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SUMMARY

“A Semiotic Analysis of Text Lingo on Texting: The Case of the Students of Jember University”; Afiah Rakhmaniah, A.Md, 090110101117; 2012: 54 pages; English Department, Faculty of Letters, Jember University.

This thesis analyses what the lingo language is in semiotics field especialy on texting and chatting room, how it becomes the favourite language in communications among youngsters and teenagers, how it is formed, the advantages and disadvantages.

Library research and field research are conducted in this thesis. This research used the qualitative data. It focuses on understanding and meaning through verbal narratives and observations rather than through numbers. It applies observation, questionnaires and interview technique as the data collection. The objects of this research are a hundred respondents from some faculties in University of Jember, 47 students of Faculty of Letters, 9 students of Faculty of Political and Social Sciences, 4 students of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, 10 students of Faculty of Agriculture, 4 students of Faculty of Economic, 5 students of Faculty of Public Health and 21 students of Faculty of Exact Sciences. The respondents are chosen randomly in order to get objective data. Interpretative method is used to analyze the data in this thesis. Interpretative method is the process by which the researcher puts his or her own meaning on the data he or she collected and analyzed.

The result of this thesis indicates that lingo language is one of the language phenomena in this world and becomes the favourite one. The users of lingo language is mostly of the youngsters and teenagers around 15 up to 27 years old. Lingo language is used in casual context. It is shaped by convention and made by themselves. Numbers, symbols and abbreviations are commonly used in lingo language. Lingo language also has some advantages. The advantages are
efficient, simple, and interesting. Based on the data from questionnaires (75% respondents) stated that the users of this language can be claimed as ‘cool people’. But the elder generations find a little bit difficult to understand.
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