Avoidance of the English Phrasal Verbs as a Strategy in Learning Process: A Case Study of the English Department Students of Faculty of Letters Jember University 2011 and 2012 Academic Years

Christina Lusi Nur Farida, Samudji, Hari Supriono

English Department, Faculty of Letters, Jember University Jln. Kalimantan 37, Jember 68121 *E-mail*: christinalusi92@gmail.com

Abstract

This study endeavors to investigate the avoidance of phrasal verbs as a strategy in learning process. This study aims to find out the effect of semantic nature of different types of phrasal verb (literal and figurative) in the learners' tendency to avoid phrasal verb, to describe how the impact(s) of the avoidance strategy to the learners in learning process and what are the reasons. The subject of this research is English Department students in Jember University that takes 36 students from 2011/2012 academic year and 52 students from 2012/2013 academic year. To accomplish this, the test and questionnaires are administrated. The result of the study shows that both 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 students tend to use phrasal verbs more than one-word verb. The avoidance of phrasal verbs of both academic years is weak compared to one-word verbs avoidance. Moreover, based on the test result showing the uses of phrasal verbs, the students tend to avoid figurative phrasal verbs dominantly more than literal phrasal verbs. Furthermore, the students dominantly choose one-word verbs because of their being uncertain about the meaning (lack of knowledge). The avoidance strategy itself appears to give negative impact for the learners in the learning process. Avoidance causes the vocabulary to be limited and undeveloped.

Keywords: Learning Strategy, Avoidance, Phrasal Verb, Figurative Phrasal Verb, Literal Phrasal Verb.

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahut tentang penghindaran phrasal verb sebagai strategy dalam pembelajaran. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menemukan efek dari dua tipe phrasal verb yang berbeda secara makna (literal and figurative phrasal verbs) dalam kecenderungan pembelajar dalam menghindari phrasal verb, unruk mendiskripsikan bagaimana efek dari penghindaran phrasal verb serta apa saja alasan mereka menghindarinya. Subjek dalam penelitian ini adalah mahasiswa Sastra Inggris, Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Jember sebanyak 36 dari angkatan tahun 2011/2012 dan 52 dari 2012/2013. Untuk mengetahui hasilnya, penelitian ini menggunakan tes dan kuisoner. Hasil dari peneliian menunjukkan bahwa mahasiswa angkatan 2011/2012 dan 2 012/2013 cenderung menggunakan phrasal verbs lebih banyak daripada one-word verbs. Tingkat penhindaran phrasal verbs kedua angkatan cenderun lemah dibandingka dengan penghindaran one-word verbs. Berdasarkan pengunaan phrasal verbs, mahasiswa secara dominan cendeung menghindari figurative phrasal verbs daripada literal phrasal verbs. Mahasiswa cenderung menggunakan one-word verbs karena mereka tidak yakin akan arti dari phrasal verbs (kurangnya pengetahuan akan phrasal verbs). Strategy penghindaran sendiri memberi dampak negatif untuk mahasiswa di dalam proses pembelajaran. Penghindaran menyebabkan kosakat terbatas dan tidak berkembang.

Abstrak

Kata kunci: Strategi Pembelajaran, Penghindaran, Phrasal Verb, Figurative Phrasal Verb, Literal Phrasal Verb.

Introduction

As a part of learning strategy, avoidance is one of the strategies that is usually used by learners to avoid error production and to overcome difficulties in the learning process. Furthermore, avoidance is used as strategy to avoid an error production in foreign or second language (Mattar, 2003:103). Avoidance is viewed as a strategy that is used by EFL learners to overcome their difficulties from difficult item or structure in the target language (L2). In the English learning process, one of the subjects learned by the learners is phrasal verbs. Phrasal verb is a part of vocabularies that is designed to develop a set of ability in communication. Native speakers of English use full phrasal verb when they are writing and speaking (Sangoor, 2012:93). It shows that when the learners want to master English like the native speaker of English, the students have to apply more phrasal verb in their communication. Further, phrasal verbs have been regarded as important component in learning. However, Indonesian learners that do not have phrasal verb structure in their language will find troubles in applying phrasal verbs in L2 production and tend to avoid. Avoidance of phrasal verbs belongs to lexical avoidance strategy. Lexical or vocabulary avoidance strategy occurs because there is a semantic difference between L1 and L2, L1-L2 structure differences and also L1 and L2 similarity.

