

Digital Repository Universitas Jember

ISSN 0852-601X

E-ISSN 2549-838X



# Pancaran Pendidikan

VOL. 7 NO. 3

AGUSTUS 2018

Available online at

<http://www.pancaranpendidikan.or.id>

## Honorary Editor

---

1. Prof. Drs. Dafik M.Sc, Ph.D, University of Jember, Indonesia

## Editor in Chief

---

1. Prof. Dr. Suratno, M.Si, University of Jember, Indonesia

## Editorial Board

---

1. Dr. G Nagamani, Gandhigram Rural Institute-Deemed University, India
2. Prof. Dr. Marijono, Dipl. Rsl, University of Jember, Indonesia
3. Prof Dr. M. Sulthon M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
4. Prof. Dr. Sutarto M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
5. Prof. Dr. Indrawati, M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
6. Prof. Dr. I Ketut Mahardika, M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
7. Prof. Dr. Joko Waluyo M.Si, University of Jember, Indonesia
8. Prof. Dr. Sunardi, M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
9. Prof. Dr Bambang Soepeno M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
10. Prof. Drs. Slamir, M.Comp.Sc, Ph.D, University of Jember, Indonesia

11. Prof. Dr. Kusno DEA, University of Jember, Indonesia
12. Prof. Drs. I Made Tirta, M.Sc, Ph.D, University of Jember, Indonesia
13. Dr. Hobri, S.Pd M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
14. Dr. Sukidin, M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
15. Dr. Dwi Wahyuni M.Kes, University of Jember, Indonesia
16. Dr. Arju Mutiah M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
17. Dr. Nanik Yuliati M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
18. Dr. Mutrofin, M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
19. Dr. Nurul Umamah. M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
20. Dr. Iis Nur Aisyiah, S.P., M.P., University of Jember, Indonesia
21. Dr. Nuriman Ph.D, University of Jember, Indonesia
22. Dr. Sukatman M.Pd, University of Jember, Indonesia
23. Prof. Chun- Yen Chang, Ph.D, National Taiwan Normal University
24. Prof. Ranbir Malik Singh, Ph.D, Edith Cowan University, Australia
25. Prof. Chokchai Yuenyong, Ph.D, Khon Kaen University, Thailand
26. Dr. Md Anowar Hossain, Natore Institute of Bangladesh
27. Mr. Surapong Ngamsong, EIS of Thailand

28. Associate Prof. Dr Norazilawati binti Abdullah,  
Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia

## Managing Editor

---

1. Arika Indah Kristiana, University of Jember,  
Indonesia
2. Zakiyah Tasmin, Universitas Jember
3. Ervin Oktavianingtyas, University of Jember,  
Indonesia

## Layouting Editor

---

1. Rully Putri Nirmal Puji, S.pd, M.eD, University of  
Jember, Indonesia
2. Wiwin Hartanto, University of Jember, Indonesia
3. Lioni Anka Monalisa, University of Jember,  
Indonesia
4. Zainur Rasyid Ridlo S.Pd, M.Pd, University of  
Jember
5. Indira Wahyu Alfa Terra, S.Pd, M.Pd, University of  
Jember, Indonesia
6. Ulin Nuha, S.Pd, M.Pd, University of Jember,  
Indonesia
7. David Imamyartha, S.Pd, M.Pd, University of Jember,  
Indonesia

## TABLE OF CONTENT

---

Development of Students' Book Based on Science, Technology, and Society with Integration Life Based Learning in Biotechnology Material in The XII Grade Nury Qurrota Ayun, Suratno Suratno, Erlia Narulita

The Development of Student's Book Based on STEM (Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics) with LBL (Life Based Learning) Integration on The Subject of Biotechnology in Class XII Senior High School Fatmawati Ningsih, Suratno Suratno, Erlia Narulita

The Effect of Mind Mapping Learning Strategy in Science to the Fifth Grade Students' Learning Outcomes Yolanda Devita N, Agustiningsih Agustiningsih, Fajar Surya Utama

