Suhari, S., Mashuri, M., Abidin, Z. (2021). *Nurse and Health: Jurnal Keperawatan.* 10 (2): 201-213 <a href="http://ejournal-kertacendekia.id/index.php/nhjk/index">http://ejournal-kertacendekia.id/index.php/nhjk/index</a>

# **Original Research Article**

# IDENTIFICATION OF FARMER INTEGRATED EMERGENCY RISK BASED ON AGRICULTURAL NURSING

Suhari Suhari 1\*, Mashuri Mashuri 1, Zainal Abidin 1

<sup>1</sup> Diploma Degree of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, University of Jember, Indonesia

### \*Correspondence:

#### Suhari Suhari

Diploma Degree of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing, University of Jember, Indonesia

Tegalboto Campus, Kalimantan Road 37, Krajan Timur, Sumbersari Sub-District, Jember, East Java, Indonesia Email: kanghari doktor@unej.ac.id

#### **Article Info:**

Received: November 27, 2020 Revised: October 25, 2021 Accepted: December 17, 2021

#### DOI:

https://doi.org/10.36720/nhjk.v10i2.229

### Abstract

**Background:** Lumajang Regency has the potential for disaster and agriculture that can trigger daily emergencies, especially for farmers. Nurses have an important role in overcoming integrated emergency problems related to agriculture.

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to identify the threats, vulnerabilities, and capabilities of farmers in integrated emergency management based on agricultural nursing.

**Methods:** This study used a descriptive quantitative design through integrated emergency risk identification of farmers based on agricultural nursing. This research was conducted in agriculture and plantations in the Lumajang area over five months between 1st August to 31st December 2018. Participants in this study were farmers and plantations who were willing to be participants who were selected by cluster sampling as many as 357 respondents.

**Results:** The integrated emergency risk of agricultural nursing-based farmers in Lumajang Regency was in the high category. Farmers who do not have employment insurance occupy the very high vulnerability category. The danger of contact with chemicals belongs to the category of emergencies with large financial losses. The ability to get counseling about first aid during an accident is still low.

Conclusion: The integrated emergency risk (threats and vulnerabilities) of farmers in Lumajang Regency was still high and the ability of integrated emergency management of farmers based on agricultural nursing was still low. Reducing the emergency risk of integrated farmers based on agricultural nursing is urgently needed by increasing the capacity of farmers and reducing threats and vulnerabilities in agricultural areas.

**Keywords:** Vulnerability, ability, emergency, farmer, agricultural nursing.

© 2021 The Authors. Nurse and Health: Jurnal Keperawatan Published by Institute for Research and Community Service - Health Polytechnic of Kerta Cendekia

This is an Open Access Article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0)</u>, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially as long as the original work is properly cited. The new creations are not necessarily licensed under the identical terms.

**E-ISSN**2623-2448 **P-ISSN**2088-9909

## INTRODUCTION

The majority of Indonesian workers work in the informal sector in rural areas, especially the agricultural sector. The emergency nursing and disaster management module by the Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2016 states that emergency vulnerabilities include physical, economic, social, and environmental

vulnerabilities. In practice these threats focus on the promotion and restoration of health, prevention of acute and chronic diseases, and protection occupational from environmental hazards, the agricultural sector is no exception. Three factors that cause an emergency in farmers, namely humans, agricultural facilities and infrastructure, and nature. The risks that can arise from humans include physical, cognitive, and psychomotor conditions. Meanwhile, the risks posed by infrastructure are chemicals (pesticides, fertilizers, other chemicals) and non-chemicals (agricultural tools). According to research conducted by Susanto T, et al in 2016 the risks of hazards faced in the workplace include noise, vibration, heat radiation, lack of lighting, installation of dangerous equipment without using personal protective equipment (PPE) for safety aspects, inhaling dust and exposed to hazardous chemicals, as well as ergonomics. Occupational Health and Safety is considered to reduce the risk of occupational diseases.

The agricultural nursing-based occupational health and safety approach in health centers aims to improve occupational health services to be more focused on community participation. This approach is expected to meet the need to establish or establish primary health care units through promotive, preventive, curative, rehabilitative health services through a nursing care approach with an approach to special groups of workers (Susanto, Purwandari, & Wuryaningsih, 2016).

The disaster risk reduction framework is expected to provide a theoretical and practical basis for minimizing the impact of risks in the agricultural and health sectors on the welfare of farmers. Therefore, risk measurement and risk analysis are needed as a basis for determining risk status and recommendations for government assistance to farmers. Based on this background, it is considered important to conduct a risk assessment of threats, vulnerabilities, and capabilities of farmers and their environment in integrated emergency

management based on agricultural nursing. The results of the formulation become the basis for developing an empowerment and capacity-building model for stakeholders to reduce the risk of integrated emergency management for farmers based on agricultural nursing. The aim of this study was to identify the threats, vulnerabilities, and capabilities of farmers in integrated emergency management based on agricultural nursing.

