High originality	Write down the novelty and uniqueness of more than two answers found than the previous answer	3A
	Write down the novelty and uniqueness of the two answers found than the previous answer	3B
	Re-check the results of calculations and concepts more than / equal to twice.	4A
High sensitivity	Re-checking the results of calculations and concepts once.	4B
	Re-checking only the results of calculations or concepts only once.	4C
	Do not do checks	4D

Table 1. Indicators of creative innovative

There is a way to unleash the innovative creativity of students by applying problem-based learning. Several studies use problem-based learning as a learning model including [1], [10]. According to [9] defining PBL is a learning (and curricular) approach that is student-centered that empowers students to conduct research, integrate theory and practice, and apply knowledge and skills to develop feasible solutions to determined problems.

The steps on PBL according to [2] can be presented in the figure below.



The term "cognitive style" was used by Allport in 1937, and it is described as a person's characteristic or habit in solving problems, thinking, understanding, remembering, organizing and representing information [3], [5], [6], [7].

As it is known that there are a variety of cognitive styles, one of them is the "Field Dependent and Field Independent" cognitive styles. Field Dependents (FD) and Field Independent (FI) are derived from the results of a study conducted by Witkin [5], [14]. There are differences between FI individuals and FD individuals and that was reviewed by the researchers in their study. Annis [4] explained the results of her study that FI individuals were better than FDs in recalling the information obtained. Furthermore, Annis also believes that FI individuals are more active in extracting important information, while FD individuals act passively where they depend on the characteristics of the learning task [4].

2. Research method

This study used a mixed-method which combination of qualitative and quantitative research methods. There is much research using the mixed method, for example [10], [13]. Qualitative method is applied to analyze students' creative innovative skills which used data from test results and phase portraits results while the quantitative method is used to statistical analysis. This study used research subjects of 41 students in the experiment class and 32 students in the control class. the research subjects were students in the 3rd semester. Both of them (the class) were given pre-test and post-test which contain indicators of creative innovative skills in it.

Different treatments are given in each class, where the experimental class uses PBL while the control class with a different treatment. The research method can be seen in figure 2 below.

Class	Pre-Test	Treatment	Post-Test				
Experiment Class	<i>R</i> ₁	Problem-Based Learning	R ₂				
(n = 41)		and Students' Worksheet					
Control Class	<i>R</i> ₃	Problem-Based Learning	R_4				
(n = 32)							
Figure 2. Research Method							

2.1. Population

This study used research subjects of 41 students in the experiment class and 32 students in the control class who were students in 3rd-semester undergraduate students of mathematics education, University of Jember.

2.2. Instruments

This research uses instruments including pre-test, post-test, observation, and interview. Pre-test and post-test have a rating with a range of 0-100. For observation have a rating with a range 0-4, the details are as follows 4 for very creative, 3 for creative, 2 for quite creative, 1 for less creative, and 0 for not creative.

1538 (2020) 012089 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012089



Figure 3. The Mixed Method Model

2.3. Task

To find out students' innovative creative thinking skills, tasks are given including pre-test, post-test, and student worksheets. Control and experiment classes are given pre-test and post-test sheets in both classes, but the student worksheets are only given to the experimental class. The task and the guidance as follow:

$V = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_4\}$	Menentukan fungsi titik
$E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, \dots, \dots\}$	f(x) = 1
V = n	$f(y_1) = 2$
E =	$f(y_2) = \dots$
	$f(y_3) =$

Figure 4. Determine the cardinality **Figure 5.** Determine the vertex function of the graph

1538 (2020) 012089 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012089

Menentukan bobot (w)	Menentukan fungsi titik	Menentukan bobot (w)
$w(xy_1) = \dots$	f(x) = 1	$w(xy_1) = \dots$
$w(xy_2) = 4$	$f(y_1) = \dots$	$w(xy_2) = \dots$
$w(xy_3) = \dots$	$f(y_2) = \dots$	$w(xy_3) = \dots$
	$f(y_j) = \dots$	$w(xy_j) = \dots$

Figure	6.	Determine	the	edge	
weights					

Figure 7. Determine the vertex function and edge weights up to n-point

2.4. Data Collection and Data Analysis

An interview, observation, and ordinal data are used for qualitative data, meanwhile, the t-test is used for quantitative data. The statistical data were obtained from the average, standard deviation and frequency values, while inferential data related to research-based learning was using normality test, homogeneity test and independent test between the control class and the experimental class. Independent samples were used to compare the two classes, with a significance value of the difference at the 0.05 level.