The Development of Learning Materials Students' Book Based on Contextual that Integrated with Technopreneurship in Biotechnology Subject at XII Grade Senior High School Linda Kusumawati, Suratno Suratno, Erlia Narulita

The Effect of Problem-Based Learning Model With Audio Visual Media on the Learning Outcomes of The Students on Environmental Pollution Material Sabrina Trie Hapsari, Suratno Suratno, Kamalia Fikri

The Increase of Gross Motoric Ability of Group B Students through Laciba Exercise Activity in Tk Dharma Indria 1 Patrang Jember Academic Year 2016/2017 Khutobah Khutobah, Nanik Yuliati, Misno Abdul Lathief, Norma Wahyu Pristina

The Effect of POE Learning Models (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) with Probing-Prompting Techniques on The Student's Cognitive Learning Outcomes of SMA Muhammadiyah 3 Jember Dewi Farida, Joko Waluyo, Kamalia Fikri

Study of Community Capacity in Dealing with The Threat of Shore Erosion in Kragan Subdistrict Rembang District Nur Hamid

The Development of Collaborative Learning Talking Chips Based On Brain-Based Learning (BBL) for The Junior High School Science in The Agroecosystem Area Ena Milada Tri Handayani, Jekti Prihatin, Kamalia Fikri

Junior High School Creativity in Solving Geometry Problems Irma Widya Lisa, Hery Susanto, Abadyo Abadyo, Edy Bambang Irawan

Cultural Learning Management in Increasing Creativity Of Students in Madrasah, State of Tsanawiyah 2 Jember Istifadah Istifadah



ISSN 0852-601X  
e-ISSN 2549-838X

Available online at  
<http://www.pancaranpendidikan.or.id>

*Pancaran Pendidikan FKIP  
Universitas Jember  
Vol. 7, No. 3, Page 45-50, Agustus, 2018*

***Pancaran Pendidikan***

DOI: [10.25037/pancaran.v7i3.199](https://doi.org/10.25037/pancaran.v7i3.199)

## **The Effect of POE Learning Models (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) with Probing-Prompting Techniques on The Student's Cognitive Learning Outcomes of SMA Muhammadiyah 3 Jember**

**Dewi Farida<sup>1</sup>, Joko Waluyo<sup>1\*</sup>, Kamalia Fikri<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>University of Jember, Jember, Indonesia

E-mail: [\\*jokowaluyo.fkip@unej.ac.id](mailto:*jokowaluyo.fkip@unej.ac.id)

### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### **Article History:**

*Received Date:*

*Received in Revised Form  
Date:*

*Accepted Date:*

*Published online Date*

#### **Key Words:**

**POE learning models, probing-prompting techniques, cognitive learning outcomes**

### **ABSTRACT**

Student learning outcomes are competencies that students have after gaining their learning experience, so indicators of learning success can be seen from student learning outcomes after experiencing the learning process. Student learning outcomes can be improved through the use of learning models, one of which is by applying the POE learning model (Prediction, Observation and Explanation) with probing-prompting techniques. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of POE learning models (Prediction, Observation and Explanation) with probing-prompting techniques on the cognitive learning outcomes of the tenth grade students at SMA Muhammadiyah 3 Jember on the environmental pollution subject. Type of research is a quasy experimental study using a pretest and posttest design. In the experimental class, learning activity was carried out by applying the POE learning model (Prediction, Observation and Explanation) with probing-prompting techniques and the control class, learning activities are carried out by applying conventional learning models. The data of the students' cognitive learning outcomes were obtained through the pretest and posttest scores then analyzed using ANAKOVA test. The application of POE learning model (Prediction, Observation and Explanation) with probing-prompting techniques had a significant effect with a probability as much as 0,000. The affective learning outcomes influenced significantly with a significance value of 0.001 or  $p < 0.05$ .