#### **METHODS**

Study Design

This study used a descriptive quantitative design through the identification of vulnerabilities, threats, and abilities of farmers in integrated emergency risks based on agricultural nursing. Collecting data by survey method with cross-sectional data through questionnaires, interviews, and focus group discussions.

# Setting

This research was conducted in agriculture (food crops and horticulture) and plantations (sugarcane and coffee) in the Lumajang area, over five months between 1st August to 31st December 2018.

## Research Subject

The population in this study were farmers and planters who are members of agricultural groups under the guidance of field extension officers from the Agricultural Office in Lumajang.

The sampling technique used cluster sampling, which is the technique of determining the data sample by selecting the area to be studied. The method used is that from the 21 sub-districts in Lumajang Regency, 6 sub-districts were selected by considering the number of existing farmer groups, namely 4 agricultural groups and 2 plantation groups. The number of respondents who became the subject of this study was 357 people.

Instruments

The instruments of this study used demographic data of the respondents and also questionnaire that was adopted from PP No. 74 tahun 2001 concerning the management of hazardous and toxic materials in agricultural areas and Minister of Manpower Regulation Number 5 of 2018 concerning Occupational Safety and Health in the Work Environment. The questionnaire consists of 45 items using answer choice. After knowing the total results of the questionnaire, it will be categorized for Vulnerability, threat, and ability description.

### Data Analysis

Data analysis was carried out using two methods. Univariat analysis techniques using descriptive are presented in the form of distribution tables, and the Job Safety Analysis scoring method The Australian and New Zealand Standard on Risk Management (AS/NZS) (Purdy, 2009), which is to assess integrated emergency risk parameters based on agricultural nursing. The risk level assessment is presented in table in table 1.

#### Ethical Consideration

This research has been submitted for permission to the research ethics committee of the Faculty of Dentistry, University of Jember number 140/UN5.8/KEPK/DL/2018. When the research was carried out, the researcher first communicated with the farmer groups that were targeted in this sudy. The communication was related to the purposes of this study, and provides an overview of the implementation of this research. After obtaining approval from the farmers group, the researcher determines the farmers who willing be respondets, then tells about the description of the implementation of this study and ask for their approval to be used in this study.

Table 1. Risk Parameter Scoring.

Occurrence Percentage	Vulnerability Threat Ability		Ability	Score	Risk Level
0-20	Not vulnerable	Not urgent and not Not capable Emergency, little Financial loss		1	Very light risk
21 -40	Low	Non-emergency,	Less	2	Light risk
			fortunate		
41 - 60	1 - 60 Moderate No emergency not Quite		Quite	3	Medium
	Vulnerability	Emergency, moderate	gency, moderate capable		risk
		Financial loss			
61 - 80	High	Non-emergency, big	Capable	4	High risk
	Vulnerability	Financial loss			
81-100	Very high	Emergency, big	Very	5	Very high
	Vulnerability	financial	capable	-/// A	risk
		Loss			

Integrated emergency risk assessment based on agricultural nursing uses the formula determined by the Head of the National Disaster Management Agency (BNPB) Number 2 of 2012 concerning General Guidelines for Disaster Risk Assessment, namely with the formula:

Risk = <u>Vulnerability x Threat</u>
Ability

### **RESULTS**

Characteristics of Respondents by Demographic Data

**Table 2.** Distribution of Respondents Characteristics by Demographic Data in the 6 Sub-District of Lumajang Regency on August to December 2018.

No	Characterist	Characteristics of Respondents		Percentage (%)	
1.	Gender	Man	191	54	
		Woman	166	46	
2.	Education	No school	27	7	
	Elementary school		230	65	
Junior high school		46	13		
		Senior high school	38	11	
		Other	14	4	
3.	Marital Status	Marry	353	98	
		Widow	2	1	
		windower	2	1	
4.	Job Status	Farmer	196	70	
		Farm workers	83	30	

Sources: Primary Data of Questionnaire, 2018.

Table 2 showed that more than half of the respondents (54%) are male and most (65%) have an elementary school education. Almost all (98%) of respondents' marital status is married and most (70%) are farmers.

### *Vulnerability*

Based on table 3, it is found that the parameter of not having employment insurance occupies a very high vulnerability category with a score of 5 and a percentage of 97%. Then followed by the parameter of not having BPJS health insurance in the high vulnerability

category with a score of 4 and the percentage of 76%. The parameter of farming duration is >10 years with a high vulnerability category with a score of 4 and a percentage of 65%. The parameter of eating 1-3x/day is in the high susceptibility category with a score of 4 and a percentage of 75%. While the lowest vulnerability is in the parameter of working hours on agricultural land 8-10 hours per day, namely the non-vulnerable category with a score of 1 and a percentage of 5%.