3. Research result

This research used a qualitative method, with validity test and reliability test on the post-test question. By using validity and reliability test for makes sure the accuracy of the measurement instrument in performing its measuring function. This research used 41 students for the sample in validity and reliability test. The following table presents the results of the validity and reliability test.

Based on table 2, it's clear that the value from the question 1 was 0.552, question 2 was 0.528, question 3 was 0.313, question 4 was 0.645, question 5 was 0.625, question 6 was 0.703, question 7 was 0.382, question 8 was 0.609, meanwhile r_{table} for n = 41 - 2 = 39 was respectively 0.3081 for 0.05 level and 0.3978 for 0.01 level. Based on that result, all of those questions were valid because of the value from the question > r_{table} .

After that, the result from the reliability test was 0.659 and r_{table} for 0.05 with df = n = 41 - 2 = 39, which was 0.3081, from where it can be concluded that the instruments were reliable because the result from reliability test > r_{table} .

Table 2. Result of question validity										
		Probl em 1	Probl em 2	Probl em 3	Probl em 4	Probl em 5	Probl em 6	Probl em 7	Probl em 8	Total
Problem 1	Pearson Correlation	1	.225	.060	.296	.256	.302	022	.196	.552**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.157	.708	.060	.106	.055	.893	.219	.000
	Ν	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
Problem 2	Pearson Correlation	.225	1	161	.080	.486**	.311*	.026	.038	.528**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.157		.315	.619	.001	.047	.871	.816	.000
	N	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
Problem 3	Pearson Correlation	.060	161	1	.282	.084	.184	.352*	.059	.313*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.708	.315		.074	.601	.249	.024	.715	.047
	Ν	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
Problem 4	Pearson Correlation	.296	.080	.282	1	.044	.222	.428**	.737**	.645**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.060	.619	.074		.787	.164	.005	.000	.000
	Ν	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41

1538 (2020) 012089	doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012089
---------------------------	-------------------------------------

Problem 5	Pearson	.256	.486**	.084	.044	1	.697**	085	.025	.625**
	Correlation									
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.106	.001	.601	.787		.000	.598	.877	.000
	N	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
Problem 6	Pearson	.302	.311*	.184	.222	.697**	1	042	.233	.703**
	Correlation									
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.055	.047	.249	.164	.000		.796	.142	.000
	Ν	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
Problem 7	Pearson	022	.026	$.352^{*}$.428**	085	042	1	.399**	$.382^{*}$
	Correlation									
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.893	.871	.024	.005	.598	.796		.010	.014
	N	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
Problem 8	Pearson	.196	.038	.059	.737**	.025	.233	.399**	1	.609**
	Correlation									
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.219	.816	.715	.000	.877	.142	.010		.000
	Ν	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
Total	Pearson	.552**	.528**	.313*	.645**	.625**	.703**	.382*	.609**	1
	Correlation									
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.047	.000	.000	.000	.014	.000	
	Ν	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41

Table 3. Result of question reliability

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items	
.659		8

Furthermore, given a test to determine the cognitive style of students by using the Group Embedded Figures Test (GEFT) developed by Witkin. The following are presented the results of the tests for the experimental class in Diagram 1. Based on the diagram, it can be seen that there are 76% of students with Field Independent cognitive styles and 24% of students with Field Dependent cognitive styles out of a total of 41 students in the experimental class.







This research used pre-test and post-test in the control and experiment classes with 73 students. Based on the data provided in fig 2. In the experiment class, 6 students were very creative innovative, 11 students were creative innovative, 20 students were quite creative innovative, 4 students were less creative innovative. In the control class, 1 student was very creative innovative, 10 students were creative innovative, 19 students were quite creative innovative, 2 students were less creative innovative.

From the control class and the experimental class, data was taken about the pre-test and post-test. Qualitative data analysis was performed using t-tests while quantitative data used interviews, observation, and data analysis. In this study using the normality test, homogeneity test, and independent tests in both classes to obtain statistical data. The homogeneity test from the pre-test obtained sig 0.207 results. It can be seen as this result is greater than 0.05, so the results of the pre-test control class and the experimental class are homogeneous. Furthermore, it can be seen in Table 4 below.

