

## THE EFFECT OF THINK PAIR SHARE (TPS) MODEL ON SPEAKING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE ELEVENTH YEAR STUDENTS OF SMA NEGERI I RAMBIPUJI JEMBER IN THE 2010/2011 ACADEMIC YEAR

THESIS

By:

LAELI SUKMA RAHMAWATI 050210491144

ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM LANGUAGE AND ARTS DEPARTMENT FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION JEMBER UNIVERSITY 2011



### THE EFFECT OF THINK PAIR SHARE (TPS) MODEL ON SPEAKING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE ELEVENTH YEAR STUDENTS OF SMA NEGERI I RAMBIPUJI JEMBER IN THE 2010/2011 ACADEMIC YEAR

### THESIS

Presented as One of the Requirements to Obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program of Language and Arts Education Department of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University

By:

LAELI SUKMA RAHMAWATI NIM. 050210491144

### ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM LANGUAGE AND ARTS DEPARTEMENT FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION JEMBER UNIVERSITY 2011

# THE EFFECT OF THINK PAIR SHARE (TPS) MODEL ON SPEAKING ACHIEVEMENT OF THE ELEVENTH YEAR STUDENTS OF SMA NEGERI I RAMBIPUJI JEMBER IN THE 2010/2011 ACADEMIC YEAR

### THESIS

Presented as One of the Requirements to Obtain S1 Degree at the English Education Program of Language and Arts Education Department of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education of Jember University

By:

## Laeli Sukma Rahmawati 050210491144

Approved by:

The First Consultant,

The Second Consultant,

Dra. Musli Ariani, M. App. Ling.

NIP. 196806021994032001

Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M. A

NIP. 195904121987021001

### APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

This Thesis is Approved and Received by the Examination Committee of the Faculty

of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University on:

Date : Wednesday, July on 13<sup>th</sup> 2011

Place : The Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University

The Committee

The Chair Person,

The Secretary,

<u>Dra. Wiwiek Istianah, M.Kes., M.Ed.</u> NIP 19501017 198503 2 001 Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A. NIP 19590412 198702 1 001

The Members:

- 1. <u>Drs. Sudarsono, M.Pd.</u> NIP 131 993 442
- 2. <u>Dra. Musli Ariani, M.App.Ling.</u> NIP 19680602 199403 2 001

1. .....

2. .....

The Dean,

Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

<u>Drs. H. Imam Muchtar, S.H.M.Hum.</u> NIP 19540712 198003 1 005

### **DEDICATION**

This thesis is honorably dedicated to:

- My beloved Mom and Dad, Sunardi Effendi and Nanik Nur Idawati, who always pray, support and love me in any conditions. There are insufficient words to describe your affection and sacrifice. I will bring your dream to come true.
- 2. Thanks especially to my beloved husband Suyanto, for your never ending patience and encouragement; and to my son M.Khayis Ahda Wardana, for your understanding and acceptance of the hours spent at the computer.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank Allah SWT who always leads and grants me with His Blessing and Mercy so that I am able to finish my thesis entitled 'The Effect of Think-Pair-Share Model on Speaking Achievement of the Eleventh Year Students of SMA Negeri I Rambipuji Jember in the 2010/2011 Academic Year'.

In relation to the writing and finishing of this thesis, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and sincere thanks to the following people:

- 1. The Dean of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education.
- 2. The Chairperson of the Language and Arts Education department.
- 3. The Chairperson of the English Education Program.
- 4. My Academic Consultant, Drs. I Putu Sukmaantara, M.Ed.
- My thesis consultants, Dra. Musli Ariani, M.App.Ling. and Drs. Sugeng Ariyanto, M.A. I do really thank you for your time, knowledge, guidance, patience, and careful correction that had led me compile and finish my thesis.
- 6. The Examination Committee.
- 7. The Principal of SMAN 1 Rambipuji, the English teacher, the administration staff, and the grade XI IPA 1&2 students who gave me permission and helped me to obtain the data for the research.
- 8. My beloved Almamater, Jember University.
- 9. My friends in 2005 class. Thanks for the time we shared together.

Finally, I expect that this thesis will be useful for the readers and I myself. Any criticism and valuable suggestion would be appreciated.

Jember, July 2011

#### The Writer

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
CONSULTANTS' APPROVAL SHEET	ii
APPROVAL OF THE EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
THE LIST OF TABLES	ix
THE LIST OF APPENDICES	X
SUMMARY	xi
I. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Research	1
1.2 Problems of the Research	4
1.3 The Objective of the Research	4
1.4 The Operational Definitions	4
1.4.1 Think-Pair-Share Model	4
1.4.2 Speaking Achievement	5
1.5 The Significances of the Research	5
a. The English Teachers	5
b. The Students	5
c. The Other Researcher	5

# II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.1 Teaching and Learning Speaking in English as a Foreign Language	6
2.1.1 Teaching Speaking in Foreign Language Context	6
2.1.2 Foreign Language	7
2.2 Cooperative Language Learning for Teaching Speaking	8

2.2.1 Model of Cooperative Language Learning	10
The Procedures of Teaching Speaking Through the TPS Model	10
2.2.2 The Advantages and Disadvantages of Think-Pair-Share	12
2.3 Assessing Speaking Achievement	13
2.3.1 Fluency	14
2.3.2 Pronunciation	15
2.3.3 Grammar	17
2.3.4 Vocabulary	17
2.3.5 Content of Speech	18
2.4 Previous Research Findings on the Effect of the TPS on Speaking	19
2.5 The Hypothesis	20