**Table 3.** Vulnerability of Farmers in the 6 Sub-District of Lumajang Regency on August to December 2018.

No.	Parameter -	Amo	unt	Evaluation			
	r ai ainetei	n	%	Score	Rating	Weight	
1	Working time in the field 8-10 hour	18	5	1	0,05	0,05	
2	Length of Work in 1 month 10-20 day	171	48	3	0,05	0,15	
3	Farming Time Farmer >10 years	228	65	4	0,1	0,4	
4	No holidays in a month	73	20	2	0,05	0,1	
5	Drink For 1 Day <1 liter	115	32	2	0,2	0,4	
6	Eat in 1 day 1-3x/day	267	75	4	0,05	0,2	
7	Weight <40 kg	75	21	2	0,15	0,3	
8	have certain chronic diseases	95	27	2	0,15	0,3	

Nic	Donomoton	Amo	unt	Evaluation			
No.	Parameter	n	%	Score	Rating	Weight	
9	Income per harvest / month (100-500 thousand)	117	33	2	0,1	0,2	
10	Do not have insurance / BPJS	229	76	4	0,05	0,2	
11	Do not have employment insurance	339	97	5	0,05	0,25	
TOTAL VALUE					1	2,55	

Sources: Primary Data of Questionnaire, 2018.

#### Threat

Based on table 4, it was found that the parameters of contact with chemicals including the category of emergencies situations with large financial losses had a score of 5 and the percentage was 95%. Parameters that have the same score include being exposed to sharp tools and having experienced crop failure with the percentages of 81% and 88%, respectively. The

parameters with the lowest threat are in the nonemergency and non-emergency categories as well as small financial losses. These parameters include wind damage with a percentage of 14% and irrigation difficulties in inland areas with a percentage of 18%, each of which has a score of 1.

**Table 4.** Threats to Farmers in the 6 Sub-District of Lumajang Regency on August to December 2018.

No.	Parameter		Amount		Evaluation		
110.			%	Score	Rating	Weight	
1	Wind damage	49	14	1	0,05	0,05	
2	Land slope 30°- 45°	81	23	2	0,05	0,1	
3	Irrigation difficulties in the land area	29	8	1	0,05	0,05	
4	Plants attacked by pests	222	64	4	0,05	0,2	
5	Insect pests that often attack	132	41	3	0,05	0,15	
6	Get bitten by an animal	153	43	3	0,1	0,3	
7	Direct contact with chemicals	338	95	5	0,25	1,25	
8	Once a week contact with Chemicals	149	44	3	0,1	0,3	
9	The type of chemical that has the highest contact with insecticides	175	57	3	0,05	0,15	
10	Get hit by a sharp tool	228	81	5	0,05	0,25	
11	Exposed to agricultural machine tools	117	36	2	0,05	0,1	
12	Ever fall	121	36	2	0,05	0,1	
13	Stabbed by a sharp object	116	28	2	0,05	0,1	
14	Have you ever experienced crop Failure?	316	88	5	0,05	0,25	
	TOTAL VALUE				1	3,35	

Sources: Primary Data of Questionnaire, 2018.

#### Ability

Based on table 5, the best criteria starting from the parameter of spraying according to the procedure with a score of 5 means having the ability to be very capable, compared to the parameter using soap when washing hands with a score of 2 meaning having the ability to be less capable, the parameter getting counseling about pesticide exposure with a score of 2 meaning having competence underprivileged,

the parameter of getting APD counseling with a score of 2 means having the ability to be less able, the parameter binding the body part that is bitten by an animal with a score of 1 meaning having incapacitated competence, the parameter getting counseling about first aid during an accident in agriculture with a score of 1 meaning having the ability to be unable, the parameter of getting counseling when exposed

to animal bites with a score of 1 means having the ability to be unable.

**Table 5.** Ability of Farmers in the 6 Sub-District of Lumajang Regency on August to December 2018.

No.	Parameter		Amount		Evaluation		
110.			<b>%</b>	Score	Rating	Weight	
1	Recognize the dangers of labels	139	41	3	0,04	0,12	
2	Using PPE while working	164	49	3	0,1	0,3	
3	Washing hands after chemical Contact	256	76	4	0,04	0,16	
4	Use soap when washing hands	77	28	2	0,1	0,2	
5	Spray according to procedure	334	98	5	0,07	0,35	
6	Warehouse / special place to store Chemicals	149	42	3	0,05	0,15	
7	Washing when exposed to excessive Amounts of chemicals	194	48	3	0,04	0,12	
8	Cover the wound when hit by a sharp object	211	50	3	0,04	0,12	
9	Bind the body part that was bitten by an animal	66	16	1	0,04	0,04	
10	Get education about pesticide Exposure	94	27	2	0,12	0,24	
11	Get counseling about first aid when there is an accident on the farm	44	12	1	0,12	0,12	
12	Get counseling when you get bitten by an animal	53	15	1	0,12	0,12	
13	Get PPE counseling	83	23	2	0,12	0,24	
	TOTAL VALUE				1	2,28	

Emergency Risk

$$Risk = \frac{Vulnerability \times Threat}{Ability}$$

$$Risk = \frac{2.55 \times 3.35}{2.28} = 3.746 = 4$$

$$2.28$$

Based on the calculation formula for this risk, a risk value of 4 is obtained, which means: high risk, where farmers have a high risk of an integrated emergency based on agricultural nursing, especially direct contact with chemicals (95%) and the type of chemical with the highest contact is insecticide. (57%), with a frequency of contact once a week (44%), and duration of farming >10 years (65%) and length of work in 1 month 10-20 days (48%), length of work on the land 8-10 hours (5%) and no holidays in a month (20%).