In accordance with the phenomena it aimed to answer the following questions:

- 1. How frequent do the students avoid phrasal verb types related to the semantic complexity (literal and figurative phrasal verbs)?
- 2. Why do the learners do avoidance?
- 3. Does their avoidance give impact on their learning process? If any, how does the avoidance impact the learners in learning process?

Literature Review

Avoidance strategy is used when the learners encounter difficulty in both spoken and written communication. The learners will choose to produce or avoid certain structure in the learning process (Gass & Selinker, 2008:138). Pazhakh (2006:2) states that when speaking or writing using second or foreign language, the learner often avoids some difficult words, structures, topics, etc. with easier words or structures. "Avoidance occurs when learners know or expect the existence of a problem and at least have some truncated idea of what the target form is like, avoidance arises when learners know what the target form is like but find it too difficult to be used and avoidance is apparent when learners know what to say and how to say it but are unwilling to express it since it yields to flout their norms of behavior" (Kellerman, 1992 in Sara and Mohammadreza, 2013:238). However, semantic complexity has a great role in avoidance (Laufer and Eliasson, 1993:46). Furthermore, Hulstijn and Marchena (1989) also say that EFL learners of English avoid phrasal verbs because the differences between transparent and nontransparent meaning of phrasal verbs also give an influence. Li (1996:182) also states that semantic or structural aspects of target language features contributed to avoidance. The main theory of this research is avoidance of phrasal verbs related to the semantic nature of different types of phrasal verbs (literal and figurative phrasal verbs) proposed by Liao and Fukuya (2004). Additionally,

Hulstjin and Marchena's classification of transparent and non-transparent phrasal verbs are different terms but same nature with literal and figurative phrasal verbs of Liao and Fukuya's.

Research Methodology

This research is conducted by using both qualitative and quantitative method. Quantitative method is applied because this study tries to describe the result of the test and questionnaire in the form of number and the percentage of the use of literal and figurative phrasal verbs. Therefore, qualitative method is also used to describe the result of the test, the reasons and the impact(s) of avoidance of phrasal verb in the learning process. In this research, I use double-ended questionnaires (open-ended and closed-ended questionnaire). There are two questionnaires. First questionnaire consists of seven questions that are distributed before the test. The questionnaire is used to complete the information about the personal perception about avoidance of phrasal verb and to know the reason and impact of the students doing avoidance in the learning process. Second questionnaire is distributed after the test. The second questionnaire is closed-questions which are related to the test. The subjects of this research are 36 students from 2011/2012 academic year and 52 students from 2012/2013 academic year of English Department students of Jember University.

Result

The result of the study shows that both 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 students tend to use phrasal verbs. Based on the correct and incorrect answers, the students tends to avoid one-word verbs more than phrasal verbs. Based in the test result in the use of phrasal verbs, it can be inferred that the students of English Departments, 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 academic years tend to avoid figurative phrasal verbs.

Table 4.2 Scores of phrasal verb test from the total participant of the students of 2011/2012 academic year

R		Scores	%
36	PVs	285	39.6%
	OWVs	298	41.4%
	WAs	137	19%
	Blank	-	0
	Ν	720	100,00%

Note. R=Respondents; %=Percentages; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; OWVs=One-Word Verbs; WAs=Wrong Answers Farida, Christina L.N. et al., Avoidance of the English Phrasal Verbs as a Strategy in Learning Process: A Case 3 Study of the English Department Students of Faculty of Letters Jember University 2011 and 2012 Academic Years