**Copyright © Dewi et al, 2018**, this is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Pancaran Pendidikan Journal license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited



## INTRODUCTION

Biology is one part of natural sciences. Biology is a discipline that learns about living things and their lives. Biology as part of science lessons becomes a vehicle for improving knowledge of skills, attitudes and values as well as a forum for introducing the environment<sup>[1]</sup>. Biological learning always connects learning activities with life so that interactions between students and students occur, students with teachers and students with their environment.

The problems in biology learning are largely centered on the low quality of learning. Constraints that are often faced in learning include: (1) selection of inappropriate learning models, (2) lack of use of instructional media and (3) teacher-centered class conditions<sup>[2]</sup>. This causes the learning process to be not conducive. The learning process emphasizes the aspects of scientific products rather than scientific processes, the delivery of biological material is done with limited media and the learning process is more directed at students to memorize information without being required to understand and develop information in everyday life<sup>[3]</sup>.

The low quality of learning causes low student's biology learning outcomes. Therefore it is necessary to select a learning model that is relevant, effective and efficient to implement, so that it is expected to be able to improve student biology learning outcomes. One learning model that can be applied is the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model.

The POE learning model (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) is a learning model that begins by confronting students on a problem, then students make predictions, then carry out observations to prove predictions and explain the compatibility between predictions and observations and provide an explanation of why this happened (explain)<sup>[4]</sup>. POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning models provide opportunities for students to learn concretely by making observations or observations, so that students' science process skills can develop and students have a correct and strong understanding of the material being studied. The advantages of the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model, which stimulates students to be more creative especially in submitting predictions, reducing verbalism and the learning process is more interesting because students not only listen but also observe events that occur through observation activities. By observing directly students can have the opportunity to compare between theory and reality, so students will be more confident in the truth of learning material<sup>[5]</sup>.

One of the obstacles in implementing the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model is that students who are not familiar with the (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) POE learning model will have difficulty in making and proposing predictions of the problems faced. This causes students to tend predictions without rational reasons. These obstacles can be followed up by giving an innovation in the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model through the insertion of a learning technique that can stimulate student creativity, especially in proposing predictions and helping in discovering new knowledge. The learning technique combined with the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model in this study is a probing-prompting technique.

Probing-prompting technique is a learning technique by presenting a series of questions that are characteristic of guiding and exploring students' ideas so that they can facilitate students to associate students' knowledge and experience with new knowledge being studied<sup>[6]</sup>. Probing question is a digging question to get further answers in developing the quality of answers, so that the next answer is clearer, more accurate and rational<sup>[7]</sup>. A prompting question is a question that guides students so they can find a more

correct answer. The probing-prompting technique is done by appointing students randomly in the question and answer process so that each student must participate actively when learning takes place. Probing-prompting techniques provide opportunities for students to be active in building and understanding information through individual thought processes and working together in discussions<sup>[8]</sup>. Probing-prompting techniques are expected to be able to stimulate student creativity, especially in proposing predictions and helping in discovering new knowledge.

Based on this background, the combination of POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning models and probing-prompting techniques is expected to improve student's biology learning outcomes. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning models with probing-prompting techniques on the biology's cognitive learning outcomes of SMA Muhammadiyah 3 Jember students.

## METHODOLOGY

This study was a quasi-experimental design with pretest and posttest design. This study uses two sample classes, with details of one treatment class that was learned using the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model with probing-prompting techniques and one control class that uses conventional learning with lecture methods, discussion, question and answer and practice.

The population of this study was the tenth grade students of SMA Muhammadiyah 3 Jember on 2017/2018 academic year. The sample was determined through random sampling method with the requirement that normality test and homogeneity test were tested based on the results of final test of the students on grade X at SMA Muhammadiyah 3 Jember to find out whether or not the data were normally distributed and homogeneous. The results obtained from the results of the normality test, the five classes were normally distributed. Then the results gained from the homogeneity test were all homogeneous classes with significant values ( $p = 0,504$ ),  $p > 0.05$  indicated that the data were in the same variant. The next step after homogeneous data were confirmed, X IPA 2 was selected as the control class and X IPA 4 as the experimental class. Data collection research was conducted by giving tests related to environmental change material to students. The types of tests used in this study were pretest and posttest with multiple choice questions and essays. To make it clear, the research design is provided as follows:

