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Abstract: In line with the principle of regional autonomy which implemented in 2001, the Central Government of the Republic of Indonesia encourages each region to be able to develop the local economy in accordance with its potential. In drawing up the strategy of regional economic development in the DIY province, two basic principles of regional economic development in the DIY province should be noted that Find-Recognize (menemu-kenali) regional economic and regional development management to formulate pro-business. The purpose of this study is drafting the Regional Economic Development Strategy which is based on the implementation of the Triple Helix model, which requires coordination, synergy and collaboration between government, private (business) and society (academics). The method used is a qualitative approach, combined with a quantitative approach. The analysis focused on the development of GDP and investment in the DIY province, Provincial sectoral economic development in DIY province, income distribution, poverty, cooperation elements of the triple helix of economic development, and internal and external environment. As a result, during (2013-2014) economic performance which demonstrated in GDP and budgeting in Sleman and Yogyakarta city beyond the average DIY province. Sectoral conditions in the economy of DIY Province were analyzed by using the Shift-Share (SS). Based on years of 2013-2014 GDP DIY province known construction, excavation and agriculture is relatively more competitive than six other sectors. Income distribution is analyzed through figures Gini ratio, the size of the imbalance according to World Bank criteria, and Williamson Index, in the year 2013 - 2014, shows a similar pattern, where the distribution of income in the province tend to be more evenly distributed. By using the analysis of the poverty gap index (poverty gap index, P1) and poverty severity index (poverty severity index, P2) the gap between the average standard of living of the population is under the poverty line to the poverty line and the gap between the poor is relatively low. In terms of economic development cooperation, collaboration, synergy and coordination among regions run optimally and cooperation between elements of the Triple Helix done well. Support famous colleges and scattered in the province, giving depth academic analysis contribution in designing local economic development in DIY.
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With the national economic growth rate of 6.0%, external economic conditions (economic Indonesia) sufficient to provide support for local economic development of DIY Province.
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**THE PROBLEM BACKGROUND**

Regional autonomy is implemented per January 1, 2001 has given a greater role to the government and economic actors in the region to address regional development. Therefore, the implementation of regional autonomy is the right moment to give a greater role to the government and economic actors in the region to address regional development.

The purpose of regional development is to improve the welfare and reduce poverty. The achievement of prosperity and poverty reduction in the region is done through policy-based economic development in the region. Economic development in the region is done by the implementation of fiscal decentralization for efficiency, increase economic growth, and economic development loal (Fuad, 2005: 245; Musgrave and Musgrave, 1991: 7; Arsyad, 2004: 64).

In fiscal decentralization, whatever the form of government of a country, either federal or unitary state, will always bring up the pattern of inter-governmental fiscal relations (fiscal intergovernmental relationship). Fuad (2005: 27), found that there are two models of inter-governmental fiscal relations that prevail today, namely fiscal federalism (fiscal federalism) and the federal finance (federal finance). In the model of fiscal federalism, the power concentration at the center is so high. In this perspective, the appropriate framework for decentralization is top down and patterned deconcentration or delegation maximally, and the appropriate analytical framework is agency theory. The implications of the fiscal relationship model of fiscal federalism are various forms of transfers from central government to local governments (provincial and district / city) in order to promote regional autonomy and to improve local infrastructure, normally will be spent by local governments in accordance with the guidelines and sectors which have been set by the central government.

Raw economic dimension of a public finance policy are macroeconomic stability, fairness (equity), and efficiency (Musgrave and Musgrave, 1991: 7). The aspect of efficiency is the raison d’etre for fiscal decentralization. Because each individual preferences for different public goods, then in a decentralized fiscal system, each individual may choose to live in a community or society according to their preferences in order to maximize social welfare.

Economic arguments about the efficiency comes from the fact that local governments can meet a variety of interests and opinions of the population and
can allocate resources (resources) more efficiently than the central government. This fiscal decentralization will give local governments the opportunity to make government spending in an effort to move the economy in the area of efficient and to avoid wasting.

