

THE EFFECT OF GIVING FEEDBACK ON THE WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMPN 12 JEMBER IN THE 2004/2005 ACADEMIC YEAR

THESIS



Oleh:

I WAYAN KAMARWANTA NIM. 000210401095

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM

LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

JEMBER UNIVERSITY

2006



THE EFFECT OF GIVING FEEDBACK ON THE WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMPN 12 JEMBER IN THE 2004/2005 ACADEMIC YEAR

THESIS

Proposed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain the Degree of S1 at
the English Education Program of the Language and Arts Education Department
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Jember University

I WAYAN KAMARWANTA NIM: 000210401095

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
JEMBER UNIVERSITY
2006

MOTTO

Love All Serve All (BABA)

DEDICATION

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- 1. Beloved Bhagawan Sai. Thank you God for this gift.
- My beloved father, I Made Muka and my beloved mother, Ni Wayan Tagel Wardani. "The Love of My Life!" I love you both so much.
- 3. My brothers Wi and Pur I love you so much.
- 4. My Fien. Thank you for being patient to me.
- My friends in English program, especially the 2000 level (Deny, Ridho, Herning, Joyo, Rudi, Amir, Andis, Ugik, Nayif, Lutfi and Fauzan) you are all fun guys.
- My foster parents (Mr. and Mrs. Ketut Mahardika, Mr. and Mrs. Lilu) thank for making this possible.
- 7. My brothers and sisters in SSG. Jember.
- 8. My almamater

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to God the Almighty who always gives me many blessings so that I can finish my thesis.

In this opportunity, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and sincerest thanks to the following people:

- 1. The Dean of The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University
- My consultants, Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd, and. Dra. Siti Sundari, MA, who had given me guidance and valuable suggestions during the writing of this thesis.
- The Chairperson of the Language and Arts Education Department and the Chairperson of the English Education Program.
- The Headmaster, the English teachers and the second year students of SMPN 12 Jember.

Finally, I realize that this thesis is still far from being perfect. However, I expect it will provide some advantages to the readers. Therefore, any suggestions and criticism will be appreciated to improve this thesis.

Jember, June 2006

The Writer

CONSULTANT APPROVAL THE EFFECT OF GIVING FEEDBACK ON THE WRITING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE SECOND YEAR STUDENTS OF SMPN 12 JEMBER IN THE 2004/2005 ACADEMIC YEAR

THESIS

Proposed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain the Degree of S1 at the English Education Program of the Language and Arts Education Department of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education,

Jember University

Name : I Wayan Kamarwanta

Identification number : 000210401095

Level : 2000

Place and Date of Birth : Gianyar, May 15th, 1981

Department : Language and Arts Education

Program : English Education

Approved by,

Consultant I

Dra. Wiwiek Eko B., M.Pd

NIP. 131 475 844

Consultant II

Dra. Siti Sundari, MA.

NIP. 131 759 842

APPROVAL OF EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

This thesis is approved and accepted by the Examination Committee of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

Day : Saturday

Date : June 17th, 2006

Place: The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Examiners,

The Chairperson

Drs. Bambang Suharjito M. Ed.

NIP. 131 832 333

The Secretary

Dra. Siti Sundari, M.A.

NIP. 131 759 842

The members:

1. Drs. Annur Rofiq, M.A., M.Sc.

NIP 132 232 799

2. Dra. Wiwiek Eko B., M.Pd.

NIP. 131 475 844

Signatures:

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Jember University

The Dean

Drs. H. Imam Muchtar, SH. M. Hum.

NIP. 130 810 936

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TITLE | 1 |
|---|-----|
| MOTTO | ii |
| DEDICATION | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| CONSULTANT APPROVAL | v |
| APPROVAL OF EXAMINATION COMMITTEE | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vi |
| THE LIST OF TABLES | vi |
| ABSTRACT | ix |
| | |
| I. INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Background of the Research | 1 |
| 1.2 Problems of the Research | 4 |
| 1.2.1 The Major Problem | 4 |
| 1.2.2 The Minor Problems | 4 |
| 1.3 Operational Definition of the Variables | 4 |
| 1.3.1. Giving Feedback | 4 |
| 1.3.2 The students' Writing Achievement | 5 |
| 1.4 Objectives of the Research | 5 |
| 1.4.1 The Major Objective | 5 |
| 1.4.2 The Minor Objectives | 6 |
| 1.5 Significance of the Research | 6 |
| 1.5.1 For the English Teacher | 6 |
| 1.5.2 For the Students | 6 |
| 1.5.3 For Other Researchers | 6 |
| | |
| II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | |
| 2.1 The Theory of Feedback on writing | 7 |
| 2.1.1 Written Comments | 9 |