E	Q <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>1</sub>	Q <sub>2</sub>
K	Q <sub>3</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	Q <sub>4</sub>

Notes :

- E : experimental class (a class that used POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning models with probing-prompting technique)
- K : control class (a class that implemented conventional learning models)
- Q<sub>1</sub> : pretest result of experimental class
- Q<sub>2</sub> : posttest result of experimental class
- Q<sub>3</sub> : *pre-test result* control class
- Q<sub>4</sub> : *post-test* result of control class
- X<sub>1</sub> : the use of POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning models with probing-prompting technique
- X<sub>2</sub> : the use of conventional learning models



Data were analyzed using ANAKOVA Test to determine the effect of POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning models with probing-prompting techniques between experiment classes and control classes, with a significance level of 5%. Analysis of differences in student's cognitive learning outcomes assisted with SPSS 22 for Windows.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Assessment of student learning outcomes aims to determine the level of success of students in learning a material or after gaining learning experience. The cognitive learning outcome was an aspect that determined the achievement of student learning outcomes. The assessment of cognitive learning outcomes in this research used pretest and posttest. The results of the average pretest and posttest calculation of the experimental class and the control class can be seen in Table 1 below.

Table 1 . Average Pretest and Posttest

Class	Total	The Mean of <i>Pre-test</i> ±SD	The Mean of <i>Post-test</i>	Difference
Experimental	34	48.67 ± 10.90	73.55 ± 9.53	24.88
Control	34	50.00 ± 9.24	68.05 ± 9.77	18.05

Based on the table, it is known that the mean difference between the pretest and posttest experimental class was higher than the control class which was 24.88 while in the control class was equal to 18.05. Furthermore, the results of the normality test using One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov showed that cognitive learning outcomes consisting of pretest and posttest scores of students in the experimental class and the control class were normally distributed so that it was feasible for further analysis, namely ANACOVA test. ANACOVA test results can be seen in the following table:

Table 2. ANACOVA Test Results

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig
Corrected Model	3181.164 <sup>a</sup>	2	1590.582	29.664	0.000
Intercept	4210.232	1	4210.232	78.519	0.000
Pretest	2666.914	1	2666.914	49.737	0.000
Group	678.702	1	678.702	12.657	0.001
Error	3485.350	65	53.621		
Total	347611.000	68			
Corrected Total	6666.515	67			

Based on the ANACOVA test results presented in Table 2, with a significance value of 0.001 or  $p < 0.05$ , this indicates that there is a significant effect of the POE learning model with probing-prompting techniques on students' cognitive learning outcomes. Therefore, it can be said that the POE learning model with probing-prompting techniques gives a better influence in improving students' cognitive learning outcomes. The results of this study are relevant to the results of the study stating that learning using the POE learning model significantly influences students' cognitive learning outcomes<sup>[9]</sup>.

In this study, the use of the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model with probing-prompting techniques has a better influence on student's cognitive learning outcomes. This is because students get learning experience after doing the observation stage. At this stage students make observations and records to test predictions that have been made previously, then in the end the students make an explanation of the compatibility between the predictions and the results of the experiment. If the prediction is in accordance with the results of the experiment, students will be more confident in the concept. But if the prediction is not appropriate, students will be helped to find an explanation why the predictions are not appropriate so students will experience a change in concept from the wrong to the right. By making observations directly students have the opportunity to compare between theory and reality so students will be more confident in the truth of learning material<sup>[10]</sup>.