Empirical research on decentralization and macroeconomic management provide little support that decentralization inherent to economic stability. Recent studies on the relationship between fiscal decentralization with macro-economic management found that fiscal decentralization system offers greater potential improvements to the improvement of macro-economic management than centralized fiscal system. In fact, federal countries which are decentralized high as Switzerland, Germany, Austria, and the United States have a macro-economic performance is very stable and low inflation. (Arsyad, 2004; Hall, 2000).

Sidik (2013) states that the successful implementation of decentralization will depend on the design, implementation process, political support both at the level of decision-making at each level of government, and society, the readiness of the government administration, institutional development and human resources, coordination mechanisms for improving the performance of the bureaucratic apparatus, changes in value systems and behavior of the bureaucracy to meet the wishes of the people, especially in the public sector services. In addition, Sidik (2013) also argued in support of the implementation of decentralization, local governments must be supported by financial resources are adequate, whether from local revenue, loans, and transfers from the central government. Implementation of fiscal decentralization will run well when central government is capable of doing surveillance and enforcement, and there is a balance between accountability and authority in conducting taxes and levies.

On the other hand the economic development of the area will develop if economic growth is high. In the perspective of the classical theory (Arsyad, 2004), high economic growth will be achieved when the economy is in a state of full processing (full employment) and capital goods consisting in society is used fully, consists of two sectors, the household sector and the companies sector means the government and the foreign trade does not exist, the amount of private savings is proportional to the magnitude of national income means saving function starts from zero, as well as the propensity to save (the marginal propensity to save = MPS) as well as the amount of fixed capital-output ratio (capital- output ratio = COR) and the capital-output ratio of gain (incremental capital-output ratio = ICOR).

More specifically the rate of output growth is positively related to the ratio of savings. The higher savings and invested, the higher output will be, while the
relationship between the COR with a growth rate of output is negative (greater COR, the lower the growth rate of output). The economic logic of the equation is very simple. If you want to grow, the economy should save and invest a certain proportion of its total output. The more savings and invest, the faster the economy will grow. But the real economic growth rate actually depends on the productivity of investment. (Badarudin, 2012).

Emil and Feser (1999) said that local economic development is more successful when it is done by building the local economy (local economic development). Regional economic development strategy involving various fundamental understanding of the potential and opportunities of the region and is associated with an increased capacity of local government officials, legislators, businessmen, and residents of the area in general (Arifin, 2005). The potential of local leadership and managerial capabilities of a leader in the bureaucracy, parliament, and the business community in the area until the readiness of the stakeholders to implement regional development became a dominant factor in the performance of regional economic development. In the context of economic decentralization, local economic development is a necessity because it was difficult to be expected merely an economic development can be compatible with the needs and potential of the region if it is too thick shades centralized (Hirawan, 2007: 3).

To support the demands of efficiency and economic of scale in the context of decentralization, it is necessary a major prerequisite that must be met, namely the organization of an efficient state anyway. In other words, the economic development of the region also requires clean and authoritative governance (GCG), both at central and local levels that are capable of running an economic policy efficiently. Therefore, the selection and application of a regional economic development strategy being so crucial in the context of economic decentralization and regional autonomy as it is now.

Kadiman (2005) said the strategy of regional economic development by taking into account the perspective of the relationship of various parties needed commitment and the real work of the three aspects called Triple Helix, covers A (Academician), B (businessman), and G (government) becomes an option for the local economic development. To reach a high HDI value as an indicator of the success of the development, the government should give full support to empower business people who synergized with the academia and the government itself. Triple helix model implementation is one of the solutions of the constraints faced by the business. Triple helix facilitates the creation of mutual collaboration between the three parties involved in it. It is hoped that a more open relationship and mutual benefit will be made between the academician with local governments, academics and business people, and businesses with local government. The government’s
commitment to increase the education budget to 20% of the state budget should be addressed university academics to improve the quality of education as well as contribute to regional development. University academics are expected to be able to play their part in solving the problems faced by local governments such as economic and social problems of society. Local governments are required to give more leeway and ease bureaucracy, regulation, and policy in the economic system, so that businesses can run their business optimally. Instead, businesses are also expected to be able to take part as a business person who upholds the ethics of business and its corporate responsibility.