| 2.2 The Advantages of Giving Written Feedback | 10 |
|--|----|
| 2.3 The Steps of Giving written Feedback in Teaching Writing | 11 |
| 2.4 The Teaching of Writing to the Second Year Students at SMPN 12 | |
| Jember | 12 |
| 2.5 Paragraph with Its Qualities and Its Componenets | 12 |
| 2.5.1 Completeness | 13 |
| 2.5.2 Unity | 13 |
| 2.5.3 Logical Order | 13 |
| 2.5.4 Coherence | 14 |
| 2.6 The Main Focus of Feedback in Writing a Paragraph | 15 |
| 2.6.1 The Feedback on the Mechanics of the Paragraph | 15 |
| 2.6.2 The Feedback on the Grammar of the Paragraph | 19 |
| 2.6.3 The Feedback on the Vocabulary of the Paragraph | 20 |
| 2.7 The Examples of Written Feedback | 21 |
| 2.8 The Writing Achievement | 22 |
| 2.9 The Effect of Using Written Feedback on Writing Achievement | 22 |
| 2.10 The Hypothesis | 23 |
| 2.10.1 Major Hypothesis Formulation | 23 |
| 2.10.2 Minor Hypothesis Formulation | 23 |
| | |
| III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 The Research Design | 24 |
| 3.2 The Area Determination Method | 25 |
| 3.3 The Respondent Determination Method | 26 |
| 3.4 The Data Collection Methods | 26 |
| 3.4.1 Writing Test | 26 |
| 3.4.2 Interview | 28 |
| 3.4.3 Documentation | 28 |
| 3.5 Data Analysis Method | 29 |

| 4.1 The | e Research Schedule | 30 |
|----------|---|---------|
| 4.2. Th | e Results of Supporting Data | 30 |
| | 4.2.1 The Results of try out of Free writing Test | 30 |
| | 4.2.2 The Results of Interview | 31 |
| | 4.2.3 The Result of Documentation | 31 |
| 4.3 Th | e Results of Primary Data | 32 |
| | 4.3.1 The Data Analysis | 33 |
| | 4.3.2 The Testing of Hypothesis | 40 |
| | 4.3.2.1 Major Hypothesis | 40 |
| | 4.3.2.2 Minor Hypotheses | 40 |
| 4.4 Dis | scussion | 41 |
| | | |
| v. co | NCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS | |
| 5.1 Th | e Research Conclusion | 43 |
| 5.1.1 | General Conclusion | 43 |
| 5.1.2 \$ | Specific Conclusions | 43 |
| 5.2 Su | ggestions | 43 |
| | 5.2.1 The English Teacher | 44 |
| | 5.2.2 The Students | 44 |
| | 5.2.3 The Other Researchers | 44 |
| | | |
| BIBL | OGRAPHY | |
| APPE | NDICES | |
| 1. | The Research Matrix. | |
| 2. | The Supporting Data Instruments. | |
| 3. | The Lesson Plans, | |
| 4. | The Pot-test. | |
| 5. | The Analytical Scoring Method. | |
| 6. | The Results and the Data Analysis of the Homogeneity of the Students' | Writing |
| | Test. | |
| | | |

IV. RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- The Tabulation of the Scores of Post-test on Writing Achievement of the Experimental Group and the Control Group.
- The Tabulation of the Students' Post-test Scores of the Experimental Group of Each Indicator.
- The Tabulation of the Students' Post-test Scores of the Control Group of Each Indicator.
- 10. The Names of the Respondents.
- 11. The Permission Letter for Conducting the Research of the Faculty.
- 12. The Permission Letter for Conducting the Research at SMPN 12 Jember.
- 13. Tabel Nilai-Nilai t Dengan Taraf Signifikansi 5% dan 1%.
- 14. Tabel Nilai F Dengan Taraf Signifikansi 5% (Deretan Atas) dan 1% (Deretan Bawah).

THE LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Table Names | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1 | The Schedules of Administering the Research | 30 |
| 2 | The Total Number of the Second Year Students of SMPN 12 Jember in the 2004/2005 Academic Year | 32 |

ABSTRACT

I Wayan Kamarwanta, 2005. The Effect of Giving Feedback on the Writing Achievement of the Second Year Students of SMPN 12 Jember in the 2004/2005 academic year.

Thesis, English Education Program, Language and Arts Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University

Consultants

: (1) Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd.

: (2) Dra. Siti Sundari, M.A.

