THE EFFECT OF USING VIDEO DEVISED BY WINDOWS MOVIE MAKER ON THE DESCRIPTIVE WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE TENTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMAN 3 LUMAJANG IN THE 2012/2013 ACADEMIC YEAR

THESIS PROPOSAL

Proposed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain the Degree of S1 at the English Education Program of Language and Arts Education Department
The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Jember University

DAVID IMAMYARTHA
(080210401050)

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
THE DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGE AND ARTS
THE FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
JEMBER UNIVERSITY
2012
THE EFFECT OF USING VIDEO DEVISED BY WINDOWS MOVIE MAKER ON THE DESCRIPTIVE WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE TENTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMAN 3 LUMAJANG IN THE 2012/2013 ACADEMIC YEAR

THESIS PROPOSAL

Proposed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain the Degree of S1 at the English Education Program of Language and Arts Education Department

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Jember University

DAVID IMAMYARTHA
(080210401050)

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
THE DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGE AND ARTS
THE FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
JEMBER UNIVERSITY
2012
DEDICATION

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

1. All of my teachers;
2. My beloved parents, Munawir and Khotimah, my brother and sister, Deki Setyo Inka Dani and Irma Pramesti Regita Cahyani, thank you for your love and support. This thesis is dedicated to you for your endless love;
3. All my friends and colleagues.
MOTTO

“Write Drunk, Edit Sober”

(Christina Baker Kline)*)

CONSULTANT APPROVAL

THE EFFECT OF USING VIDEO DEvised BY WINDOWS MOVIE MAKER ON THE DESCRIPTIVE WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE TENTH GRADE STUDENTS AT SMAN 3 LUMAJANG IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2012/2013

THESIS

Proposed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain the Degree of S1 at the English Education Program of Language and Arts Education Department

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Jember University

Name : David Imamyartha
Identification Number : 080210401050
Generation : 2008
Place and Date of Birth : Lumajang, May 6th, 1990
Department : Language and Arts Education
Study Program : English Education

Approved by:

Consultant I

Consultant II

Dr. Aan Erlyana Fardhani, M.Pd.
NIP. 19650309 198902 2 001

Eka Wahyuningsih, S.Pd, M.Pd.
NIP. 19700612199512 2001
APPROVAL OF EXAMINER COMMITTEE

This thesis has been approved and accepted by the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University on:

Date : 
Place : The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University

Examiner Team

Chairperson \hspace{3cm} Secretary

Dra. Siti Sundari, M.A \hspace{5cm} Eka Wahyuningsih, M.Pd.
NIP. 195812161 198802 2 001 \hspace{5cm} NIP. 19700612199512 2001

The Members,

1. Dra. Made Adi Andayani, T., M.Ed. \hspace{5cm} "
   NIP. 19630323 198902 2 001

2. Dr. Aan Erlyana Fardhani, M.Pd \hspace{5cm} "
   NIP. 19630717 199002 1 001

The Dean

Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Jember University

Prof. Dr. Sunardi, M.Pd.
NIP. 19540501 198303 1 005
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to Allah the Almighty for blessing and giving me strength and patience so that I can finish writing my thesis entitled “The Effect of Using Video Devised by Windows Movie Maker on the Descriptive Writing Achievement of the Tenth Grade Students at SMAN 3 Lumajang in the 2012/2013 Academic Year”. Eventually, I also would like to express my deepest and sincerest thanks to:

1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.
2. The Chairperson of Language & Arts Department.
3. The Chairperson of English Education Study Programs.
4. My first and second consultants, Dr. Aan Erlyana Fardhani, M.Pd. and Siti Masrifatul Fitriyah, S.Pd, M.A as well as Eka Wahyuningsih, M,Pd.. Thank you very much for your academic and moral guidance and support during the whole accomplishment of this thesis.
5. The lecturers of the English Education Program who have given me moral supports to work harder in accomplishing the thesis.
6. The principal and the teachers of SMAN 3 Lumajang for approving and supporting the conducting of the research.
7. The tenth grade students of SMAN 3 Lumajang in 2012/2013 academic year especially class X1 and class X2.
8. My beloved family and friends, especially Rossy Utami, who endlessly supported me.
9. All my colleagues in English Education Program and GET (Global English Training), thank you for your great help and support.

I believe that this thesis still owns some drawbacks. Therefore, any criticisms and comments from those who are really willing to improve the thesis will be sincerely and thankfully appreciated.