RESEARCH METHODS

Types and Sources of Data
The type of data used is discrete data and continuous data sourced from secondary data obtained and collected from textbooks and various reports / books / compact disc published by the relevant agencies, such as the Secretariat of State; Ministry Of Finance; Ministry Of Internal Affairs; Secretariat Provincial Government and Regency / City; and the Central Bureau of Statistics (BPS) Central, Provincial and District / City. Studies support articles collected through the website in the form of reference of periodicals, books, papers, scientific journals and reports.

Instruments Used
The instrument used in this study is a questionnaire in the form of working papers distributed in the Focus Group Discussion (FGD). In the FGD will be discussed topics and for each topic of discussion will be distributed paperwork. Working paper serves as an instrument and will be collected after the participants to put what happened in the discussion: what do the proposed, how the response of other participants on these opinions, and notes the researcher.

Data Collection Technique
The data collecting method used in this research is the study of literature, observation and interviews and focus group discussions.

Data Analysis Techniques
The analysis technique conducted qualitatively and quantitatively. The qualitative analysis technique is to formulate the normative aspects that must be done at each stage of the Regional Economic Development Strategy. The quantitative analysis technique is to formulate the magnitude ratios to be achieved and realized the economic development of the region.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of GDP and investment in the province

During the period of 2 years (2013-2014), economic performance in Sleman and Yogyakarta City topped the average in DIY. These conditions indicate that the two regions are supporting the economy in the province. Bantul economic performance is nearly equal to the average of Yogyakarta Province, while economic performance in Kulon Progo Regency or Gunung Kidul still below the average in DIY province. The conditions during this period did not last a shift, meaning there is no district / city to surpass other regions. Viewed from the side the ability of the region, the difference between the value of GDP district / city is very dependent on natural resources and human resources that are owned and supported by the available technology. Gunungkidul with an area of nearly one-third of Yogyakarta Province, turned out in 2012 only ranks fourth in terms of the amount of the value of GDP is generated. This is due to the economy Gunungkidul still supported by the agricultural sector, while the agricultural land in the area is relatively barren with low productivity. Meanwhile, the city of Yogyakarta with the smallest area but with the amount of economic activity in every sector and supported by the infrastructure and technology that more adequately able to achieve greater value of GDP (first order).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDRB</th>
<th>Yogyakarta</th>
<th>Sleman</th>
<th>KulonProgo</th>
<th>Gunung Kidul</th>
<th>Bantul</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>14,08</td>
<td>14,78</td>
<td>13,67</td>
<td>12,92</td>
<td>15,73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8,00</td>
<td>9,24</td>
<td>8,17</td>
<td>11,67</td>
<td>8,94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Business field which contributes to the formation of GDP in each district / city different from each other. In the city of Yogyakarta main service sector contributes the formation of GDP (24.52%), while in Sleman is a business hotel and restaurant sector (22.82%), Bantul agriculture sector (20.84%), Kulon Progo agricultural sector (24.11%) and Gunung Kidul agricultural sector accounted for the formation of GDP amounted to 34.24%. For the business sector that contributes little in formation of GDP is the sector of mining and quarrying sector enterprises Gas, electricity and water. For all districts / cities both the business sector only contributed formation of GDP is less than 2%.

