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ABSTRACT


Thesis, English Program, Language and Arts Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education University of Jember

The consultants; (1) Drs. H. M. Sjakir Hadie Ks, MSA
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Composition as one of the production skills is still very difficult to the learners because it is rarely used outside the classroom. The students make a number of errors in omission, addition, misformation, and misordering in their writing. This study concerned with error analysis in the surface strategy taxonomy. The research subjects were the students who took Writing I at the English Department of FKIP the University of Jember 1997-1998 academic year as the population of this study. The subjects were asked to write a composition in the form of a short paragraph, then their compositions were analyzed by using Ellis' outline based on the surface strategy taxonomy: omission, addition, misformation, and misordering category. The results showed that there were misformation errors 162 (43.20%), omission errors 126 (33.60%), addition errors 69 (18.40%), and misordering errors 18 (4.80%). The highest percentage was misformation errors that reach 43.20%. It indicates that the students face difficulties in applying the correct tense marker, preposition, and auxiliary. The lowest percentage was misordering errors that reach 4.80%. It indicates that the teaching and learning English was successful especially in creating word order in English.