Table 4.3	Scores of phrasal verb test from the total
	participant of the students of 2012/2013
	academic year

R		Scores	%
36	PVs	435	41.8%
	OWVs	402	38.7%
	WAs	203	19.5%
	Blank	-	0%
	Ν	720	100,00%

Note. R=Respondents; %=Percentages; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; OWVs=One-Word Verbs; WAs=Wrong Answers

The use of phrasal verbs in students of 2011/2012 academic year (39.6%) is lower than their junior (41.8%). The percentages of the use of correct one-word verbs are 41.4% for students of 2011/2012 academic year and 38.7% for students of 2012/2013 academic year. In other words, students of 2012/2013 academic year used phrasal verbs more intensively than their senior.

Table 4.4 Summary of phrasal verbs and one-word
verbs usage found in the phrasal verb test
from 2011/2012 students (based on correct
and incorrect answer)

								2011/2012	studen	ts	
Verbs		Total Scores	Sco	res	0/		PV types	Total Sco	res	Scores	%
			n	Σ			LPVs	520		351	67.5%
PVs	LPVs	0	273	402	37.9%	55.8%	FPVs	520	7	84	16.1%
	FPVs		129		17,90%	Sn.	Note.	%=Percentag	ges;	PVs=Phrasal	Verbs;
OWVs	LPVs	0	83	318	11.5%	44.2%	LPVs=Lit	eral Phrasal	Verbs;	FPVs=Figurat	ive Phrasal
	FPVs		235		32.6%		Verbs				
Note	0/-Dara	anto goo:	n-M	umho	r of D	achoncos.	Manufacture and				

Note. %=Percentages; n=Number of Responses; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; OWVs=One-Word Verbs; LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs; ∑=Total Responses

The 2011/2012 students use one-word verbs higher than 2012/2013 students with 44.2% and 2012/2013 students use one-word verbs with 42.3%. Thus, both academic years use literal phrasal verbs habitually rather than figurative phrasal verbs. Then, they avoid figurative phrasal verb higher than literal phrasal verbs (see table 4.4 and 4.5).

Table 4.5 Summary of phrasal verbs and one-word
verbs usage found in the phrasal verb test
from 2012/2013 students (based on correct
and incorrect answer)

Verbs		Total Scores	Sco	ores	0	/o
			n	Σ		
PVs	LPVs	1040	424	600	40.8%	57.7%
	FPVs		176		16.9%	
OWVs	LPVs	1040	90	440	8.6%	42.3%
	FPVs		350		33.7%	

Note. %=Percentages; n=Number of Responses; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; OWVs=One-Word Verbs; LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs; ∑=Total Responses

Table 4.6 Summary of correct phrasal verb usagefound in the phrasal verb test from2011/2012 students

PV types	PV types Total Scores		%	
LPVs	360	225	62.5%	
FPVs	360	60	16.7%	

Note. %=Percentages; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs

Table 4.7 Summary of correct phrasal verb usagefound in the phrasal verb test from2011/2012 students

Both of the students of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 academic years tend to avoid figurative rather than literal phrasal verbs. Although both groups preferred literal phrasal verbs than figurative phrasal verbs, the percentages in both groups are different. Hence, the use of literal phrasal verbs is higher than the figurative one. The use of literal phrasal verbs in students from 2011/2012 academic year is lower than students from 2012/2013 academic. It is found that they used 225 literal phrasal verbs (63%). However, the students of 2012/2013 academic year used literal phrasal verbs 351 times out of 520 possible occurrences of literal phrasal verb (67.5%).