Based Typology Klassen, district / city located in quadrant II called forward and fast-growing areas that are relatively indicate the areas already developed
economy and would be faster progress because they have an economic growth rate and GDP per capita is relatively high compared with the average DIY. District / city located in quadrant IV is advanced but depressed regions in absolute terms the economy has reached a high level but the rate of growth relatively low compared to the average DIY.

**Figure 1: Klassen Typology Regency / City in the province of Yogyakarta Special Region**

![Diagram showing Klassen Typology]

*Source:* BPS DIY, the data is processed.

In terms of investment, most spatially accumulated during the period 2013-2014 occurred in the city of Yogyakarta with a value of more than Rp2 trillions. Followed by Sleman (> 1.2 trillion), Bantul, Gunung Kidul, and Kulonprogo. The three districts of the investment value for each ranging about under 200 billion. In 2013, the highest investment growth occurred in Bantul, which increased by 11.5% than the previous year. However, the largest share in overall investment growth (3.49%) given by Sleman which grew by 5.76%, followed by investment growth of Yogyakarta, which reached 1.03%.

ICOR figures in Yogyakarta in 2013 culminated in the amount of 7.83 and a fairly high figure for the reference period 2010-2014. This situation is caused by some capital goods were damaged. As a consequence, governments, businesses, and households have to undertake serious efforts in the reconstruction, repair tools and other investment capital in the value of a relatively much larger. Most of the value of these efforts is long-term investments, so that figures to be relatively high ICOR. However, in 2014 the numbers ICOR back toward a more efficient, that is equal to 7.13. In the years 2013-2014 investment capabilities with its own funds
also suffered setbacks. As shown on the indicator of Gross Domestic Investment (GDI) OF 34.08 that go beyond Gross Domestic Saving (GDS) since the year 2013, amounting to 33.79. This is an indication that it is important to get the attention of all parties to build the DIY economy. To address the need for such investment needs to be increased efforts to absorb foreign investment in DIY, either outside the province or abroad. This can be achieved if the investment climate in the province more attractive in comparison to other areas.

**Sectoral Economic Analysis**

Sectoral conditions in the economy of DIY Province were analyzed by using the Shift-Share (SS), Static Location Quotient (SLQ), and Dynamic Location Quotient (DLQ). Shift-Share Analysis (SS) is used to determine the performance or productivity of the economy compared with the economies in the region the wider region / country. Shift-share analysis results based GDP DIY Province in 2013-2014 can be seen that the construction sector, quarrying and agriculture is relatively more competitive than six other sectors. In a more detailed economic analysis of sectoral value DIY Province as follows:

**Table 2**  
Shiff-share Value, SLQ and DLQ PDRB DIY Province, year 2013-2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Field</th>
<th>Shift-share (SS)</th>
<th>SLQ</th>
<th>DLQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>2,30</td>
<td>1,28</td>
<td>1,03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation</td>
<td>-09,33</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>0,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing industry</td>
<td>-304,25</td>
<td>1,23</td>
<td>0,68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity and water</td>
<td>-11,12</td>
<td>0,95</td>
<td>0,81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>130,66</td>
<td>1,37</td>
<td>1,40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade, hotel, restaurant</td>
<td>-341,01</td>
<td>1,43</td>
<td>0,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport and communications</td>
<td>-529,05</td>
<td>1,66</td>
<td>0,41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, real estate and business services</td>
<td>-120,02</td>
<td>1,03</td>
<td>0,79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>-311,25</td>
<td>1,89</td>
<td>0,71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: BPS DIY, Year 2003-2009.*

