

IMPROVING CLASS X-4 STUDENTS' ABILITY IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT BY USING BRAINSTORMING TECHNIQUE AT SMAN 1 KENCONG JEMBER

THESIS

Composed to fulfill one of the requirements to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program, Language and Arts Education Department

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Jember University

By: FITRIA RATNA IKASARI 060210401116

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM

LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

THE FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

JEMBER UNIVERSITY

2012



IMPROVING CLASS X-4 STUDENTS' ABILITY IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT BY USING BRAINSTORMING TECHNIQUE AT SMAN 1 KENCONG JEMBER

THESIS

Composed to fulfill one of the requirements to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program, Language and Arts Education Department

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Jember University

By:

FITRIA RATNA IKASARI 060210401116

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM

LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

THE FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

JEMBER UNIVERSITY

2012

CONSULTANT'S APPROVAL

IMPROVING CLASS X-4 STUDENTS' ABILITY IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT BY USING BRAINSTORMING TECHNIQUE AT SMAN 1 KENCONG JEMBER

THESIS

Composed to fulfill one of the requirements to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program of the Language and Arts Education Department of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Jember University

Name : Fitria Ratna Ikasari

Identification Number : 060210401116

Level : 2006

Place, Date of Birth : Jember, May 21th, 1988

Department : Language and Arts
Program : English Education

Approved By:

Consultant I Consultant II

Dr. Budi Setyono, MA.

NIP. 19630717 199002 1 001

Drs. Bambang Suharjito, M.Ed.

NIP.19611025 198902 1 004

APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMMITTEE

This thesis entitled "Improving Class X-4 Students' Ability in Writing Narrative Text by Using Brainstorming Technique at SMAN I Kencong" is approved and received by the Examination Committee of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University.

Day : Monday

Date: December 19, 2011

Place : The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Team of Examiners

The Chairperson The Secretary

Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd NIP. 19561214198503 2 001 Drs. Bambang Suharjito, M.Ed. NIP. 19611025 198902 1 004

2.

The members,

- Dra. Wiwiek Istianah, M.Kes., M.Ed., App.Ling NIP. 19501017 198503 2 001
- 2. Dr. Budi Setyono, MA. NIP. 19630717 199002 1 001

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
The Dean,

<u>Drs. Imam Muchtar, S.H., M.Hum.</u> NIP. 19540712 198003 1 005

DEDICATION

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- 1. My beloved parents, Suparman and Benowati.
- 2. My dearest sister, Nita Anggraini

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to Allah SWT, the most Gracious and the most Merciful. Because of His countless Blessing, Mercy and Grace, I can accomplish the writing of this thesis.

I also would like to express my great appreciation and sincerest gratitude to the following people:

- 1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Jember University;
- 2. The Chair person of the Language and Arts Education Department;
- 3. The Chair person of the English Education Program;
- 4. My academic advisor, Dra. Wiwiek Istianah, M.Kes., M.Ed., App.Ling.;
- 5. My first consultant, Dr. Budi Setyono, MA. for giving me guidance and valuable suggestion in writing this thesis;
- 6. My second consultant, Drs. Bambang Suharjito, M.Ed. for giving me guidance and valuable suggestion in writing this thesis;
- 7. The examination committee:
- 8. The Headmaster, the English teacher and the students of class X-4 of SMAN 1 Kencong Jember of 2010/2011 Academic Year.

Finally, I hope this thesis will provide some advantages for the writer as well as the readers. Any constructive suggestions or criticisms will be respectfully welcomed and appreciated to make this thesis better.