Avoidance	Total Scores	Scores	%
Avoidance of LPVs	360	71	19.7%
Avoidance of FPVs	360	223	61.9%

Table 4.8	Su	mma	ry of co	rrect p	ohrasa	al vert	o avoidance
	in	the	phrasal	verb	test	from	2011/2012
	aca	adem	nic year				

Note. %=Percentages; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs

Table 4.9	Su	mma	ry of con	rrect p	ohras	al vert	o avoidance
	in	the	phrasal	verb	test	from	2012/2013
	aca	aden	nic year				

	Avoidance	Total Scores	Scores	%
Avoi	idance of LPVs	520	66	12.7%
Avoi	idance of FPVs	520	268	51.5%
Note.	%=Percentages;	PV	s=Phrasal	Verbs;

LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs

The percentage of the avoidance of the use of phrasal verb is different between students of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 academic years. The percentages of the avoidance of literal and figurative phrasal verbs in students of academic 2011/2012 year sequentially are 19.7% and 61.9%. Meanwhile, the percentages of students of 2012/2013 academic year that avoided literal and figurative phrasal verbs reach up to 12.7% and 51.5%. Thus, both of the students tend to avoid figurative phrasal verbs with 61.9% (2011/2012 students) and 51.5% (2012/2013 students). The avoidance of figurative phrasal verb is higher than the literal one.

Table 4.10 Summary of incorrect phrasal verb usagefound in the phrasal verb test from2011/2012 students

Verb types	Total Scores	Scores	%
LPVs	360	48	13.3%
FPVs	360	69	19.2%

Note. %=Percentages; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs

Table 4.11Summary of incorrect phrasal verb usage
found in the phrasal verb test from
2012/2013 students

Verb types	Total Scores	Scores	%
LPVs	520	73	14,00%
FPVs	520	92	17.7%

Note. %=Percentages; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs

From the table above, 2012/2013 students made mistake higher than 2011/2012 students. 2012/2013 students choose incorrect figurative phrasal verbs more than 2011/2012 students. In addition, 2012/2013 students choose incorrect one-word verbs more than 20122/2012 students.

Table 4.12 Summary of phrasal verb avoidance in the
phrasal verb test from 2011/2012
academic year (based on wrong answer)

Avoidance	Total Scores	Scores	%
Avoidance of LPVs	360	12	3.3%
Avoidance of FPVs	360	12	3.3%

Note. %=Percentages; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs

Table 4.13 Summary of phrasal verb avoidance in the
phrasal verb test from 2012/2013 academic
year (based on wrong answer)

Avoidance	Total Scores	Scores	%
Avoidance of LPVs	520	40	7.6%
Avoidance of FPvs	520	66	12.7%

Note. %=Percentages; PVs=Phrasal Verbs; LPVs=Literal Phrasal Verbs; FPVs=Figurative Phrasal Verbs

Based on the result, 2011/2012 students only use incorrect one-word verbs in small number. They only use incorrect one-word verbs about 3.3% (literal phrasal verbs) and 3.3% (figurative phrasal verbs). 2012/2013 students also use incorrect one-word verbs in small number. They only use incorrect one-word

verbs about 7.6% (literal phrasal verbs) and 12.7% (figurative phrasal verbs).

Table 4.14 The reasons of the students avoiding phrasal verbs

n	%
13	14.7%
16	18.2%
8	9.1%
2	2.3%
35	39.8%
8	9.1%
6	6.8%
88	100,00%
	8 2 35 8 6

Note. %=Percentages; n=the number of members

There are some reasons why students avoid using some phrasal verbs such as the semantic complexity, structure complexity, unfamiliarity, unwillingness to use, fear of difficulty, habit/unusual, lack of knowledge and missing understanding. The most popular reason is they are not sure about the meaning (anxiety/fear of difficulty). The second reason is because of the complex structure of phrasal verbs and also the semantic complexity. The semantic complexity of phrasal verb (literal and figurative) influences the learners in using phrasal verbs. However, although the students in the present study have some knowledge of the target phrasal verbs and single word equivalents, the results of the present study shows that there are some students who do not know and do not understand about some phrasal verbs. The avoidance is not only because of the difficulty of the meaning, but also a result of ignorance and incomplete knowledge. Furthermore, based on the interview in some students, clarifies that the avoidance happen because the participants develop the habit of using one-word verbs, lack of knowledge about phrasal verbs, forget, have known the phrasal verb but they do not understand and feel that vocabulary is not significant. Some are also influenced by their perspective toward the lecturers.

