

# THE EFFECT OF SUMMARY TECHNIQUE ON THE ELEVENTH YEAR STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION ACHIEVEMENT AT SMAN BANDARKEDUNGMULYO JOMBANG IN THE 2011/2012 ACADEMIC YEAR

### **THESIS**

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ 

### SULANJARI DWI FAUZIAH NIM 070210401018

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
JEMBER UNIVERSITY
2011



# THE EFFECT OF SUMMARY TECHNIQUE ON THE ELEVENTH YEAR STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION ACHIEVEMENT AT SMAN BANDARKEDUNGMULYO JOMBANG IN THE 2011/2012 ACADEMIC YEAR

### **THESIS**

Presented as One of the Requirements to Obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program of Language and Arts Education Department of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University

By

### SULANJARI DWI FAUZIAH NIM 070210401018

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
JEMBER UNIVERSITY
2011

# **DEDICATION**

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- 1. My beloved parents, Mokhamad Syafi'i and Asyati;
- 2. My beloved sister Lela Fiyanti.

# **MOTTO**

"The greatest gift is a passion for reading. It is cheap, it consoles, it distracts, it excites, and it gives you knowledge of the world and experience of a wide kind. It is a moral illumination"

(Elizabeth Hardwick)

### **CONSULTANTS' APPROVAL**

# THE EFFECT OF SUMMARY TECHNIQUE ON THE ELEVENTH YEAR STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION ACHIEVEMENT AT SMAN BANDARKEDUNG MULYO JOMBANG IN THE 2011/2012 ACADEMIC YEAR

### **THESIS**

Composed to Fulfill One of the Requirements to Obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program of the Language and Arts Education Department Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Jember University

Name : Sulanjari Dwi Fauziah

Identification Number :070210401018

Level : 2007

Department : Language and Arts Education

Program : English Education

Place and Date of Birth : Jombang, January 2<sup>nd</sup> 1989

Approved by

The First Consultant The Second Consultant

Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd.

Dra. Musli Ariani, M.App.Ling

NIP. 195612141985032001

NIP. 196806021994032001

### APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

This thesis entitled "The Effect of Summary Technique on The Eleventh Year Students' Reading Comprehension Achievement at SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang in The 2011/2012 Academic Year" is approved and received by the examination committee of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University.

Day : Thursday

Date :Nopember 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2011

Place : Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

The Examiner Team:

The Chairperson, The Secretary,

 Drs. Bambang Suharjito, M.Ed
 Dra. Musli Ariani, M.App.Ling

 NIP.196110231989021001
 NIP. 196806021994032001

### The members:

| 1. | <u>Dra.Wiwiek Istianah, M.Kes, M.Ed</u><br>NIP. 195010171985032001 | 1 |  |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2. | <u>Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd.</u><br>NIP. 195612141985032001  | 2 |  |

The Dean.

Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

<u>Drs. H. Imam Muchtar, S.H. M.Hum</u> NIP. 195407121980031005

### **SUMMARY**

The Effect of Summary Technique on The Eleventh Year Students' Reading Comprehension Achievement at Sman Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang in the 2011-2012 Academic Year; Sulanjari Dwi Fauziah, 070210401018; 2011:43 pages; English Education Program of Language and Arts Education department, the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

Reading is one of the important skills that should be mastered by the students. Reading helps the students to enrich their knowledge. In teaching reading, the teacher should apply the appropriate technique in the reading class that helps the students get the message or the information from the text. Therefore, making a summary as one of the teaching techniques is chosen for this research which helps the students to comprehend the reading materials.

Summary writing is one of the best techniques to help the readers concentrate, understand, learn, and remember the materials that they have read because this technique requires the reader to put reading material into their own words so they understand it better, to reduce it in size so it is more manageable and easier to learn, and to organize it so it is easier to remember.