SLQ analysis results showed that only two sectors that are not a sector of a base in the province, namely the excavation sector and the electricity and water
sector so it can be interpreted that these two sectors are relatively less plays a role in the economy of Yogyakarta Province, while other sectors have a positive role. DLQ analysis results indicated that nlai DLQ on one produced by agriculture and construction, which means the potential for the development of the sector is better than the same sectors at the national level. Incorporation of the results of the analysis of SLQ and DLQ can produce grouping sectors in the economy of DIY Province into four categories, namely seed, prospective, mainstay, and less promising. Agriculture and construction sectors are leading sectors. There are five sectors that include prospective namely electricity and water sector; trade, hotels and restaurants; transport and communications; finance, leasing, and business services; and services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLQ</th>
<th>DLQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading Sector : Agriculture</td>
<td>Prospective sector : Processing Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>Trade, Hotel and Restaurant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transport and Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Finance, real estate and business services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainstay:</td>
<td>Less Prospective : Electricity and Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Results Analysis*

Meanwhile, the result of economic calculations based typology of DIY Province Klasen obtained the following results:

1. The prime sector: trade, hotels and restaurants
2. Potential sectors: agriculture; processing industry; and services
3. Sector thrives / grows: electricity and clean water; construction; transport and communications; and financial services, leasing and corporate services
4. Sector backward / less potential: excavation.

**Income Distribution**

Unequal distribution of income can be analyzed from figures Gini ratio, the size of the imbalance according to World Bank criteria, and Williamson Index. In the years 2013-2014, the value of the Gini ratio and the World Bank criteria showed a
similar pattern, where the distribution of income in the province tend to be more evenly distributed. However, Williamson index value does not show exactly the same pattern. Gini ratio value (RG) ranging between 0-1. The closer to 1 means that income inequality among the population widened. If inequality is getting close to 0 means that the distribution of income among the population more evenly. Referring to the three levels according to Oshima RG value, then the value of RG DIY Province which is in the range from 0.3 to 0.5 indicates that the level of inequality in the region including the medium or moderate. In other words, equal distribution of incomes of the population in the province that includes the city of Yogyakarta, Sleman, Bantul, Kulon Progo and Gunung Kidul shows the level of equalization which was considered not to cause jealousy economy. The gulf between rich population of poor people is not wide.

Poverty

The number of poor people by the year 2013 based on BPS data DIY Province decreased 17.2 thousand or 2.71% to 616.3 thousand people, from his position in 2012 is 633.5 thousand inhabitants. The number of poor by BPS is calculated based on the individual’s basic needs are assessed in the form of dollars. In December 2013, the poverty line is based on food expenditure is Rp141,597,00 per capita per month, while the poverty line is Rp194,830,00 total per capita per month (BPS Prov. DIY, 2014). Based on the poor data processing which can be produced poverty gap index (poverty gap index, P1) and poverty severity index (poverty severity index, P2) which can show the other side of the problem of poverty? P1 is the gap between the average standard of living of the population is under the poverty line to the poverty line. P2 is a gap between the poor. In 2013, the rate of P1 and P2 is relatively low, compared to the conditions in 2012, respectively 3.35 and 0.92 (December 2012: 3.80 and 1.12). This means that the level of the gap decreases, so that efforts can be said to be relatively successful eradication. Based on spatial distribution, poor population in 2013 is spread almost evenly, ie 52.60% in urban areas and 47.40% in rural areas (BPS Prov. DIY, 2014). Most of them are the poor farmers from 472,082 farming households in the province in 2012, 80.29% of whom are small farmers, that farmers with small and micro enterprises scale land holdings of less than half a hectare. When compared with the conditions of poverty at the national level, the poverty rate in the province is still higher than the national poverty level.

Implementation of Triple Helix Model

On regional economic development strategy is selected, all of which require cooperation among stakeholders in the economic development of DIY. This is due to the fact known boundaries of appropriate administrative regulations and limits functional areas appropriate socio-economic relations across the administrative
boundary. Each region has its administrative borders were defined formally through legislation. In reality, the problems and interests often arise as a result of the functional relationships in the socio-economic field that passes through the boundaries of the administrative region. In this context, the main reason for the necessary cooperation between local governments is that traffic problems can be resolved along the administrative area and vice versa so many potentials can be exploited for the mutual benefit. The consequence is the need to reform microorganizational abilities of Governments at the local level, namely the form of public management reforms to be aware of the current government and not merely fix macroorganizational capacities at the central level. In other words, improvement in the ability of institutions under the central government is indispensable. The other reason why it’s needed to cooperate between local governments areas follows:

1. The parties may cooperate to form a larger force. With cooperation among local governments, the strength of each area can be synergized cooperation to confront environmental threats or problems that complicated nature than when handled separately. The government can work together to overcome environmental obstacles or reach a higher level of productivity.