Moreover, this study finds that there are some other reasons that influence the phrasal verbs avoidance based on each questions. There is difference reason in avoidance depending on the phrasal verbs. All students choose different answer. Some of them choose correct answer and incorrect answer, choose phrasal verb (both correct and incorrect phrasal verbs) and avoid phrasal verbs (both correct and incorrect one-word verbs). Each answer has different reasons. The students that choose one-word verbs dominantly are uncertain about the meaning. When the phrasal verbs belong to figurative phrasal verbs, most students avoid them because they do not understand the meaning and some of them even do not know the meaning. In addition, several students pick wrong phrasal verbs because they are also uncertain about the meaning.

Table	4.15	The	impact	of	the	avoidance	of	phrasal
		ver	bs to the	lea	rner	s in learnin	g pi	rocess

	Impacts	n	%	
Positive	1. Avoid an error	16	18.2%	
	production			
0	2. Fear/worry of	8	9.1%	
01	difficulties and avoid			
	error (playing safe			
	strategy)			
YA	Y		27.3%	
Negative	1.Avoidance can reduce	10	11.4%	
	the quality of writing and			
	speaking contents because			
	the use of limited			
	vocabulary and have not			
	reached high proficiency			
AL	level			
	2. There is no vocabulary	12	13.5%	
	development			
	3. Limited topics of writing	10	11.4%	
	and spoken (there is no			
	variation topics) because of			
	limited vocabulary			
35			36.3%	
No		32	36.4%	
Impact				
	Ν	88	100,00%	

Note. %=Percentages; n=the number of members

Phrasal verbs avoidance dominantly gives negative impact for the learners in the learning process. Avoidance cause the vocabulary to be limited and undeveloped. Limited vocabularies also influence the topic choice in the speaking and writing practice. However, most of the students feel that avoidance can be used as strategy in learning process to avoid error and to reduce feeling of fear (less confident) despite the fact that the students of English Departments of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 academic years dominantly clearly understand literal phrasal verbs. It is proven by the percentage of the test results. Farida, Christina L.N. et al., Avoidance of the English Phrasal Verbs as a Strategy in Learning Process: A Case 6 Study of the English Department Students of Faculty of Letters Jember University 2011 and 2012 Academic Years

Discussion

The L1-L2 semantic differences (Liao and Fukuya 2004; Laufer and Eliasson 1993; Hulstijn and Marchena, 1989) between Indonesian and English learners can be the reason for the avoidance of phrasal verbs. Although most students tend to use phrasal verbs more than one-word verbs, there are not very strong differences. In relation to the literal and figurative phrasal verbs, Indonesian learners tend to avoid figurative phrasal verbs dominantly because the semantic meaning of figurative phrasal verbs are very different from the usual meaning of the each parts. However, literal phrasal verbs that the meaning are easier to realized the meaning dominantly are not avoided by the learners. Moreover, the are some reasons why student avoid some phrasal verbs. From the study, most students avoid phrasal verbs because they are uncertain about the meaning then they fear of the difficulty. Thus, to overcome the difficulties of the meaning, they use avoidance as strategy (Mattar, 2003:103). Furthermore, avoidance strategies in phrasal verbs can give negative impact to the learners in their writing and speaking. This result is in line with Barekat and Baniasady (2014:348) and Sangoor (2012:93). They conclude that argues the use of phrasal verbs expressions is important in spoken in order rich the proficiency like a native speaker.

The study shows that the avoidance can be used as strategy to encounter error but it can reduce the writing and speaking proficiency. The avoidance can be reduced with reducing anxiety and fear of difficulty of phrasal verbs. When, the learners has high motivation and be an risk-taker, it can reduce avoidance. However, many students only focus on their good score in the class, but they do not think about their vocabulary development. This finding can be used to improve the references about avoidance of phrasal verbs to removes the habit of Indonesian learners in avoidance to have better achievement. Furthermore, this finding can be useful for other researches, learners and teachers in learning process.