### **DISCUSSION**

Vulnerability

Employment insurance and health insurance are important things that farmers should have. According to Markkanen (2004), health and safety guarantees can make workers feel comfortable and safe in doing a job, to minimize or even realize zero accidents and occupational diseases. However, the results of the study show that almost all farmers in

Lumajang Regency do not have employment and health insurance. This causes the risk of health threats and work accidents to be higher for farmers. Farmers need to take advantage of the protection from Social Security Administration Employment, both employment social security programs in the form of Work Accident Insurance and Death Security Program. By joining Employment Social Security Administration, farmers will get protection from Employment Social Security Administration. All risks of work accidents that will be experienced by farmers at work and death will be the responsibility of Employment Social Security Administration.

The agricultural sector is one sector that in carrying out its work process there are positive and negative impacts. The negative impact is because the workforce always interacts with their work and the work environment that contains many hazards. Work safety is a state of avoiding danger when doing work. According to Suma'mur (1987), work safety is safety related to machines, aircraft, work tools, materials and processing processes, workplaces and their environment, and ways of doing work. Work safety concerns all processes of production and distribution of both goods and

services. Work safety is very dependent on the type, form, and environment in which the work is carried out.

The thing that affects the high number of work accidents in developing countries (including Indonesia) is the public's perspective on the importance of maintaining occupational health and safety. In developed countries, public awareness of the importance of occupational health and safety is very high, this is caused by the existence of adequate systems and laws and the law is strictly enforced. The Indonesian government has made efforts to make legal instruments for occupational safety and health that are quite complete, but legal instruments that are specific to agriculture are inadequate. This condition is exacerbated by weak law enforcement and low awareness, behavior, and attitudes to implement a culture of occupational safety and health (Topobroto HS, 2002).

Another consequence is that the disease caused by work is also increasing so that it can cause harm to workers. Occupational illness is an abnormal condition or disease caused by susceptibility to work-related environmental factors. This includes acute and chronic diseases caused by inhalation, absorption, digestion, or direct contact with toxic chemicals or dangerous agents (Kurniasih, 2013). Judging from the data that some farmers have chronic diseases, and the amount of drinking intake that is less than one liter per day causes a higher risk of occupational diseases that can occur in farmers.

This long working condition is also related to the working position which in this case is carried out by farmers, namely most of them work in a squatting position which results in the transfer of the fulcrum to the lower back so that complaints of pain usually arise in that part (Sylviyani, 2013). Period of work is the accumulation of a person's work activities carried out in the long term which if these activities are carried out continuously over for years can result in health problems. Someone who works more than 5 years will increase the

risk of low back pain compared to workers with less than 5 years of service (Susanto, 2016).

#### Threat

Farmers are the workers in the informal sector who need to pay attention to their health and safety. One of the health problems that are often encountered by farmers is the use of pesticides which are very risky and therefore dangerous (Kaligis, Pinontoan, & Kawatu, 2015). Based on the results of the study, it was found that the contact parameter with chemicals had the highest score on farmers in Lumajang Regency. According to Sulatri, 2012 these chemicals are pesticides used to kill plant pests. If it is not used properly, it can cause poisoning.

Another factor that triggers accidents in agriculture is the limited time available to complete a job due to climate constraints. This results in the rush of workers in completing the work, which leads to indifference to their safety (Haerani, 2010). This is in accordance with table 1 on the parameters affected by agricultural machine tools having a score of 2 with a percentage of 36%, having fallen has a score of 2 with a percentage of 36%, and being stabbed by a sharp object has a score of 2 with a percentage of 28%. These three things have the same level of a score, which is in the range of moderate financial losses, but this can worsen the safety of farmers if not handled properly because considering the length of time farmers work on the land requires sufficient existence in terms of safety that supports completing work on agricultural land.

Organophosphates are still the most widely used insecticides in the United States and the world, but plant-based insecticides and insect growth regulators are becoming much more widely used, due to their lower toxicity. Also included in this category organochlorines (such as DDT), carbamates, insect repellants (DEET dichlorobenzene). The theory refers to the parameter of the type of chemical with the highest contact insecticide having a score of 3 with a percentage of 57%. The use of this insecticide type of pesticide indicates a

sufficient level of threat in agricultural activities with moderate financial losses, the use of this type of pesticide can trigger the threat of exposure to the impact of pesticides for farmers which can cause poisoning or the emergence of chronic problems on the health of the farmer.