Table 4. The homogeneity test result of pre-test in the control class and experiment class

Levene Statistic	df1		df2	Sig.
1.625		1	71	.207

After homogeneity testing, the normality test is then performed. Based on table 5, the normality test results obtained in the experimental class with a value of 0.200 and in the control class the value of 0.200 is obtained. Based on the two results it can be said that the data distribution is significant because it exceeds a significant value of 0.05. Thus, data from both classes are normally distributed.

		Kolmo	gorov-Smirno	ov ^a	Sł		
	Class	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Pre-Test	Experiment Class	.086	41	$.200^{*}$.981	41	.713
Value	Control Class	.120	32	$.200^{*}$.965	32	.376

Based on table 6 presented the average results of the experimental class and the control class. The average value obtained in the experimental class was 67.1707, while in the control class the average value obtained was 66,000. The average value of the experimental class is greater than the control class. Furthermore, based on the results of the independent tests, Sig. (2-tailed) is 0.421 where this value is more than 0.05 so H_0 is accepted which means that there is no difference between the experimental class and the control class.

Table 6. The results of independent pre-test in the control class and experimental class

		Class			Ν	Mea	an Std.	Deviation	Std. Error M	Mean
Pre-	Test Value	Experime	nt Class			41 67	.1707	6.73017	1	.05108
		Control C	lass			32 66	.0000	5.26706		.93109
		Levene for Equa Varia	's Test ality of nces			t-ti	est for Equal	ity of Means		
									95% Cor Interva Differ	nfidence l of the rence
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Pre-Test Value	Equal variances assumed	1.625	.207	.809	71	.421	1.17073	1.44700	-1.71450	4.05596
	Equal variances not assumed			.834	70.997	.407	1.17073	1.40417	-1.62911	3.97057

The homogeneity test from the post-test obtained sig 0.609 results. It can be seen that the result is greater than 0.05, so the results of the post-test of the control class and the experimental class are homogeneous. Furthermore, it can be seen in Table 7 below.

Table 7. The homogenity test result of post-test in the control class and experiment class

Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
.264	1	7	1.609

After the pre-test, given treatment in Figure 2, the students in both classes were given a post-test to find out students' achievement. There was 8 question in post-test about rainbow antimagic coloring. It is easy to see in chart 3 that was the post-test result from both classes. In the experimental class, 18 students were very creative innovative, 14 students were creative innovative, 9 students were quite creative innovative. In the control class, there were 8 students were very creative innovative, 17 students were quite creative innovative, 7 students were quite creative innovative.

After homogeneity testing, the normality test is then performed. Based on table 8, the normality test results obtained in the experimental class with a value of 0.165 and in the control class obtained a value of 0.090. Based on the two results it can be said that the data distribution is significant because it exceeds a significant value of 0.05. Thus, data from both classes are normally distributed.

	Table 8. The Result of No.	ormality Test of Po	st-Test in The Control	and Experimental Classes
--	----------------------------	---------------------	------------------------	--------------------------

		Kolmo	gorov-Smirno	ov ^a	Shapiro-Wilk		
	Class	Statistic	Df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Post-Test	Experiment Class	.118	41	.165	.970	41	.342
Value	Control Class	.144	32	.090	.894	32	.004





Based on table 9, the average results of the experimental class and the control class are presented. The average value obtained in the experimental class was 72.5122, while in the control class the average value obtained was 67.2813. When viewed from the average value, it is clear that the average value of the experimental class is greater than the control class. Furthermore, based on the results of the independent tests, Sig. (2-tailed) is 0.001 where this value is less than 0.05 so H₁ is accepted which means that there is no difference between the experimental class and the control class.

Table 9. The Results of Independent Post-Test in The Control Class and Experimental Class

	Class	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Post-Test Value	Experiment Class	41	72.5122	5.98800	.93517
	Control Class	32	67.2813	7.09945	1.25502

		Levene's T Equality Varianc	est for 7 of xes			t-tes	t for Equality	of Means		
			-						95% Co Interva Diffe	onfidence al of the erence
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Post- Test	Equal variances assumed	.264	.609	3.413	71	.001	5.23095	1.53246	2.17532	8.28657
Value	Equal variances not assumed			3.342	60.522	.001	5.23095	1.56512	2.10079	8.36110

The following presents an analysis of the results of student work in the rainbow antimagic coloring to know the completion process that is done by students in student worksheets to obtain the final results. This analysis is used to support information obtained from interviews according to the results of the student's work.