Conclusion

According to the result, both 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 students tend use phrasal verbs more than one-word verbs (avoid one-word verbs more than phrasal verbs). The learners in both academic years have weak tendency to avoid phrasal verbs but the percentage between the avoidance of phrasal verbs and one-word verbs are not too different. However, based on the result of the use of phrasal verbs (related to the semantic complexity of phrasal verbs, literal and figurative phrasal verbs), both academic years have strong tendency to avoid figurative phrasal verbs. Next, The most popular reason why students avoid

using some phrasal verbs is they are not sure about the meaning (anxiety/fear of difficulty). In addition, lack of knowledge of the phrasal verbs becomes the other main the reasons of the students doing avoidance. Lastly, Next, phrasal verbs avoidance dominantly gives negative impact for the learners in the learning process. Avoidance cause the vocabulary to be limited and undeveloped. Therefore, avoidance can reduce the quality of writing and speaking contents. However, avoidance can also be used to avoid an error in L2 production in.

Acknowledgment

My sincere gratitude goes to my first and second supervisors, Prof. Dr. Samudji, M.A. and Hari Supriono, S.S., MEIL. who have encouraged and given me a valuable assistance to finish this thesis. Thank to Dr. Hairus Salikin, M.Ed, the Dean of Faculty of Letters and also Dra. Supiastutik, M.Pd, the Head of English Department for giving a chance to write this study. Thank you so much for all lecturers and also the librarians of the English Department, Faculty of Letters and the librarians of Central Library of Jember University.

References

- Barekat, B. and Baniasady, B. 2014. "The Impact of Phrasal Verb Avoidance on the Writing Ability of the University EFL Learners." *International Conference on Current Trends in ELTProcedia* -*Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Vol. 98: 343-352.
- Gass, S. and Selinker, L. 2008. Second Language Acquisition: An Introduction Course. (Third Edition). New York: Routledge.
- Hulstijn, J. and Marchena, E. (1989). "Avoidance: Grammatical or Semantic Causes?" *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*. Vol 11 (3): 241-255.
- Laufer, B. and Eliasson, S. 1993. "What Causes Avoidance in L2 Learning: L1-L2 Difference, L1-L2 Similarity, or L2 Complexity?" *Studies in Second Language*. Vol. 15: 35-48.
- Li, J. 1996. "Underproduction does not Necessarily Mean Avoidance: Investigation of Underproduction using Chinese ESL Learners." *Pragmatics and Language Learning*. Vol. 7: 171-187.

Farida, Christina L.N. et al., Avoidance of the English Phrasal Verbs as a Strategy in Learning Process: A Case 7 Study of the English Department Students of Faculty of Letters Jember University 2011 and 2012 Academic Years

7.0

- Liao, Y.D. and Fukuyama, Y.J. 2004. "Avoidance of Phrasal Verbs: The case of Chinese Learners of English. *Second Language Studies*. Vol. 20: 71-106.
- Mattar, H. 2003. "Is Avoidance ruled Out by Similarity? The Case of Subordination Conjunctions/Adverbs in English and Arabic." *Poznań Studies in Contemporary Linguistics*. Vol. 38: 103-125.
- Pazhakh, A. 2006. "An Investigation on Iranian EFL Learners' Application of Avoidance Strategies in their Writings." *Iranian Journal of Language Studies*. Vol. 1 (1): 1-12.
- Sangoor, M. M. 2012. A syntactico-Semantic Study of English Phrasal Verbs. http://www.iasj.net/iasj? func=fulltext&aId=40836 [September, 2nd 2014].
- Sara, H. and Mohammadreza, T. 2013. "Study on Avoidance Behaviour among Persian EFL Learners: Phrasal Verbs in Focus" *Greener Journal of Educational Research.* Vol. 3 (6): 238-248.