The above table also describes the parameters related to the threat of the slope 30°-45°, which has a score of 2 with a percentage of 23%, while according to Arsyad in 2010 to explain the nature of topography that affect runoff and erosion are the slope and slope length. Other elements that may also have an effect are configuration, uniformity, and slope direction. The slope of the slope is expressed in degrees or percent. The steeper the slope, the greater the amount of runoff, the velocity of runoff, and the energy of surface runoff. The more sloping the slope, the greater the number of soil particles that are splashed to the bottom of the slope by the collision of rainwater droplets. The slope of the land is 30°-45° can trigger erosion which raises various risks of other threats such as the risk of falling farmers due to soil texture and undirected height due to non-uniform soil texture.

The incidence of animal bites varies around the world. Bites tend to occur in the summer months as people interact with animals or are out in the countryside. The bites may be single or multiple and may affect other parts of the body. Wounds may become contaminated with infectious agents from saliva and other such parts as teeth or feces (Lessenger, 2006). In the table above, there are parameters for the threat of being bitten by an animal with a score of 3 and a percentage of 43%. Being bitten by this animal requires proper management to avoid a more widespread impact. The incidence of animal bites varies around the world. Bites tend to occur in the summer months as people interact with animals or are out in the countryside. The bites may be single or multiple and may affect other parts of the body. Wounds may become contaminated with infectious agents from saliva and other such parts as teeth or feces (Lessenger, 2006). In the

table above, there are parameters for the threat of being bitten by an animal with a score of 3 and a percentage of 43%. Being bitten by this animal requires proper management to avoid a more widespread impact.

**Ability** 

To increase the level of technological capability of reducing pesticides, it is necessary to study the behavior of farmers and the factors that influence farmers' decisions to use pesticides. Farmers' perceptions of risk, perceptions of cultivar resistance, perceptions of the price and efficacy of pesticides, farmers' knowledge, counseling, and pest control influence farmers' decisions to use pesticides.

One indicator of the ability of farmers is to know the level of knowledge. The use of pesticides by farmers is increasing day by day, but it is not balanced with the knowledge of farmers about the impact of pesticides. Poor knowledge will affect the behavior or practices of farmers when working (Yuantari et al., There are still many farming 2013). communities that are not aware of the dangers that can be caused by the use of pesticides, they still take it for granted if there is trauma or disease due to the impact of pesticides. The ability of farmers to understand the negative impact of pesticides as a policy direction for the use of pesticides. The unwise use of pesticides can cause various negative impacts, one of which is poisoning

The ability of farmers as helpers needs to carry out decontamination to prevent and the environment. protect Basic decontamination by removing the victim's clothes, removing the victim's jewelry and equipment, keeping dangerous items away from the victim. Irrigation fluid in the form of normal water for hazardous materials that are easily soluble in water. Basic decontamination measures a rescuer's effort to protect the environment and the rescuer's self and then continues to provide a series of medical assistance. The series of medical assistance allows the rescuer to be exposed to the

environment so that the rescuer is not expected to become the next victim (Widianto, 2018).

Animal bites are included in the category of poison that enters the body through injection. Animal bites can cause severe pain and can cause swelling, animal bites, although not always life-threatening, can cause severe allergic reactions and can even be fatal (Suyanti, 2018). Someone who is said to have good knowledge if someone knows understands is also able to apply, analyze, and when he has reached the level/stage of synthesis and evaluation (Notoatmodjo, 2007).

The ability of farmers to knowledge about needs to be improved. animal bites Knowledgeable and highly educated farmers automatically know what the initial treatment for venomous animal bites is like, don't panic, wash the bite wound, wrap it with a cloth, immediately come to the local health service. In this study, education has a relationship with the initial handling of animal bites because people who have higher education automatically have high knowledge, while people who have low education automatically have quite low knowledge except that knowledge is obtained through mass media, health education, and others. other. So that highly educated people will know how to treat animal bites that are not life-threatening and prevent the spread of venom from these animal bites (Suryati, Id, et al. 2018).

The level of risk control according to (Puspitasari, 2010) and (Murdiyono, 2016) that is appropriate to increase the ability of farmers using elimination can be defined as an effort to eliminate hazards. Involves more in-depth thinking about how to create a worksite that modifies equipment, performs a combination of activities, changes procedures, and reduces the frequency of performing hazardous activities.

### *Emergency*

Length of work is the length of time a farmer works every day in every hour and several days a week in units of days, so the longer the farmer's working hours in a day, the more pesticides will be received by the farmer's body and will accumulate in a few working days. for a week, it will accumulate over a longer period time (Ferning, 2004). WHO requires the length of work in a workplace that is at risk of pesticide poisoning, which is 5 hours per day or 30 hours per week.

This study is in line with Kaligis (2015) which states that the habit of spraying with a frequency of more than 2 times a week has a risk of 4.727 and 2.3 times compared to a frequency of fewer than 2 times a week. The results of Mariani's research (2005) show that a minimum of one week's rest can increase blood cholinesterase activity in spraying farmers.