2. The parties which cooperate can get the higher progress. With cooperation, each region will transfer the intelligence, skill, and information, for example, one area of learning or skill advantages of the other region. Each region will strive to advance or develop themselves of learning outcomes together.

3. The parties can cooperate more helpless. With the cooperation, each region involved a better bargaining position or able to fight for their interests to the higher government structures better. If an area in its own fight for their interests may be less noticeable, but when entered into a member of a regional cooperation forum, the sound will be considered.

4. The parties which cooperate can reduce or prevent conflict. With the cooperation, areas that previously competing or already involved in the conflict, can be more tolerant and try to take advantage or learn from the conflict.

5. Each party is felt justice. Each region will find itself not harmed because there is no transparency in the relationship of cooperation. Each region which involved cooperation has equal access to information created or used.

6. Each party will cooperate sustaining treatment areas of cooperation. With the cooperation of each region are committed not to betray its partner but maintain a mutually beneficial relationship in a sustainable manner.
7. Cooperation can eliminate the ego area. Through such cooperation, the
tendency of the ego areas can be avoided, and the vision of unity as a
nation can grow. In the future, due to inter-regional cooperation should
be seen as an essential requirement that is not inevitable that there should
be a systematic and continuous efforts of the government to introduce,
encourage, and meng institusional is a sik an cooperation between regions
so that local governments used to do it and can take advantage of it.

In the context of regional economic development, demand-based approach
based on and derived from the economic theories of development which has been
established and supported by considerable empirical evidence. In essence, the
demand-based approach (demand driven) is convinced that in order to achieve
broad economic growth of an area must have at least the following two conditions,
1) the area should be able to and succeed in marketing the products (goods and
services) to other areas within a country or overseas export and 2) receipt of
export must produce dual effects or additional revenue turnaround in the regional
economy, minimal through the purchase of factors of production and household
spending on consumer goods by all economic actors involved in the production
and export activities. Regional economic development strategy by taking into
account the perspective of the relationship of various parties needed commitment
and the real work of the three aspects of the Triple Helix, covers A (Academician),
B (businessman), and G (government). To reach a high HDI value as an indicator
of the success of the development, the government gave full support to empower
business people who synergized with the academician and the government
itself. Triple helix model implementation is a solution of the constraints faced by
businesses in the province. Triple helix model implementation has to accommodate
the creation of mutual collaboration between the three parties involved in it.
The third-party relation is more open and mutually beneficial and carried out
between the academician with governments, academics and business people, and
businesses with local governments of DIY. The role of provincial governments
with limited availability of quality human resources especially can be aided by the
presence of experts from academics in universities around DIY province. In the
field study, limited role of HR bureaucracy was seen more due to several factors,
including the regulatory side where the rules are not considered to be touching
the practical aspects of cooperation between the regions, on the institutional side,
especially as not all the provincial or district / city do restructuring of government
organizations, in terms of human resources, both for reasons of quality and a
limited number of personnel or for other reasons, and weak coordination among
levels of government (central government, provincial governments, and district/
city government) in a matter of cooperation. In connection with the agreement,
there are three strategic issues which must be identified and then studied and
addressed, namely 1) strengthening the role and ability of provinces in organizing
the functions of cooperation between regions or local government cooperation, 2) determine areas which can or should be cooperated, and 3) models of cooperation in accordance with the nature of these fields. These issues are considered strategic because of its position largely determines the success of cooperation among local governments in the future.

