

IMPROVING THE EIGHTH YEAR STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT BY USING VIDEO AT SMPN 1 GUMUKMAS IN THE 2012/2013 ACADEMIC YEAR

THESIS

Composed to fulfill one of the requirements to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program, Language and Arts Education Department The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education JemberUniversity

> By: MAMAT HADI WIJANARKO 080210491047

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM LANGUAGE AND ARTS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT THE FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION JEMBERUNIVERSITY

2013

CONSULTANT'S APPROVAL

IMPROVING THE EIGHTH YEAR STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN WRITING NARRATIVE TEXT BY USING VIDEO AT SMPN 1 GUMUKMAS IN THE 2012/2013 ACADEMIC YEAR

THESIS

Composed to fulfill one of the requirements to obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program of the Language and Arts Education Department of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Jember University

| Name | : Mamat Hadi Wijanarko |
|-----------------------|--|
| Identification Number | :080210491047 |
| Level | : 2008 |
| Place, Date of Birth | : Jember, August 30 th , 1990 |
| Department | : Language and Arts |
| Program | : English Education |

Approved By:

Consultant I

Consultant II

Dr. Budi Setyono, MA. NIP. 19630717 199002 1 001 Drs. Sudarsono, M.Pd NIP. 131 993 442

APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMMITTEE

This thesis is approved and received by the Examination Committee of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University.

Day : Friday

Date : June 14, 2013

Place : The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Team of Examiners

The Chairperson

The Secretary

Dr. Aan Erlyana Fardhani, M.Pd NIP.196503091989022001 Drs. Sudarsono, M.Pd NIP.131 993 442

The members,

- Dra. Wiwiek Eko Bindarti, M.Pd
 1.

 NIP.195612141985032001
 1
- 2. <u>Dr. Budi Setyono, MA.</u> 2. NIP. 19630717 199002 1 001

The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

The Dean,

<u>Prof. Dr. Sunardi, M.Pd.</u> NIP. 1954051 198303 1 005

STATEMENT OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY

I certify that this thesis is an original and authentic piece of work by the author himself. All materials incorporated from secondary sources have been fully acknowledged and referenced.

I certify that the content of the thesis is the result of work which has been carried out since the official commencement date of approved thesis title; this thesis has not been submitted previously, in whole or in part, to quality for any other academic award; ethics procedure and guidelines of thesis writing from the university and the faculty have been followed.

I am aware of the potential consequences of any breach of the procedures and guidelines, e.g. cancellation of my academic award.

I hereby grant to the University of Jember the right to archive and to reproduce and communicate to the public my thesis or project in whole or in part in the University/Faculty libraries in all forms of media, now or hereafter known.

Signature

Name: Mamat Hadi WijanarkoDate: June 14th, 2013

DEDICATION

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- 1. My beloved parents, Sumanto, S.Pd and Dwi Andayani, SP.
- 2. My beloved wife, Dyah Safitri Cahyowati.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my greatest gratitude to Allah SWT, the most gracious and the most merciful. Because of His countless blessing, mercy and grace, I can accomplish the writing of this thesis.

I also would like to express my great appreciation and sincerest gratitude to the following people:

- 1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Jember University;
- 2. The Chairperson of the Language and Arts Education Department;
- 3. The Chairperson of the English Education Program;
- My first and second consultants, Dr. Budi Setyono, MA. and Drs. Sudarsono, M.Pd., for giving me guidance and valuable suggestion in writing this thesis;
- 5. The examination committee;
- The Headmaster, the English teacher and the students of class VIII-A of SMPN 1 Gumukmas of 2012/2013 Academic Year.
- 7. Mrs. Hasyim and the big family of SEMERU X
- 8. All my friends of English Education 2008

Finally, I hope this thesis will provide some advantages for the writer as well as the readers. Any constructive suggestions or criticisms will be respectfully welcomed and appreciated to make this thesis better.