Jember, 26 September 2011

The writer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------------|
| COVER | . ii |
| CONSULTANT'S APPROVAL | |
| APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE | . iv |
| DEDICATION | . v |
| ACKNOWLEDMENT | . vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | . vii |
| LIST OF APPENDIXES | . X |
| LIST OF TABLES | . xi |
| LIST OF GRAPHIC | . xii |
| SUMMARY | . xiv |
| CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background of the Research | |
| 1.2 Problems of the Research | |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Research | . 5 |
| 1.4 Operational Definition of the Terms | . 5 |
| 1.5 Significances of the Research | . 6 |
| 1.6 Limitation of the Research | . 7 |
| CHAPTER 2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | 0 |
| 2.1 Writing Ability | |
| 2.2 Aspects of Writing Ability | |
| 2.2.1 Grammar | |
| 2.2.2 Vocabulary | |
| 2.2.3 Mechanics | |
| 2.2.4 Organization | . 14 |

| | 2.2.5 Content |
|----|--|
| | 2.3 Writing Process |
| | 2.3.1 Prewriting |
| | 2.3.2 Writing a First Draft |
| | 2.3.2. Revising |
| | 2.4 The Teaching of Writing in Senior High School |
| | 2.5 Type of Writing Text |
| | 2.5.1 Narrative Text |
| | 2.6 Brainstorming Technique |
| | 2.6.1 Definition of Brainstorming |
| | 2.6.2 Types of Brainstorming |
| | 2.7 The Use of Brainstorming Technique to Improve the Student' |
| | Ability in Writing Narrative Text |
| | 2.8 The Procedures of Teaching Writing Through Brainstorming |
| | Techniques |
| | 2.9 Students' Participation |
| | 2.10 Action Hypothesis |
| Cl | HAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD |
| | 3.1 Research Design |
| | 3.2 Area Determination Method |
| | 3.3 Research Subject Determination Method |
| | 3.4 Data Collection Method |
| | 3.4.1 Writing Test |
| | 3.4.2 Classroom Observation |
| | 3.5. Research Procedures |
| | 3.5.1 General Description of the Research |
| | 3.5.2 Details of the Research |
| | 3.5.3 Observation and Evaluation |
| | 3.6 Data Analysis Mathad and Reflection |

| CHAPTER 4. RESARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | |
|--|----|
| 4.1 The Results of Actions Cycle 1 | 46 |
| 4.1.1 Brainstorming Activities in Cycle 1 | 46 |
| 4.1.2 The Students' Participation in Cycle 1 | 51 |
| 4.1.3 The Results of Writing Test in Cycle 1 | 52 |
| 4.1.4 The Results of Reflection in Cycle 1 | 55 |
| 4.2 The Results of Actions Cycle 2 | 57 |
| 4.2.1 Brainstorming Activities in Cycle 2 | 57 |
| 4.2.2 The Students' Participation in Cycle 2 | 60 |
| 4.2.3 The Results of Writing Test in Cycle 2 | 62 |
| 4.2.4 The Results of Reflection in Cycle 2 | 64 |
| 4.3 Discussion | 65 |
| CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS | |
| 5.1 Conclusion | 70 |
| 5.2 Suggestions | 71 |
| REFERENCES | |
| APPENDIXES | |
| GRAPHIC | |