In addition, several studies have shown that pesticide exposure hurting thyroid function. Thus, farmers in Lumajang district have vulnerabilities and threats to the dangers of contact with pesticides, especially high insecticides, therefore farmers should be given the ability to build a mindset related to direct or indirect impacts due to exposure to chemicals in the form of these pesticides. This is because farmers have always considered the side effects of contact with pesticides to be limited to those that are usually felt directly, such as itching on the skin, hot and watery eyes, not thinking about the long-term impact.

Based on the results of the study, it can be seen that farmers are at high risk of developing integrated emergencies based on agricultural nursing because they are susceptible to certain chronic diseases (27%), eating 1-3 times per day (75%), drinking for 1 day < 1 liter (32%), body weight < 40 kg (21%) and several other farmers were also threatened with acute illness due to being exposed to sharp tools (81%), being bitten by an animal (43%), exposed to agricultural machine tools (36%), had fallen (36 %), and pierced by sharp objects (28%). According to (Baksh, et al., 2015) (Rosanti & Andarini, 2017), informal activities have the same elements as formal activities, namely, there is an interacting workforce, tools, and work environment. If the interaction is not in accordance with the standards, it can result in Occupational Diseases and Occupational Accidents. The use of machines and heavy

equipment such as tractors, harvesters, planting tools, and so on in the agricultural sector is a source of danger that can result in injuries and fatal work accidents. In addition, the use of agricultural tools and machines designed to carry out several jobs at once requires operators to have a high level of skill and concentration which can lead to fatigue which can lead to accidents (Haerani, 2010). Accidents that often occur are getting sick with sickles and hoes, farmers usually just treat them with makeshift drugs and equipment but if the incident is severe, farmers immediately come to the nearest public health care (Rosanti & Andarini, 2017)

## CONCLUSION

Farmers in Lumajang Regency almost entirely do not have employment and health insurance. This causes the risk of health threats and work accidents to be higher for farmers. The use of machines and heavy equipment such as tractors, permanent machines, planting tools, and so on in the agricultural sector is a source of danger that can result in injury and fatal work accidents. In addition, the use of pesticides can cause poisoning or serious illness, as well as animal and plant dust which can cause allergies and respiratory diseases. The level of knowledge of farmers who are less precise in using pesticides should be improved.

Thus, farmers in Lumajang district have vulnerabilities and threats to the dangers of contact with pesticides, especially high insecticides, therefore farmers should be given the ability to build a mindset related to direct or indirect impacts due to exposure to chemicals in the form of these pesticides. This is because farmers have always considered the side effects of contact with pesticides to be limited to those that are usually felt directly, such as itching on the skin, hot and watery eyes, not thinking about the long-term impact. Farmers are at high risk of developing integrated emergencies based on agricultural nursing because they are susceptible to certain chronic diseases (27%), eating 1-3 times a day (75%), drinking for 1 day < 1 liter (32%), weight body weight < 40 kg

(21%) and several other farmers were also threatened with acute illness due to being hit by sharp tools (81%), being bitten by an animal (43%), being hit by an animal weapon (36%), having fallen (36%), and being stabbed by an object. sharp (28%). The risk of an integrated emergency based on agricultural nursing in the Lumajang Regency is still high.

## **SUGGESTIONS**

Reducing the emergency risk of integrated farmers based on agricultural nursing is urgently needed by increasing the capacity of farmers and reducing threats and vulnerabilities in agricultural areas. Besides, government support through policies that favor the safety, health, and welfare of farmers needs to be improved.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The researchers would like to say thanks to the Research and Community Service Division of the University of Jember, the Health Department of Lumajang, the Agricultural Service Department of Lumajang especially to Association of Farmers Groups, and Field Extension Officers of Lumajang.

# DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTEREST

There is no potential conflict of interest found in this research.

## **FUNDING**

The funds used in this study came from the Research and Community Service Division of the University of Jember through a competitive research program which is only in the lecturer research group in 2018.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION**

**Suhari Suhari**: Prepare research proposal, lead the research, conduct research permit, promote research plans, cross-sector approach, collecting research data, responsible of research results, presentation of results report, and compile the article.

**Mashuri Mashuri:** Conduct preliminary studies, assist in preparing proposals, collecting data, perform data processing, help compile research result reports, assist to prepare of published article.

**Zainal Abidin:** Conduct preliminary studies, assist in preparing proposals, collecting data, perform data processing, help compile research result reports, assist to prepare of published article.