Key Words

: Feedback, Writing Achievement

Writing is considered as a difficult skill for junior high school students. Difficulties which students encountered in writing are the use of grammar, word choice, and mechanics. Giving feedback as a teaching technique can help students overcome their problem in writing English sentences. This research was conducted to find the effect of giving feedback on the writing achievement of the second year students of SMPN 12 Jember in the 2004/2005 academic year. The research used randomised control group post-test only design. The respondents of this research were the second year students of SMPN 12 Jember, consisting of 44 students (Experimental group) and 42 students (Control group) that were taken by lottery from the homogeneous population. In conducting the experiment, the experimental group received feedback in the form of shorthand of correcting code written in the margin or above the errors on their writing work, while the control group did not receive feedback. The results of writing test of both groups were compared to find out the mean difference between them. The t-test formula was used to analyze the data with the significance level of 5%. The result indicated that the t-test value was higher than that of t-table (2.770>2.000). It means that the alternative hypothesis which is formulated as " There is significant effect of giving feedback on writing achievement at SMPN 12 Jember in the 2004/2005 academic year" was accepted. It could be concluded that there was a significant effect of giving feedback on writing achievement of the second year students of SMPN 12 Jember in the 2004/2005 academic year. Based on the reserach's result, the English teachers are suggested to apply this technique in teaching writing.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Arikunto, S. 1996. Penelitian Pendidikan: Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.
- -----. 1998. Penelitian Pendidikan: Suatu Pendekatan Praktek. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.
- Bram, B. 1995. Write Well: Improving Writing Skill. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Byrne, D. 1984. Teaching Writing English Skill. London: Longman Group Ltd.
- Carino, P. 1991. Basic Writing: A First Course. Chicago: Harper Collins Publishers.
- Depdiknas. 2002. Kurikulum dan hasil Belajar: Standar Kompetensi Bahasa Inggris SMP dan MTs. Jakarta: Pusat Kurikulum Balitbang.
- D' Angelo, F. J. 1980. *Process and Thought in Composition*. (Second Edition). Massachusetts: Cambridge University Press.
- Fairbrain, G and Winch, C. 1996. Reading, Writing, and Reasoning. (Second Edition). Buckingham Philadelphia: Open University Press.
- Habron, J. 1973. "Technique for Correcting Composition". In *English Teaching*. Forum. Vol. X. pp 25.
- Hadi, S. 2002. Methodology Research II. Yogyakarta: Andi Ofset.
- Heaton, J.B. 1984. Writing English Language Test. London: Longman Inc.
- -----. 1991. Writing English Language Test. New York: Longman Inc.
- Hornby, A. S. 1995. Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English.
 Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hughes, A. 1996. Testing for Language Teacher. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hughey, J. B, Deana R, Wormuth, V. Faye, Hartfield, and Holly L, Jacobs. 1983. *Teaching ESL Composition: A Practical Approach*. Massachusetts: New Burry Publisher.
- Hyland, K. 1990. "Providing Productive Feedback" in ELT Journal Vol. 46. London: Oxford University Press. pp.279-284.

- Keh, C. L. 1990. "Feedback in Writing Process": A Model and Methods for Implementation in ELT Journal Vol. 44. London: Oxford University Press. pp. 44-45
- McMillan, J. H. 1992. Educational Research: Fundamental for Consumers. New York: Harper Collins Publisher.
- Muncie, J. 2000. "Using Written Teacher Feedback in EFL Composition Classes" in ELT Journal Vol. 54. Oxford University Press. pp. 47-52
- O'Bryan, M. 1989. "Giving and Getting Feedback" A New Ways in Teaching Writing. United States: Indiana University Press.
- Sengupta, S. 1988. Peer Evaluation. "I Am Not the Teacher" in *ELT Journal Vol.* 54. Oxford University Press. pp. 36-40.
- Staenly, L. C. David S. and Allen, L. 1992. Ways to Writing. (Third Edition). New York: McMillan Publishing Company.
- Suryabrata, S. 1983. Metodologi Penelitian. Jakarta: Rajawali.
- Tasnim, Z. 1990. Laporan Penelitian Pengaruh Pemberian "Feedback" Terhadap Pencapaian Prestasi Belajar Writing Pada Mahasiswa Program Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris FKIP-Unej. Jember: Unpublished Research Report.
- Wahyuni, A. 2003. The Effect of Giving Written Feedback on Writing Ability of the Second Year Students of SMU Muhamadiyah 3 Jember in the 2002/2003 Academic Year. Jember: Unpublished Research Report.
- Wahyuretnoningsih, T. 2003. The Effect of Giving Feedback on The Tenses Mastery in the English Sentence Writing of the Second Year Students of SLTPN 1 Purwoasri Kediri in the 2002/2003 Academic Year. Kediri: Unpublished Research Report.
- Wingersky, J. Boerner and Horguin-Balogh. 1999. Writing Paragraph and Essays: Integrating Reading, Writing, and Grammar Skills. (Third Edition). Belmonth: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Yousda I. J. A. and Arifin, Z. 1993. *Penelitian dan Statistik Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.