LIST OF APPENDIXES

| 1. | Research Matrix | 77 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2. | Guide Supporting Data Instruments | 78 |
| 3. | The Name of the Subjects | 79 |
| 4. | The Guidelines of Observation Checklist | 80 |
| 5. | The Revision Checklist | 82 |
| 6. | Lesson Plan 1 (Cycle I, Meeting 1) | 83 |
| 7. | Lesson Plan 2 (Cycle I, Meeting 2) | 91 |
| 8. | Writing Test (Cycle I) | 97 |
| 9. | Lesson Plan 3 (Cycle II, Meeting 1) | 98 |
| 10. | Lesson Plan 4 (Cycle II, Meeting 2) | 104 |
| 11. | Writing Test (Cycle II) | 109 |
| 12. | The Result of Observation Checklist (Cycle I, Meeting 1) | 110 |
| 13. | The Result of Observation Checklist (Cycle I, Meeting 2) | 112 |
| 14. | The Result of Observation Checklist (Cycle II, Meeting 1) | 114 |
| 15. | The Result of Observation Checklist (Cycle II, Meeting 2) | 116 |
| 16. | The Result of Writing Test (Cycle I) | 118 |
| 17. | The Result of Writing Test (Cycle II) | 120 |
| 18. | The Students' Score in Preliminary Study | 122 |
| 19. | The Samples of the Students' Writing Cycle I | 123 |
| 20. | The Samples of the Students' Writing Cycle II | 133 |
| 21. | Research Permission from the Dean of the Faculty of | |
| | Teacher Training and Education | 143 |
| 22. | Research Permission from the Principal of SMA N 1 Kencong | |
| | Jember | 144 |
| 23. | Consultation Sheet | 145 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|---|------|
| 2.1 Narrative Text | 21 |
| 3.1 The Scoring Criteria of the Students' Narrative Text Writing | 38 |
| 3.2 Observation Checklist of Students' Participation | 40 |
| 4.1 The Average Result of Students' Participation in the First Cycle | 52 |
| 4.2 The Result of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 1 | 53 |
| 4.3 The Average Result of Students' Participation in the Second Cycle | 61 |
| 4.4 The Result of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 2 | 62 |

LIST OF GRAPHIC

| | Page |
|---|------|
| 4.1 The Improvement of the Students' Writing Ability from the First Cycle to the Second Cycle | . 66 |
| 4.2 The Improvement of the Students' Participation in the Teaching | |
| Learning Process from the First Cycle to the Second Cycle | . 67 |

SUMMARY

Improving Class X-4 Students' Ability in Writing Narrative Text by Using brainstorming Technique at SMAN 1 Kencong Jember; Fitria Ratna Ikasari; 060210401116; 2011; 75 pages; English Education Program; Language and Arts Education Department; The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education; Jember University.

Consultants : 1. Dr. Budi Setyono, MA

2. Drs. Bambang Suharjito, M.Ed.

Key words : Writing Ability, brainstorming technique

Based on the preliminary study that was held on 13th November 2010, most of class X-4 students of SMAN 1 Kencong Jember faced some difficulties in writing a text. This was proven by their writing score. It showed that 19 (52.77%) of the students got <70 and the mean score was 66.83 and they also had low motivation in learning English. Only a few of the students were enthusiastic in the teaching learning process.

The classroom action research with cycle model was applied in this research because this research was intended to improve class X-4 students' writing ability. This research was done collaboratively with the English teacher of class X-4 of SMAN 1 Kencong Jember. It was conducted in class X-4 of SMAN 1 Kencong Jember that consisted of 36 students. The observation and the writing test were the methods that were used in collecting the data. The data was analyzed quantitatively.

The action was implemented in two cycles in order to achieve the goal of this classroom action research. The first cycle was done in three meetings. The results of the classroom observation showed that 57.57% in meeting 1 and 71.42% in meeting 2 of the students were actively involved in the teaching learning process. It showed that there was an improvement of the students' participation from meeting 1 to meeting 2, but it did not achieve the objective of the research that was 75%. Besides, the result of the writing in the first cycle also did not achieve the target of the research that was 70% of the students got 70 or more in

the writing test. The percentage of the students who got score ≥ 70 was only 50% of 34 students.

For those reasons, the second cycle was necessary to be conducted to solve the problems found in the first cycle. The process and product evaluation in the second cycle showed an improvement. The observation of the students' participation revealed that 83.33% in meeting 1 and 86.11% in meeting 2 of the students actively involved in the teaching learning process. So, the results of the observation both in meeting 1 and meeting 2 had achieved the goal of this research. In the product evaluation, the percentage of the students who got score \geq 70 in the writing test was 73.52% of the students. Based on those results, it could be concluded that the action in the second cycle was successful because that results of process and product evaluation achieved the objective of the research.

Finally, it could be summarized that the use of brainstorming technique could improve the students' ability in writing narrative text and the students' participation in teaching learning process at SMAN 1 Kencong Jember.