Jember, November 4th 2012

Writer
TABLE OF CONTENT

Page

TITLE PAGE ........................................................................................................... i
DEDICATION.......................................................................................................... ii
MOTTO .................................................................................................................... iii
CONSULTANTS’ APPROVAL ................................................................................. iv
APPROVAL OF EXAMINERS ................................................................................ v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .......................................................................................... vi
TABLE OF CONTENT ........................................................................................... vii
THE LIST OF TABLE ............................................................................................. xi
THE LIST OF APPENDICES .................................................................................... xii
SUMMARY .............................................................................................................. xiii

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .................................................................................. 1
1.1 Research Background ....................................................................................... 1
1.2 Research Question ............................................................................................. 5
1.3 Research Objective ........................................................................................... 5
1.4 The Significances of the Research ..................................................................... 6
   1.5.1 Students ...................................................................................................... 7
   1.5.2 English Teachers ....................................................................................... 7
   1.5.3 Future Researchers .................................................................................... 7

CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE ................................................................ 8
2.1 Media in Teaching and Learning ....................................................................... 8
   2.1.1 The Roles of Media in Teaching and Learning ........................................... 8
   2.1.2 The Classification of Media ........................................................................ 9
   2.1.3 Selecting Appropriate Media ..................................................................... 11
2.2 In Preference of Multimedia ............................................................................. 12
2.3 The Application of CALL ................................................................................ 14
   2.3.1 The Advantages of CALL ........................................................................ 15
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2</td>
<td>The Disadvantages of CALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>The Nature of Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1</td>
<td>The Aspects of Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1.1</td>
<td>Grammar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1.2</td>
<td>Vocabulary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1.3</td>
<td>Mechanics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1.4</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1.5</td>
<td>Content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.2</td>
<td>The Characteristics of Good Writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.3</td>
<td>The Writing Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.4</td>
<td>Types of Text Genre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Teaching Writing at Senior High School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Assessing Students’ Writing Achievement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>The Use of Video in Language Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.1</td>
<td>The Roles of Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.2</td>
<td>Types of Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.3</td>
<td>The Benefits of Using Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.4</td>
<td>The Hurdles of Using Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.5</td>
<td>Considerations in Using Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>The Use of Picture in Language Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8.1</td>
<td>The Roles of Picture in Language Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8.2</td>
<td>Types of Picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8.2.1</td>
<td>Single Picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8.2.2</td>
<td>Pictures in Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8.2.3</td>
<td>Composite Picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8.3</td>
<td>The Benefits of Using Picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8.4</td>
<td>The Hurdles of Using Picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Music in Teaching and Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>Clip, Music and Picture in Video for Teaching Descriptive text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>The Procedure in Teaching Descriptive Text Writing with the Video</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>How to Devise a Video by Using WMM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHOD

3.1 Research Design ................................................................. 52
3.2 Area Determination Method ................................................ 54
3.3 Respondent Determination Method ....................................... 54
3.4 Operational Definition of the Variables ................................ 55
  3.4.1 Windows Movie Maker .................................................... 55
  3.4.2 Video ............................................................................. 56
  3.4.3 Descriptive Text .............................................................. 56
  3.4.4 Descriptive Writing Achievement .................................... 56
3.5 Data Collection Method ....................................................... 57
  3.5.1 Writing Test ................................................................... 57
  3.5.2 Interview ....................................................................... 59
  3.5.3 Documentation .............................................................. 60
3.6 Data Analysis Method .......................................................... 60
  4.1 Schedule of the Research ..................................................... 62
  4.2 The Result of Secondary Data Collection ............................. 62
    4.2.1 The Result of Interview ................................................ 63
    4.2.2 The Result of Documentation ........................................ 64
  4.3 The Result of Homogeneity Test Analysis ................................ 64
  4.4 The Execution of the Treatment .......................................... 66
  4.5 The Result of Primary Data Analysis .................................... 66
    4.5.1 The Analysis of SPSS .................................................... 67
    4.5.2 The Analysis of DRE ..................................................... 70
  4.6 Hypotheses Verification ..................................................... 71
4.7 Discussion ........................................................................... 71

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS ......................... 75
5.1 Conclusion .......................................................................... 75
  5.2 Suggestion ......................................................................... 75
    5.2.1 The English Teacher ..................................................... 75
5.2.2 The Students........................................................................................................ 76
5.2.3 The Future Researchers..................................................................................... 76
REFERENCES............................................................................................................. 77
Appendices................................................................................................................ 81
THE LIST OF TABLES