Each stage in the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model encourages students to explore their own knowledge, at the stage of predicting students make predictions of answers to a problem, at the observe stage students prove their predictions by using their intellectual abilities, and at the explaining stage students provide explanations for observations through discussion or written so that students' verbal abilities can also be trained<sup>[11]</sup>. Achievement of cognitive learning outcomes of students in this study can not be separated from the role of science process skills developed. This is because science process skills can help students gain an understanding of material that is more long term memory<sup>[12]</sup>. During the learning process, students do more of a series of science process skills independently to find facts, concepts or principles. Thus understanding students becomes better.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the results of research from the discussion that has been described, it can be concluded that, the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model with probing-prompting techniques significantly influences the student's cognitive learning outcomes with a significance value of 0.001 or  $p < 0.05$ . Based on the results of the research that has been done, the researcher would like give some suggestions, as follows, 1) for the teacher, in using the POE (Prediction, Observation, and Explanation) learning model with probing-prompting techniques it is necessary to set the right time, such as the implementation of the prediction stage through probing-prompting techniques and preparation of tools and materials at the observation stage so the learning process runs optimally and 2) for other researchers, the results of this study are expected to be used as a basis for further research on different learning topics or even on different subjects with regard to the constraints experienced.

## REFERENCES

- [1]Rahmasiwi, A., Santosari, S., dan Sari, D. P. 2015. Peningkatan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa dalam Pembelajaran Biologi melalui Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Inkuiri di Kelas XI MIA 9 (ICT) SMA Negeri 1 Karanganyar Tahun Pelajaran 2014/2015. *Seminar Nasional XII Pendidikan Biologi FKIP Universitas Negeri Semarang*. 428-433.
- [2]Trianto. 2010. *Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif-Progresif*. Jakarta: Kencana.
- [3]Kusuma, T. A., Indrawati, dan Harijanto, A. 2015. Model Discovery Learning Disertai Teknik Probing Prompting dalam Pembelajaran Fisika di MA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*. 3 (4): 336-341.

- [4]Suparno, Paul. 2013. *Metodologi Pembelajaran Fisika Konstruktivistik dan Menyenangkan*. Yogyakarta: Universitas Sanata Darma.
- [5]Yupani, N. P. E., Garminah, N., dan Mahadewi, L. 2013. Pengaruh Model Predict, Observe, Explain (POE) Berbantuan Materi Bermuatan Lokal Terhadap Hasil Belajar IPA Siswa Kelas IV. *Jurnal Pendidikan PGSD Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha*. 1(2) :24-30.
- [6]Huda, Miftahul. 2013. *Model-model Pengajaran dan Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
- [7]Suherman, Erman. 2003. *Strategi Pembelajaran Matematika Kontemporer*. Bandung: JICA UPI.
- [8]Mayasari, Y., Irwan, dan Mirna. 2014. Penerapan Teknik Probing-Prompting dalam Pembelajaran Matematika Siswa Kelas VIII MTs Lubuk Buaya Padang. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*. 3(1): 56-61.
- [9]Rahayu, Puji. 2015. Penerapan Strategi POE (Predict, Observe, Explain) dengan Metode Learning Journals dalam Pembelajaran IPA untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Konsep dan Keterampilan Proses Sains. *Jurnal FMIPA Universitas Negeri Semarang*. 4(3): 1014-1021.
- [10]Puriyandari, D., Saputro, A. N. C., dan Masykuri, M. 2014. Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Prediction, Observation And Explanation (POE) Dilengkapi Lembar Kerja Siswa (LKS) untuk Meningkatkan Sikap Ilmiah dan Prestasi Belajar Materi Kelarutan dan Hasil Kali Kelarutan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Kimia*. 3(1): 24-30.
- [11]Indriana, V., Arsyad, N., dan Mulbar, U. 2015. Penerapan Pendekatan Pembelajaran POE (Predict-Observe-Explain) untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Siswa Kelas XI IPA-1 SMAN 22 Makassar. *Jurnal Daya Matematis*. 3(1):-2.
- [12]Abungu, H.E., Okere, M.I.O., & Wachanga, S.M. 2014. The Effect of Science Process Skills Teaching Approach on Secondary School Students Achievement in Chemistry in Nyando District, Kenya. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*. 4(6): 359-372.