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SUMMARY
An Analysis of Interpersonal Meanings on SBY’s Speech Entitled “The Big Shift and The Imperative of 21st Century Globalism”; Eka Nova Setyawan 050110101049, 2011: 43 pages; English Department Faculty of Letters Jember University.

This thesis explores the appraisal system found in SBY’s speech in order to identify attitude that the speaker wants to present to the audiences. Ninety six clauses are analyzed by using appraisal theory. In this thesis, appraisal theory, focuses on the three domains: attitude, graduation, and engagement in order to respond to the research questions. The study is qualitative and interpretative in nature in which the data were analyzed by employing the appraisal analytical framework (Martin and Rose, 2005). In terms of methodology the study can be classified into Library research. The study reveals that the writer’s attitude identified through appraisal devices in SBY’s speech. The results of analyzing attitude contain of 96 clauses which consist of 7 numbers for affect, 23 numbers for judgement and 33 numbers for appreciation. Total percentage overall attitude is 58.33%. The speaker also use positive evaluation towards the subject matter he is dealing with: positive vs negative affect (85, 71 % vs 29.14 %), positive vs negative judgement (95, 66 % vs 4.34 % 95, 66 %) and positive vs negative judgement appreciation (90.11% vs 9, 09 %). These positive affect, judgement and appreciation indicate that the evaluation towards speaker has dominantly positive feeling. The results of analyzing engagement contain of 96 clauses which consist of 5 numbers for monogloss, 37 numbers for heterogloss. Total percentage of overall attitude is 42.61 %. Heterogloss is more dominant than monogloss. The results of analyzing graduation contains of 96 clauses which consists 16 numbers for force, 11 focus. Total percentage of graduation is 28.12 %. Force is more dominant than focus.

Keywords : appraisal system, attitude, engagement and graduation
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