List of Tables

Table 4.1.  The Schedule of Conducting the Research .................................. 62
Table 4.2.  The Total Number of the Tenth Grade Students at SMAN 3 Lumajang in the 2012/2013 Academic Year ................................................. 64
Table 4.3.  The ANOVA .................................................................................. 65
Table 4.4.  The Schedule of Conducting the Treatments .............................. 66
Table 4.5.  The Schedule of Conducting the Meetings ................................. 66
Table 4.6.  The Group Statistics on the Total Score ..................................... 67
Table 4.7  The Output of Independent Sample t-test .................................. 67
Table 4.8  The Group Statistics on the Score of Each Writing Aspect ............ 68
Table 4.9  The Output of Independent Sample t-test .................................. 69
THE LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Research Matrix ................................................. 81
Appendix 2. The Interview Guide ........................................ 82
Appendix 3. Interview Result .................................................. 83
Appendix 4. Lesson Plan 1 in Experimental Class ................... 85
Appendix 5. Lesson Plan 2 in Experimental Class ................. 93
Appendix 6. Lesson Plan 3 in Experimental Class ................. 102
Appendix 7. Lesson Plan 1 in Control Class ......................... 111
Appendix 8. Lesson Plan 2 in Control Class ......................... 119
Appendix 9. Lesson Plan 3 in Control Class ......................... 127
Appendix 10. Descriptive Writing Homogeneity Test ............. 136
Appendix 11. Descriptive Writing Post Test ......................... 137
Appendix 12. Scoring Rubric ............................................... 138
Appendix 13. The Score of Homogeneity Test ....................... 140
Appendix 14. The Name of Respondents ............................... 141
Appendix 15. The Post Test Score of Experimental Class ....... 142
Appendix 16. The Post Test Score of Control Class .............. 145
Appendix 17. The Result of Process Evaluation in Experimental Class (Meeting 1) ......................................................... 148
Appendix 18. The Result of Process Evaluation in Experimental Class (Meeting 2) ...................................................... 150
Appendix 19. The Result of Process Evaluation in Experimental Class (Meeting 3) ...................................................... 152
Appendix 20. The Result of Process Evaluation in Control Class (Meeting 1) ............................................................. 154
Appendix 21. The Result of Process Evaluation in Control Class (Meeting 2) ............................................................. 156
Appendix 22. The Result of Process Evaluation in Control Class (Meeting 3) ............................................................. 158
Appendix 23. The Letter of Research Permission ..................... 160
Appendix 24. The Letter of Research Conducting .................. 161
Appendix 25. The Samples of the Students’ Work ................... 162
One of the productive skills in learning a foreign language is writing. In comparison to the skill of speaking, writing demands more skills since it lacks of immediate feedback from the addressee as a kind of guide and feedback. Because of lacking guide and feedback, a writer should anticipate the readers’ misinterpretation on the written discourse and try to produce a text that, according to Grice in Kooshafar et al. (2012), is clear, relevant, truthful, informative, interesting, and memorable. In order to meet the efficacy of this communicative act, linguistic accuracy, clear presentation, and organized ideas should be taken into consideration. In addition, the teaching of writing in both EFL and ESL class possesses distant obstacles in comparison to that of other skills. In fact, teaching writing has been enormously difficult to EFL and ESL teachers. This is supported by Setyono (2002) who argues that among the four language skills, writing is regarded to be the most difficult one for students have to be able to communicate with their readers indirectly and clearly by providing clear written information in good language which meets the rules of grammar, vocabulary, organization, and mechanics.

In order to overcome such hurdles, more and more English teachers begin to use various instructional media which, most commonly nowadays, are related to multimedia and CALL. For the nature of multimedia and CALL enables teachers to improve, develop, and even invent instructional media, a great number of instructional media have been created these days.

Referring to the previous premises, the researcher conducted an experiment on teaching writing by creating and displaying a particular video devised by Windows Movie Maker.
Movie Maker (WMM). The research conducted was pre-experimental research: intact group comparison. Descriptive text was chosen as the research topic on the assumption that the experiment, as far as the researcher knew, has never been conducted before. Consequently, choosing the simplest text genre from the applied syllabus as the topic seemed to be an ideal thing to do.

Before conducting the treatment, a homogeneity test and cluster random sampling were purposively done, taking X1 class as the experimental class and X2 class as the control one. In line with the teacher’s syllabus, the researcher selected animal, famous people, and interesting place as the topics of the writing activity. In the end of the treatment, there was one specific topic chosen as the topic of the post test, which was famous people. The topic selection was owing to the premise that every student usually had an idol inspiring them so that they would expectedly know a lot to write about.

Considering the analysis on SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) which generated the significance value of 0.000, it was statistically proven that the use of video devised by WMM had a significant impact on the students’ descriptive writing achievement. Moreover, the analysis indicated that there was significant difference between the classes especially on the aspect of content, language use, vocabulary, and organization. This significance was supported by the result of DRE (Degree of Relative Effectiveness) analysis, which statistically indicated that the overall score of experimental class was higher by 11.81% than that of control one. On the basis of the writing aspects under study, DRE analysis generated the value of 23.25% on vocabulary, 21.64% on the language use, 9.24% on the content, and 7.32% on the organization. However, it did not happen on the aspect of mechanic. The SPSS analysis indicated the significance value of 0.719, showing that that there was insignificant difference on mechanic between the classes. The DRE analysis on mechanic has also shown insignificant mean difference in that the experimental class was subtly different by only 1.12% from the control class.