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SUMMARY

The Eleventh Grade Students' Monitor Performance in Report Text Writing at SMA Negeri 3 Jember in the 2011/2012 Academic Year, Wuri Mega Andhini, 050210401353; 2012; 51 pages; English Language Education Study Program of Language and Arts Department of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University.

This research was intended to investigate the eleventh grade students’ monitor performance in report text writing at SMA Negeri 3 Jember in the 2011/2012 academic year. The subject of this research was determined by proportional random sampling through lottery. This research took 15% of the accessible population (262 students), thus the sample was 40 students.

The instruments used in this research were interview, documentation, questionnaire, and writing test. The interviewees were the English teacher and administration staff. The documentation was used mainly to obtain the students' name list and the syllabus used in SMA Negeri 3 Jember.

The result of the writing test was 32.5% of the students were classified as ‘poor’, 25% ‘fair’, 20% 'failed', 12.5% 'good', and 10% ‘excellent’. Students who were classified as 'poor' and 'failed' were categorized as Monitor Under-users. Writing test, which were classified as 'fair', 'good', and 'excellent,’ were then referred to the questionnaire. Students who were in these categories and stated ‘ya’ more than two items in the items 5-10 of the questionnaire were classified as Monitor Over-users. While the students who stated ‘ya’ less than 3 items were classified as Optimal Monitor Users.

This research resulted in categorization of students' monitor performance. The categories of monitor performance possessed by the students were Monitor Under-users, Monitor Over-users, and Optimal Monitor User. The distribution of the monitor performance categories was 52.5% Monitor Under-users, 42.5% Monitor Over-users, and 5% Optimal Monitor User.