In developing DIY Province economies it must consider the Indonesian economy because is as a rule in the implementation of the regional economy. Indonesian macro economic indicator data in 2013 and 2014 according to Bank Indonesia (Bank Indonesia Reports Third Quarter 2013), Indonesia’s economy in the third quarter of 2014 continued to grow along with macro stability is maintained. In the third quarter of 2014 the domestic economy is expected to grow by 5.8% (year of year). The growth was mainly supported by exports on the external side and the increase in consumption and investments related to the improvement in domestic demand. Meanwhile, Indonesia’s balance of payments (BOP) in the third quarter of 2013 had a surplus higher than the previous forecast mainly due to the capital and financial account much better. This is caused by increased capital inflows of portfolio investment and direct investment. This favorable situation along with the improvement of international perception of the Indonesian economy and continued high investment yields in domestic assets. With these developments, international reserves at the end of the third quarter of 2013 reached USD86,55 billion, equivalent to 6.6 months of imports and government foreign debt payments. The foreign exchange reserves increased significantly compared to the previous quarter’s foreign exchange reserves amounted to USD76,3 billion. Along with the surge in capital inflows and currency appreciation were also experienced by other countries in the region as well as the balance of payments surplus is large enough, the rupiah also continue to move with a strong tendency is accompanied by the risk factors under control. During the third quarter of 2010 the average value of the rupiah has appreciated 1.2% return dibandingka previous quarter to a level of Rp8,998 / USD. Rupiah appreciation was supported by domestic macroeconomic fundamentals are strong with positive global sentiment. So far it does not affect the rupiah strengthening export competitiveness due to the strong international demand. On the side of price stability, inflationary pressures increased in the third quarter of 2013 mainly sourced from food-related increase in demand, distribution and production disruptions due to weather anomalies, and the factor of the increase in goods and services whose prices are influenced government policy (administered prices) with the increase in electricity tariff (TDL) in July 2013. These developments led to an annual inflation Consumer Price Index (CPI) at the end of the third quarter of 2013 reached the level of 5.80%, an increase compared to the previous quarter CPI annual inflation amounted to 5.05%. To that
end, Bank Indonesia decided to keep the BI Rate at 6.50%, which is still considered necessary in accordance with the climate in the process of the ongoing economic recovery, consistent with achieving the inflation target in 2013 and 2014 by 5 ± 1%. Bank Indonesia policy strategy remains geared to maintain a balance between encouraging real sector, maintaining price stability, maintaining the confidence of financial markets and guarding the integrity of the financial system. Economic growth in 2013 reached 6.0% In addition, Indonesia has the opportunity to increase ratings reach investment grade in 2014 so that the capital inflow from outside is increasing. At some future time have to take into account the uncertainty of the economic recovery of developed countries, inflationary pressures due to rising prices administed such as Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG), and the impact of weather anomalies on domestic food production and the uncertainty of international food prices. The Government and Bank Indonesia can address rising inflationary pressures and adjusting the monetary policy response is needed to ensure that inflation remains within the range of targets which have been set, namely 5% ± 1% in 2013 and 2014.

CONCLUSION
As a privileged area and has many well-known, qualified universities, Yogyakarta Province has the advantage in the provision of experts to help local economic development thinking. Through various studies conducted by experts from academician, business people and government gain scientific view basis to develop a variety of business sectors developed in Yogyakarta. Cooperation between local government, the business community and academician (triple helix) has contributed to the development of the local economy at DIY Province which more based on the development of creative economy as a result of the economic development of DIY scientific study conducted by academician. Various economic studies have subsequently followed up by the local government and the business community to develop the local economy in the province. DIY provinces which do not have natural resources - except superior human resources - are able to develop the local economy with a demonstrated increase in GDP contribution to the formation of the budget, leading to a boost prosperity and reduce poverty population in the province.
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