The purpose of this research was to know whether or not there is a significant effect of summary technique on the year XI students' reading comprehension achievement at SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang in the 2011/2012 academic year. The research design was quasi experimental. The populations of this research were the XI students of SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang in the 2011/2012 academic year. The research respondents were determined by cluster random sampling through a lottery. The total number of the respondents was 72 students, consisted of 36 students of class XI IPA 1 as the experimental group taught by

making a summary that was done in individually, while the control group consisted of 36 students of class XI IPA 2 taught by Lecturing and Question-Answer method.

The primary data of this research were collected from the students' scores of reading comprehension test, while the supporting data were gained through interview and documentation. The primary data were collected from the post test to make comparison between the two groups after treatment, and analyzed by using Independent sample T-Test (SPSS). Based on the calculation, the value of sig column is 0.008 and this value is lower than 0.05. It means that the null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) formulated: "there is no significant effect of summary technique on the year XI students' reading comprehension achievement at SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang in the 2011/2012 academic year" was rejected, thus the alternative hypothesis: "there is a significant effect of summary technique on the year XI students' reading comprehension achievement at SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang in the 2011/2012 academic year" was accepted.

The research results proved that there was a significant effect of summary technique on the year XI students' reading comprehension achievement at SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang in the 2011/2012 academic year. Therefore, it is recommended for the English teacher to apply making a summary as an alternative technique in teaching reading comprehension.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to thank Allah SWT who always leads and grants me blessing and mercy so that I am able to finish my thesis entitled 'The Effect of Summary Technique on the Eleventh Year Students' Reading Comprehension Achievement at SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang in the 2011/2012 academic year'.

In relation to the writing and finishing of this thesis, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and sincere thanks to the following people:

- 1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education.
- 2. The Chairperson of the Language and Arts Education department.
- 3. The Chairperson of the English Education Program.
- 4. My Consultants, Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd. and Dra. Musli Ariani, M.App.Ling. I do really thank for your time, knowledge, guidance, patience, and careful correction that had led me compile and finish my thesis.
- 5. The Examination Committee.
- 6. The Principal of SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang, the English teacher, the administration staff, and the Class XI students who gave me permission and helped me to obtain the data for the research.
- 7. My beloved Almamater, Jember University.
- 8. My beloved family.
- 9. My friends EREGTUS community. Thanks for the time we shared together.

Finally, I expect that this thesis will be useful for the readers and I myself. Any criticism and valuable suggestion would be appreciated.

Jember, November 2011.

The Writer

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TITLE   | i    |
|---|------|
| DEDICATION                                      | ii   |
| MOTTO   | iii  |
| CONSULTANTS' APPROVAL SHEET                     | iv   |
| APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE           | v    |
| SUMMARY   | vi   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT                                 | viii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS                               | ix   |
| THE LIST OF TABLES                              | xii  |
| THE LIST OF APPENDICES                          | xiii |
|   |      |
| I. INTRODUCTION                                 |      |
| 1.1 Background of the Research                  | 1    |
| 1.2 The Problem of the Research                 | 4    |
| 1.3 Operational Definitions of the Key Concepts | 5    |
| 1.3.1 Summary                                   | 5    |
| 1.3.2 Reading Comprehension Achievement         | 5    |
| 1.3.3 Treatment                                 | 5    |
| 1.4 The Objetive of the Research                | 5    |
| 1.5 The Significance of the Research            | 5    |
| 1.5.1 For The English Teacher                   | 6    |
| 1.5.2 For The Students                          | 6    |
| 1.5.3 For Other Researchers                     | 6    |
|   |      |
| II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE                |      |
| 2.1 The Definition of Summary                   | 7    |