### **ORCID**

## Suhari Suhari:

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5993-0710

### **Mashuri Mashuri:**

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7573-6141

#### **Zainal Abidin:**

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9744-8041

### REFERENCES

- Ameriana, M. (2008). Perilaku Petani Sayuran dalam Menggunakan Pestisida Kimia. *Jurnal Hortikultura*, 18(1), 95–106.
- Arsyad, M., & Kawamura, Y. (2010). Reducing Poverty of Cocoa Smallholders in Indonesia: Is Agricultural Economic Activity Still the Pioneer? *Economics and Finance in Indonesia*, 58(2), 217 – 238.
- Djojosumarto, P. (2008). Pestisida & Aplikasinya. *Tangerang: PT Agromedia Pustaka*.
- Fatejarum, A., & Susianti. (2018). Hubungan Postur Kerja dan Repetisi terhadap Kejadian Muskuloskeletal pada Petani. *Junal Afromedicine*, 5(1), 518.
- Fleming, M. J. (2004). Agricultural Health: A New Field Of Occupational Health Nursing.
- Haerani. (2010). Penerapan Keselamatan Dan Kesehatan Kerja Di Bidang Pertanian Di Indonesia. *Jurnal MKMI*, *6*(3), 180–184, 180–184.
- Harrington., Gill, F. S. (2005). *Buku Saku Kesehatan Kerja* (3rd ed.). Jakarta:EGC.
- Jones, R. et al. (2019). Serious Injuries in the mining industry: preparing the emergency

- response. Australasian Journal of Paramedicine, 16.
- Kaligis, J. N., Pinontoan, O., & Kawatu, P. A. (2015). Hubungan Pengetahuan, Sikap, dan Masa Kerja dengan Penggunaan Alat Pelindung Diri Petani saat Penyemprotan Pestisida di Kelurahan Rurukan Kecamatan Tomohon Timur. 120.
- Kaligis, P., & Kawatu. (2015). Hubungan Pengetahuan, Sikap, Dan Masa Kerja Dengan Penggunaan Alat Pelindung Diri Petani Saat Penyemprotan Pestisida Di Kelurahan Rurukan Kecamatan Tomohon Timur. Manado: Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat Universitas Sam Ratulangi Manado.
- Kesavachandran, C.N., S.K. Rastogi, N., & Mathur, M. K. J. S. friends. (2006). Health Status Among Pesticide Applicators at a Mango Plantation in India. Journal of Pesticide Safety Education. 8.
- Khamdani, F. (2009). Hubungan Antara Pengetahuan dan Sikap dengan Pemakaian Alat Pelindung Diri Pestisida Semprot pada Petani di Desa Angkatan Kidul Pati. Skripsi. . Semarang: Universitas Negeri Semarang.
- Kurniadi, D., & Maywita, E. (2018). Faktorfaktor yang Berhubungan dengan Keluhan Kesehatan Akibat Paparan Pestisida pada Petani Holtikultura di Desa Siulak Deras Mudik Kabupaten Kerinci, VII. 13.
- Kurniasih, S. A., Setiani, O., & Nugrahaeni, S. A. (2013). Faktorfaktor yang Terkait Paparan Pestisida dan Hubungannya dengan Kejadian Anemia pada Petani Holtikultura di Desa Gombong Kecamatan Belik Kabupaten Pemalang Jawa Tengan. *Jurnal Kesehatan Lingkungan Indonesia*, 12, 132-136.
- Lessenger, J. (2006). Agricultural Medicine. a Practical Guide. USA: Springer. *American Association Of Occupational Health Nurses Journal*, 52(9), 391–396.
- Markkanen, P. K. (2004). Kesehatan dan Keselamatan Kerja di Indonesia (Health and Work Safety in Indonesia). Manila,

- Philippines: Iternational Labour Organization, Subregional office for South-East Asia and the Pacific.
- Murdiyono. (2016). *Identifikasi Bahaya*, Penilaian dan Pengendalian Risiko di Bengkel Pengelasan SMK N 2 Pengasih.
- Novisan. (2002). Kiat mengatasi Permasalahan Praktis Petunjuk Pemakaian Pestisida. Argomedia Pustaka, Jakarta.
- Novizan. (2002). *Petunjuk Pemupukan Efektif.* Agromedia Pustaka, Jakarta.
- Oakley, K. (2008). *Occupational Health Nursing*. New. York: John.
- Pawitra, A. (2011). Pemakaian Pestisida Kimia terhadap Kadar Enzim Cholinesterase dan Residu Pestisida dalam Tanah. Skripsi Universtas Airlangga.
- Pertanian, K. (2011). Pedoman Pembinaan Penggunaan Pestisida. Direktorat Jenderal Prasarana dan Sarana Pertanian. Direktorat Pupuk dan Pestisida.
- Prijanto, T. B., N., & Sulistiyani. (2009).

  Analisis Faktor Risiko Keracunan
  Pestisida Organofosfat pada Keluarga
  Petani Holtikultura di Kecamatan Ngablak
  Kabupaten Malang. *Jurnal Kesehatan Lingkungan Indonesia*, 8, 73–78.
- Purdy, G. (2010). ISO 31000:2009 Setting a new standard for risk management: Perspective. *Risk Analysis*, *30*(6), 881–886. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2010.01442.x
- Puspitasari, N. (2010). Hazard Identifikasi dan Risk Assesment dalam Upaya Mengurangi Tingkat Risiko di Bagian Produksi. PT. Bina Guna Kimia Ungaran Semarang.
- Rachmani S. (2014). Hubungan Lama Kerja dan Posisi Kerja dengan Kejadian Low Back Pain (LBP) Pada Pengrajin Batik Tulis Di Kemiling Bandar Lampung: Skripsi. Bandar Lampung: Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Lampung;
- RI, K. P. (2011). *Pedoman Pembinaan Penggunaan Pestisida*. Jakarta: Direktorat Pupuk dan Pestisida.
- Rosanti, E., & Andarini, Y. D. (2017). Program

- Pendampingan Pembentukan Pos Upaya Kesehatan Kerja (UKK) pada Petani di Desa Demangan Ponorogo. (*JPM*) Jurnal Pemberdayaan Masyarakat, 2, 105.
- Schuler, R. S., & Jackson., S. E. (1999).

  Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia:

  Menghadapi Abad Ke-21. Jakarta:
  Erlangga.
- Silviyani, V., Susanto, T., & Asmaningrum, N. (2013). Hubungan Posisi Bekerja Petani Lansia dengan Resiko Terjadinya Nyeri Punggung. *Jember: Universitas Jember (UNEJ)*.
- Soedkidjo, N. (2007). *Pendidikan dan perilaku kesehatan*. .PT Rineke Cipta . Jakarta.
- Standard Australia., Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Food. (n.d.). http://www.standards.org.au/standardscatalogue/sa-snz
- Staur, S., W., & H., R. (2016). Pengaruh Pendidikan Metode Kesehatan Demonstrasi terhadap **Tingkat** Pengetahuan dan Motivasi Penggunaan Alat Pelindung Diri (APD) pada Petani Wringin Telu Kecamatan Desa PugerKabupaten Jember. E-Jurnal Pustaka Kesehatan, 4(1), 97.
- Suhartono, & Darmanto. (2010). Keracunan Pestisida dan Hipertiroidisme pada Wanita Usia Subur di Daerah Pertanian. *Kesehatan Lingkungan*, 218.
- Sularti. Muhlisin, A. (2012). Hubungan tingkat pengetahuan bahaya pestisida dan kebiasaan pemakaian alat pelindung diri dilihat dari munculnya tanda gejala keracunan pada kelompok tani di Karanganyar. 154–164. https://publikasiilmiah.ums.ac.id/
- Suma'mur PK. (1987). *Keselamatan Kerja dan Pencegahan Kecelakaan*. CV. Jakarta: Haji Mas Agung.
- Sunarti, E., Hadi, S., Murdiyanto, & Hadianto, A. (2009). Indikator Kerentanan Keluarga Petani dan Nelayan untuk Pengurangan Risiko Bencana di Sektor Pertanian. Pusat Studi Bencana Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat.

- http://repository.ipb.ac.id/handle/123456 789/53266
- Suryati, I., Yuliano, A., & Bundo, P. (2018).

  Hubungan Tingkat Pengetahuan Dan
  Sikap Masyarakat Dengan Penanganan
  Awal Gigitan Binatang. Prosiding
  Seminar Kesehatan Perintis.
- Susanto, T., Purwandar, R. I., & Wuryaningsih, E. W. (2016). Model Kesehatan Keselamatan Kerja Berbasis Agricultural Nursing: Studi Analisis Masalah Kesehatan Petani. *Jurnal Ners*, 11(1), 45–50.
- Thygerson. (2009). *Buku Ajar Pertolongan Pertama*. PT Gelora Aksara Pratama.
- Topobroto HS. (2002). Kebijakan dan Kondisi Keselamatan dan Kesehatan Kerja di Indonesia. ILO.
- Weis, B., Amler, S., & Amler, R. (2004). Pesticides. *Pediatrics*, *113*, 1030–1036.
- Widianto, E. P. (2018). Penatalaksanaan Pra-Rumah Sakit Pada Kasus Kegawatdaruratan Di Area Pertanian : A

- Literature Review. Makalah Seminar Prodi D3 Keperawatan Unej Kampus Lumajang.
- Yuantari, M. C., Widiarnako, B., & Sunoko, H. R. (2013). Tingkat Pengetahuan Petani dalam Menggunakan Pestisida (Studi Kasus di Desa Curut Kecamatan Penawangan Kabupaten Grobogan). 42.
- Yuantari, C., Widiarnako, B., Sunoko, & H.R. (2013). Tingkat pengetahuan petani dalam menggunakan pestisida: Studi kasus Desa Curut Kecamatan Penawangan Kabupaten Grobogan. (Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pengelolaan Sumberdaya Alam dan Lingkungan 2013. http://eprints.undip.ac.id/
- Yuantari, Catur, M. G., Widiarnako, B., & Ryasunoko., H. (2015). Analisis Risiko Pajanan Pestisida terhadap Kesehatan Petani. *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat*, 10(2), 239–245.

**Cite this article as:** Suhari, S., Mashuri, M., Abidin, Z. (2021). Identification of farmer integrated emergency risk based on agricultural nursing. Nurse and Health: Jurnal Keperawatan, 10 (2), 201-213. <a href="https://doi.org/10.36720/nhjk.v10i2.229">https://doi.org/10.36720/nhjk.v10i2.229</a>