## III. RESEARCH METHOD

3.1 Research Design	21
3.2 Area Determination Method	23
3.3 Respondent Determination Method	23
3.4 Data Collection Method	24
3.4.1 Test	24
3.4.2 Interview	28
3.4.3 Documentation	28
3.5 Data Analysis Method	28

# IV. RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 The Description of the Experimental Treatment	30
4.2 The Results of Interview	31
4.3 The Results of Post Test	32
4.4 Hypothesis Verification	38
4.5 Discussion	39

## V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

5.1 Conclusion	42
5.2 Suggestions	42
5.2.1 The English Teacher	43
5.2.2 The Students	43
5.2.3 The Other Researcher	43

## REFERENCES

APPENDICES

## THE LIST OF TABLES

		Page
3.1	Hughes' Rating Scores of the Speaking Test	25
4.1	The Schedule of the Research	31
4.2	The Students' Score of Speaking Test of the Experimental	
	Group from the Rater 1 and the Rater 2	33
4.3	The Students' Score of Speaking Test of the Control Group	
	from the Rater 1 and the Rater 2	34
4.4	The Students' Final Score of Speaking Test of Both the	
	Experimental Group and the Control Group	35

# THE LIST OF APPENDICES

A.	Research Matrix	47
B.	Guideline of Instrument	48
C.	Lesson Plan Meeting 1	50
D.	Lesson Plan Meeting 2	56
E.	Post test	62
F.	Scoring Rubric for Speaking Test	64
G.	The Students' Score of Speaking Test (The Experimental Group)	66
H.	The Students' Score of Speaking Test (The Control Group)	67
I.	The Recapitulation of the Students' Speaking Scores from the	
	English Teacher and the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Calculation	68
J.	The Names of the Respondents (Experimental Group)	71
K.	The Names of the Respondents (Control Group)	73
L.	The List of the English Teachers Names	75
M.	The Facilities of SMAN I Rambipuji	76
N.	The Examples of Students' Speaking Scribe of Experimental Group	77
0.	The Examples of Students' Speaking Scribe of Control Group	80
P.	Time Schedule of the Research	83
Q.	The Distribution of f-table	84
R.	The Distribution of t-table	86
S.	Research Permission Letter from the Dean of Faculty of Teacher	
	Training and Education of Jember University	87
T.	Statement Letter of Accomplishing the Research from the Principal	
	of SMAN 1 Rambipuji Jember	88
U.	Consultation Paper	89
V.	Revision Paper	90

#### SUMMARY

The Effect of Think Pair Share Model on Speaking Achievement of the Eleventh Year Students of SMA Negeri 1 Rambipuji Jember in the 2010/2011 Academic Year; Laeli Sukma Rahmawati, 050210491144; 43 pages; English Education Program, Language and Arts Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Jember University.

This experimental research was intended to find the effect of Think Pair Share model on the eleventh year students' speaking achievement at SMAN I Rambipuji. This research design was quasi experimental, that is, posttest-only control group design. The population of this research was the eleventh year students of SMAN I Rambipuji Jember consisting of six classes. The respondents were two of the six classes of the eleventh year students, one was treated as the experimental group and the other one as the control group; the classes were determined by using cluster random sampling by considering the result of the analysis of variance (ANOVA). The result of the analysis of variance showed that the value of f-test (8.63) was higher than that of f-table (2.31). It means that the population of this research was not homogenous. Therefore, the respondents were determined by considering the closest mean difference score, namely; 73.9 (XI IPA 1 as the experimental group) and 73.8 (XI IPA 2 as the control group).

In this research, the supporting data were gained through interview and documentation, while the primary data were gained through speaking test. The test was given to both classes namely the experimental group and control group. The test was conducted on May 2<sup>nd</sup> 2011 for control group and on May 5<sup>th</sup> 2011 for experimental group. The test for the control group (XI IPA 2) was held on Monday, May 2<sup>nd</sup> 2011 at 08.30-10.00, while the test for the experimental group (XI IPA 1) was held on Thursday, May 5<sup>th</sup> 2011 at 12.00-13.30. The test was given to both groups after they received two times treatment. The experimental group was given

two times treatment that is teaching speaking using the TPS model, while the control group was taught speaking using conventional technique, that is, question and answer. A comparison was made between the two groups by analyzing the students' speaking scores obtained through test. To make the test process easier and more accurate, the students' utterances were recorded. Then, the students' speaking performance was evaluated from the aspects of fluency, pronunciation, grammar, vocabulary, and content of speech. Hughes' rating scales (1996: 111-112) that were used to score the students' speaking performance of each aspect.

The result of the average score (The students scores from the English teacher and the researcher was divided by 2) became the score of the student's speaking test. After that, the result of the test was analyzed by using t-test formula to compare the mean difference of both groups. The result of the t-test was then consulted to the ttable at 95% significant level using two-tails. Based on the calculation, the mean score of the experimental group was higher than that of the mean score of the control group (84,44 > 78,48). The result of the t-test analysis was higher than that of the ttable at 95% significant level using two-tails (3.1 > 2.00) with df (63). It means that the null hypothesis was rejected, while the alternate hypothesis was accepted. To sum up, there was a significant effect of Think Pair Share model on speaking achievement of the eleventh year students of SMAN I Rambipuji in the 2010/2011 academic year.

Based on the result of this research, the English teacher should use and apply the TPS model as an alternative teaching model, especially in teaching speaking to improve and motivate the students' speaking achievement. Further, the students have to practice their ability in speaking by using the TPS model as frequently as possible to improve their speaking achievement. Moreover, the other researchers are suggested to conduct further research which focuses on the similar topic with different design, such as an action research or a descriptive research of using the TPS model on different level of students on their speaking achievement.