| 2.1.1 The Steps of Making a Summary                                 | . 8  |
|---|------|
| 2.1.2 The Characteristic of a Good Summary                          | 9    |
| 2.2 Teaching Reading through Summarizing Technique                  | . 10 |
| 2.3 Teaching Reading through Non Summarizing Technique              | . 11 |
| 2.4The Strenghs and Weaknesses of Making a Summary in Reading Class | 12   |
| 2.5 Reading Comprehension   | . 12 |
| 2.6 Reading Comprehension Achievement                               | . 13 |
| 2.6.1 Word Comprehension  | . 14 |
| 2.6.2 Sentence Comprehension  | . 15 |
| a. Identifying Key Ideas  | . 16 |
| b. Locating Details   | . 16 |
| c. Combining Ideas into a Sentence                                  | . 16 |
| d. Reading Complicated Sentence                                     | . 16 |
| 2.6.3 Paragraph Comprehension                                       | . 17 |
| a. Topic  | . 17 |
| b. Main Idea  | . 18 |
| c. Supporting Details   | . 19 |
| d. Transitions  | . 20 |
| 2.6.4 Text Comprehension  | . 20 |
| 2.7 The Effect of Making a Summary on Reading Comprehension         |      |
| Achievement   | . 21 |
| 2.8 Hypothesis  | . 22 |
|   |      |
| III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY   |      |
| 3.1 Research Design   | . 23 |
| 3.2 Area Determination Method                                       | . 25 |
| 3.3 Respondent Determination Method                                 | . 25 |
| 3.4 Data Collection Method  | . 26 |

| 3.4.1 Reading Test                                | 26 |
|---|----|
| 3.4.2 Interview                                   | 29 |
| 3.4.3 Documentation                               | 29 |
| 3.5 Data Analysis Method                          | 29 |
| IV. RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION               |    |
| 4.1 The Description of the Experimental Treatment | 30 |
| 4.2 The Results of Supporting Data                | 30 |
| 4.2.1 The Result of Interview                     | 31 |
| 4.2.2 The Result of Documentation                 | 31 |
| 4.3 The Results of Homogeneity Test               | 32 |
| 4.4 The Result of the Try Out                     | 33 |
| 4.4.1 The Analysis of the Test Validity           | 34 |
| 4.4.2 The Analysis of Reliability Coefficient     | 34 |
| 4.4.3 The Analysis of Difficulty Index            | 36 |
| 4.5 The Result of the Primary Data                | 37 |
| 4.5.1 The Result of Post Test                     | 37 |
| 4.5.2 Hypothesis Verification                     | 37 |
| 4.6 Discussion                                    | 39 |
|   |    |
| V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS                     |    |
| 5.1 Conclusion                                    | 42 |
| 5.2 Suggestions                                   | 42 |
| 5.2.1 The Students                                | 42 |
| 5.2.2 The English Teacher                         | 42 |
| 5.2.3 Other Researchers                           | 43 |

**REFERENCES** 

**APPENDICES** 

# THE LIST OF TABLES

| No.   | Names of Table   | Pages |
|-------|--|-------|
| 4.3   | The Anova Analysis of the Homogeneity Test                             | 32    |
| 4.5.1 | The Result of the Post Test  | 37    |
| 4.5.2 | The Output of Independent Sample T-Test of Reading Comprehension Score | 38    |

### THE LIST OF APPENDICES

- A. Research Matrix
- B. Research Instrument
- C. Homogeneity Test
- D. Lesson Plan One
- E. Lesson Plan Two
- F. Post test Experimental Class
- G. Post test Control Class
- H. The Schedule of the Research
- I. The Distribution of the Students in Each Class
- J. The Names of the Research Respondents
- K. The Scores of Homogeneity Test
- L. The Names of the Respondents Try Out Test
- M. The Distribution of Odd and Even Numbers
- N. The Division of Odd and Even Numbers
- O. The Difficulty Index of Each Test Items
- P. The Scores of Post Test
- Q. Students' Summary
- R. Research Permission Letter from the Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University
- S. Statement Letter of Accomplishing the Research from the Principal of SMAN Bandarkedungmulyo Jombang.
- T. The Distribution of f-table
- U. The Institutional Based Curriculum (KTSP 2006)