Figure 8. The result of student 1 (very creative innovative)

There was the result of student 1 in figure 8 when finding the cardinality of that graph, the vertex's function, and the edge's weight from the graph by itself. The student makes 2 graphs by themselves and named each vertex and find the minimum color to make rainbow antimagic coloring. The first requirement of rainbow antimagic coloring had been fulfilled by student 1.

After finish the rainbow antimagic problem, the student gets the interviews to frame students' minds. The following are excerpts of interviews between researcher and student 1:

: Do you understand the problem that you are working on?
: Yes, sir.
: What problem are you working on?
: It is about rainbow antimagic coloring problem.
: Then what did you do first?

ICCGANT 2019		IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	1538 (2020) 012089	doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012089

Student 1	:	I try to make a new graph and find out the cardinality of that graph.
Researcher	:	Then?
Student 1	:	I tried to find the smallest possible numbers in the rainbow antimagic coloring. After that, I write down the vertex's function and edge's weight. After I finish the first graph, I make a new graph and do the same way like that.
Researcher	:	Do you find the difficulties to solve that problem?
Student 1	:	Actually yes, sir.
Researcher	:	What kind of difficulties did you find out?
Student 1	:	To find out the smallest possible numbers. I have to keep trying repeatedly until I am sure that I found the smallest one.
Researcher	:	After you find the answer, do you double-check your answer?
Student 1	:	Of course sir. To make sure the answer I wrote.



Figure 9. The phase portrait of student 1

By seeing figure 10, can be seen as the result of student work who quiet creative innovative. The student can make only one graph but confused about the edge's weight. After finish the rainbow antimagic problem, the student gets the interviews to frame students' minds. The following are excerpts of interviews between researchers and student 2:

Researcher	:	Do you understand the problem that you are working on?
Student 2	:	For the first time, I was confused about what I had to do first. after a while I read
		the problem and reread the previous explanation, I understood that I was trying to
		find ways to solve the rainbow antimagic coloring problem.
Researcher	:	What problem are you working on?
Student 2	:	It is about rainbow antimagic coloring problem.
Researcher	:	Then what did you do first?
Student 2	:	First of all, I made a graph. After that, I determine the cardinality of the graph I
		have made.
Researcher	:	Then?
Student 2	:	Of course, I tried to find a rainbow antimagic coloring on the graph. Try a variety of
		the smallest possible numbers needed in coloring even though it feels a bit difficult.
		So, that's why I was only able to do one graph.
Researcher	:	Do you find the difficulties to solve that problem?
Student 2	:	Yes, sir.
Researcher	:	What kind of difficulties did you find out?
Student 2	:	I have difficulty in doing the coloring so that I also have difficulty in writing the
		vertex's function and determining the edge's weight.
Researcher	:	After you find the answer, do you double-check your answer?
Student 2	:	No, sir. Because I was confused. What I've done is done. That's all I can do.

1538 (2020) 012089 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012089



Figure 10. The result of student 2 (quiet creative innovative)



Figure 11. The phase portrait of student 2

The following are excerpts of interviews between researchers and student 3:

Researcher	:	Do you understand the problem that you are working on?
Student 3	:	I'm really confused about what I have to do, sir
Researcher	:	What problem are you working on?
Student 3	:	If I'm not mistaken, it's about rainbow antimagic coloring, am I right?
Researcher	:	Yes. Then what did you do first?
Student 3	:	Well, I captured information about finding cardinality from a graph. So that's what
		I'm working on.
Researcher	:	Then?
Student 3	:	I colored the graph but I was confused in determining the vertex function and
		determining the edge weights. After that, I draw the second graph and find the
		cardinality of the graph.
Researcher	:	Do you find the difficulties to solve that problem?
Student 3	:	Yes, sir.
Researcher	:	What kind of difficulties did you find out?
Student 3	:	I was confused in determining the vertex function and determining the edge
		weights. I can draw the graph and determine the cardinality of the graph.
Researcher	:	After you find the answer, do you double-check your answer?
Student 3	:	No. sir.