Jember, June 2013

The writer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

| COVER | i |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| CONSULTANT'S APPROVAL | ii |
| APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE | iii |
| STATEMENT OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY | iv |
| DEDICATION | v |
| ACKNOWLEDMENT | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST OF APPENDIXES | х |
| LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| LIST OF DIAGRAMS | xii |
| SUMMARY | xiii |

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

| 1.1 Background of the Research | 1 |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 1.2 Problems of the Research | 3 |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Research | 4 |
| 1.4Significances of the Research | 4 |

CHAPTER 2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

| 2.1 Writing Achievement | 6 |
|----------------------------|---|
| 2.2 The Aspects of Writing | 7 |
| 2.2.1 Grammar | 7 |
| 2.2.2 Vocabulary | 8 |
| 2.2.3 Mechanics | 8 |

| 2.2.4 Content | 9 |
|--|----|
| 2.2.5 Organization | 9 |
| 2.3Writing Competencies as Stated in the Curriculum | 9 |
| 2.4Narrative Writing Competence | 10 |
| 2.5Assessing Writing Competence | 10 |
| 2.6 Video in Language Learning Classroom | 15 |
| 2.6.1 The Role of Video | 12 |
| 2.6.2 Criteria of Selecting Video | 13 |
| 2.6.3 Types of Video | 13 |
| 2.6.4 The Role of Teacher | 14 |
| 2.6.5 The Role of Students | 15 |
| 2.7 Strengths of Using Video in Classroom Activities | 15 |
| 2.8 Improving Students' Narrative Writing by Using Video | 16 |
| 2.9 The Procedures of Using Video in Teaching Writing | 16 |
| 2.10 The Action Hypothesis | 17 |
| CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHOD | |
| 3.1 Research Design | 18 |
| 3.2 Area Determination Method | 20 |
| 3.3 Research Subject Determination | 21 |
| 3.4 The Operational Definition of the Terms | 21 |
| 3.5Data Collection Method | 22 |
| 3.5.1 Primary Data | 22 |
| 3.5.2 Secondary data | 25 |
| 3.6Research Procedures | 25 |
| 3.6.1 Planning of the Action | 26 |
| 3.6.2 Implementation of the Action | 26 |
| 3.6.3 Observation and Evaluation | 26 |
| 3.6.4 Reflection of the Action | 27 |
| 3.7 Data Analysis Method | 27 |
| | |

CHAPTER 4.RESARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| 4.1 Actions in Cycle I | 29 |
|---|----|
| 4.1.1The Results of Observation in Cycle I | 29 |
| 4.1.2The Result of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 1 | 32 |
| 4.1.3The Reflection in Cycle I | 33 |
| 4.2 Actions in Cycle 2 | 35 |
| 4.2.1 The Results of Observation in Cycle I | 35 |
| 4.2.2 The Result of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 1 | 38 |
| 4.2.3 The Reflection in Cycle I | 39 |
| 4.3 Discussion | 39 |

CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

| 5.1 Conclusion | 42 |
|----------------|----|
| 5.2 Suggestion | 43 |

REFERENCES APPENDICES GRAPHIC

LIST OF APPENDICES

| 1. | Research Matrix | 46 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 2. | The Guideline of Research Instruments | 47 |
| 3. | Lesson Plan 1 (Cycle I, Meeting 1) | 48 |
| 4. | Lesson Plan 2 (Cycle I, Meeting 2) | 56 |
| 5. | Writing Test (Cycle I) | 64 |
| 6. | Lesson Plan 3 (Cycle II, Meeting 1) | 65 |
| 7. | Lesson Plan 4 (Cycle II, Meeting 2) | 73 |
| 8. | Writing Test (Cycle II) | 81 |
| 9. | The Result of Observation Checklist (Cycle I, Meeting 1) | 82 |
| 10. | The Result of Observation Checklist (Cycle I, Meeting 2) | 84 |
| 11. | The Result of Observation Checklist (Cycle II, Meeting 1) | 86 |
| 12. | The Result of Observation Checklist (Cycle II, Meeting 2) | 88 |
| 13. | The Result of Writing Test (Cycle I) | 90 |
| 14. | The Result of Writing Test (Cycle II) | 91 |
| 15. | The Students' Names | 92 |
| 16. | The Students' Previous Scores | 93 |
| 17. | The Samples of the Students' Writing Cycle I | 94 |
| 18. | The Samples of the Students' Writing Cycle II | 100 |
| 19. | Research Permission from the Principal of SMPN 1 Gumuk mas | 106 |

LIST OF TABLES

Page

| 3.1 The Scoring Criteria of the Students' Narrative Text Writing | 24 |
|--|----|
| 4.1 Points to Revise in Cycle I and the Revision in Cycle II | 34 |

LIST OFDIAGRAM

Page

| 4.1 The Result of Students' Participation Observation in Meeting 1 | 30 |
|--|----|
| 4.2 The Result of Students' Participation Observation in Meeting 2 | 30 |
| 4.3 The Result of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 1 | 32 |
| 4.4 The Result of Students' Participation Observation in Meeting 1 | 35 |
| 4.5 The Result of Students' Participation Observation in Meeting 2 | 36 |
| 4.6 The Result of Students' Writing Test in Cycle 2 | 38 |

SUMMARY

Improving the Eighth Year Students' Achievement in Writing Narrative Text by Using Video at SMPN 1 Gumukmas in the 2012/2013 Academic Year; Mamat Hadi Wijanarko; 080210491047; 2013; 43 pages; English Education Program; Language and Arts Education Department; The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education; Jember University.

Consultants : 1. Dr. Budi Setyono, MA 2. Drs. Sudarsono, M.Pd

Key words : Writing Achievement, Video

Based on the preliminary study that was held on 1^{st} October 2012, most classes of the VIII students of SMPN 1 Gumukmas especially class A faced some difficulties in writing a text. This was proven by their writing scores. The document showed that 22 students or 57% of the total number of students got scores <65 and most of them also had low motivation in learning writing. Only few of the students were enthusiastic in the teaching learning process of writing.

The classroom action research with cycle model was applied in this research because this research was intended to improve class VIII-A students' writing achievement. This research was done collaboratively with the English teacher of class VIII of SMPN 1 Gumukmas. It was conducted in class VIII-A of SMPN 1 Gumukmas that consisted of 38 students. The observation and the writing test were the methods that were used in collecting the data. The data from observation was analyzed by using percentage; therefore, all of the research data were analyzed quantitatively.

The action was implemented in two cycles in achieving the goal of this classroom action research. The first cycle was done in three meetings. The results of the classroom observation showed that in meeting 1, 55.88% of the students were actively involved in the teaching learning process and in meeting 2, 67.56% of the students were actively involved in the teaching learning process. This finding showed that there was an improvement of the students' participation from meeting 1 to

meeting 2, but it did not achieve the objective of the research that was 65%. Besides, the result of the writing in the first cycle also did not achieve the target of the research that was 65% of the students got 65 or more in the writing test. The percentage of the students who got score \geq 65 was only 56% of the students.

For those reasons, the second cycle was necessary to be conducted to solve the problems found in the first cycle. There were some points that were revised in Cycle 1 to make the results of Cycle 2 meet the target of the research. In cycle 2, the researcher reduce the speed and used mother tongue more frequently in the explanation. The teacher also guided the students in writing narrative text. The process and product evaluation in the second cycle showed an improvement. The observation of the students' participation revealed that 75.67% in meeting 1 and 89.18% in meeting 2 of the students actively involved in the teaching learning process. So, the results of the observation both in meeting 1 and meeting 2 had achieved the goal of this research. In the product evaluation, the percentage of the students who got score ≥ 65 in the writing test was 83% of the students. Based on those results, it could be concluded that the action in the second cycle was successful because the results of process and product evaluation achieved the objective of the research.

Finally, it could be summarized that the use of video could improve the students' participation in teaching learning process and their achievement in writing narrative text at SMPN 1 Gumuk mas in the 2012/2013 academic year.