1538 (2020) 012089 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1538/1/012089



Figure 12. The result of student 3 (less creative innovative)



Figure 13. The phase portrait of student 3

Based on observations of student activities on the application of problem-based learning in solving rainbow antimagic coloring, it was found that there was a significant impact of the application of problem-based learning on improving students' creative innovative skills in solving rainbow antimagic coloring problems. Based on Chart 4, it can be seen that more students actively participate during the learning rather than the opposite.





Chart 4. The distribution student activities during pbl implementation

4. Discussion

This study aims to analyze the implementation of problem-based learning (PBL) on creative innovative skills of students in solving rainbow antimagic coloring problems. This study shows the findings that there are significant results on the alleged ability of students in the experimental class. Based on the findings of this study, it can be seen that there is an increase in student learning outcomes and creative innovative skills.

The results of this study found that in the experimental class there were 18 students at the very creative innovative level, 14 students at the creative innovative level, and 9 students at the quite creative innovative level, while in the control class there were 8 students at the very creative innovative level, 17 students at the innovative creative level, 7 students at quite creative innovative level.

5. Conclusion

Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded that there is a significant influence between the implementation of PBL by using student worksheets on the creative innovative skills of students in the experimental class. The experimental class gets better learning outcomes than the control class and this also goes hand in hand with the improvement of creative innovative skills. Thus, it can be concluded that PBL learning by using student worksheets can improve students' innovative creative skills very well.

Acknowledgements

I gratefully acknowledge the support from The Head of Mathematics Education Magister Department, The Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Jember, Indonesia, and also CEREBEL Research Groups.

References

- [1] Andini S A, Susanto and Hobri 2017 Students' activity in problem-based learning (PBL) math classroom be oriented lesson study for learning community (LSLC) *International Journal of Advanced Research* 5(9) 1395-1400.
- [2] Arends R 2008 Belajar Untuk Mengajar *Edisi Ketujuh* Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- [3] Chen S Y and Macredie R D 2002 Cognitive styles and hypermedia navigation: Development of a learning model *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 53(1) pp. 3–15
- [4] Frank B M 1984 Effect of field independence-dependence and study technique on learning from a lecture *American Educational Research Journal* 21(**3**) pp. 669–678
- [5] Ling C and Salvendy G 2009 Effect of evaluators' cognitive style on heuristic evaluation: Field dependent and field independent evaluators *International Journal of Human Computer Studies* 67(4) pp. 382–393
- [6] Messick S 1984 The nature of cognitive styles: Problems and promises in educational research *Educational Psychologist* 19(2) pp. 59–74
- [7] Riding R and Cheema I 1991 Cognitive Styles—an overview and integration *Educational* Psychology 11(3–4) pp. 193–215
- [8] Salahudin A and Alkrienciehie I 2013 *Pendidikan karakter (pendidikan berbasis agama dan budaya bangsa)* (Bandung: CV Pustaka Setia)
- [9] Savery J R 2006 Overview of problem-based learning: definitions and distinctions Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning 66(6)
- [10] Septory B J, Dafik and Tirta I M 2019 The analysis of students' combinatorial thinking skills in solving r- dynamic vertex coloring under the implementation of problem based learning *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series* 1211 012084
- [11] Siswono T Y E 2004 Mendorong berpikir kreatif melalui pengajuan masalah (problem posing) *Journal of Mathematics Education* 1(1) pp. 23–27.

IOP Publishing

- [12] Siswono T Y E 2018 Pembelajaran matematika berbasis pengajuan dan pemecahan masalah Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [13] Tohir M, Abidin Z, Dafik and Hobri 2018 Students creative thinking skills in solving two dimensional arithmetic series through research- based learning *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series* 1008 012072
- [14] Witkin H A, Moore C A, Goodenough D R and Cox P W 1975 Field-dependent and field-independent cognitive styles and their educational implications ETS Research Bulletin Series 1975(2), 1-64.
- [15] Waliyati S, Dafik and Slamin 2019 The analysis of project based learning implementation to improve students creative thinking skill in solving the problem of tiles coloring combination *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series* 1211 012089
- [16] Rohim M A, Dafik, Slamin and Sucianto B 2019 The analysis of implementation of research based learning implementation in developing the students' creative thinking skill in solving dominating set problem *Journal of Physics: Conf. Series* 243 012143